

Contractor



DRAGADOS | AMERICAN BRIDGE INTERNATIONAL HOCHTIEF | MORRISON CONSTRUCTION

Project

FORTH REPLACEMENT CROSSING

Document title

VIBRATION MONITORING REPORT MAY 2017

00	02/06/2017	First draft		DCK	SWR	SWR
Rev	Rev. Date	Purpose of revision		Made	Reviewed	Approved
Docume	ent status	•			•	
FOR REVIEW						
Made by	Made by: David Clark Checked By: Steven Westwater					
Initials:	DCK]	Initials: SWR			
Docume	Document number					Rev
REP-0	REP-00325					00
This document is intellectual property of FCBC Construction JV. Copying, distribution, usage, and information on contents					on contents	

Forth Crossing Bridge Constructors - A Joint Venture of Hochtief Solutions AG, American Bridge International, Dragados, S.A. and Galliford Try Infrastructure Limited (Trading as Morrison Construction)



Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Monitoring Summary
- 3. Conclusion

Appendices:

Appendix A: Vibration Assessments from Relevant PCNVs Appendix B: PPV and VDV Graphs



INTRODUCTION

- **1.1.** Monitoring of construction vibration is being undertaken by FCBC during the construction of the new Forth Crossing and associated road network. This report covers the month of May 2017. The objective of this report is to detail the vibration monitoring that has been undertaken across the site during this period, which has been done so in accordance with the Code of Construction Practice (CoCP), and Noise and Vibration Management Plan (NVMP).
- 1.2. FCBC carefully risk assesses noise & vibration likely to result from all construction activities, through the production of Plans for Control of Noise & Vibration (PCNVs). During the preparation of PCNVs, vibration prediction assessments are made. These assessments illustrate that no construction plant, equipment or methodology to be used by FCBC are envisaged to induce any levels of vibration at sensitive receptors that would exceed the vibration threshold levels stated in the CoCP. These assessments/predictions have been validated by means of the vibration monitoring results displayed in this report.



2. MONITORING SUMMARY

- 2.1. Due to the location and sensitivity of vibration monitoring equipment, the exceedances presented in the graphs included in the appendices of this report do not represent levels generated by construction, but rather show local interference around the monitoring equipment. This can include, for example, footsteps or doors being slammed, or indeed any significant movements occurring close to the monitoring equipment.
- **2.2.** According to the BS5228-2 (2009) there is minimal documented proof of actual damage to structures or their finishes resulting from construction vibration, and damage resulting solely from well-controlled construction and demolition vibration is rare. There are many other mechanisms that cause damage, especially in decorative finishes, and it is often incorrectly concluded that vibrations from construction and demolition sites are to blame. In many cases it is not possible to ascertain the exact source of vibration, though it is possible to rule out construction as a source on an activity basis.
- **2.3.** The works carried out in each of the various construction work areas as well as the related vibration assessments are summarised in Appendix A.
- 2.4. Considering the distances between the various construction work areas and sensitive receptors as well as working methods utilised, the risk of any damage to structures or nuisance to residents occurring as a result of FCBC construction-related vibration is highly unlikely.
- **2.5.** The number of threshold exceedances at the various vibration monitoring stations during the relevant period are shown in Table 1 below.



May 2017					
	PPV Exceedance		VDV Exceedance		
Location	Continuous (5 mm.s ⁻¹)	Intermittent (10 mm.s ⁻¹)	Day (0.4 m.s ^{-1.75})	Night (0.2 m.s ^{-1.75})	
Linn Mill	1	2	0	0	
Butlaw Fisheries	6	0	0	0	
Clufflat Brae	9	1	0	0	
Dundas Home Farm	0	0	0	0	
Echline	0	0	0	0	
Inchgarvie Lodge	1	1	0	0	
Scotstoun	0	0	0	0	
Springfield	11	19	0	0	
Tigh-Na- Grian	0	0	1	0	
Whinnyhill	18	13	0	0	

Table 1: Number of exceedances of thresholds set out in the CoCP

0047

- 2.6. Peak Particle Velocity (PPV) is used to measure vibration through a solid surface. When a vibration is measured, the point at which the measurement takes place can be considered to have a particle velocity. This particle vibration will take place in three dimensions (x, y and z).
- **2.7.** The Peak Particle Velocity is the highest velocity of the 3 axis that are recorded during a particular event, and as such is appropriate for the measurement of activities such as blasting, piling and compacting. The thresholds for the Forth Replacement Crossing are 5 mm.s⁻¹ for continuous construction (e.g. piling), and 10 mm.s⁻¹ for intermittent construction (e.g. blasting).
- **2.8.** These thresholds are set to protect against building damage. For this monitoring period, all the exceedances have been investigated thoroughly and appear to have been generated as a result of standalone, instantaneous events arising from local interferences, the exact source of which remains unknown.
- **2.9.** Vibration Dose Value (VDV) is a metric used in vibration monitoring. It is calculated by taking the fourth root of the integral of the fourth power of



acceleration after it has been frequency-weighted. The frequency-weighted acceleration is measured in m.s⁻² and the time period over which the VDV is measured is in seconds. This yields VDVs in m.s^{-1.75}.

- **2.10.** The vibration dose value (VDV), a cumulative measurement of the vibration level received over an 8-hour (night time) or 16-hour (day time) period, is recommended in BS 6472 as the appropriate measure to evaluate human exposure to vibration in buildings in residential and other uses.
- **2.11.** During the monitoring period, vibratory rollers and whacker plates were used intermittently at several locations around the site however no exceedances were recorded as a result of the use of this equipment.
- 2.12. Detailed investigation of all other exceedances (i.e. review of PPV levels over 30 seconds periods) has suggested that each resulted from isolated, non-construction related events, which occurred close to the monitoring station.
- **2.13.** Within the Appendix B, there are gaps of missing data in the PPV and VDV at Inchgarvie and Linn Mill. This occurred due to a power issue.



3. CONCLUSION

- **3.1.** Considering the distance between FCBC construction works and sensitive receptors, and the methods of working utilised, the risk of damage to structures or nuisance to residents resulting from vibration is highly unlikely.
- **3.2.** Due to the location and sensitivity of vibration monitoring equipment, the exceedances presented in the graphs included in the appendices of this report are unlikely to be generated by construction, but rather show local interference around the monitoring equipment.



APPENDIX A – MONITORING LOCATIONS & VIBRATION ASSESSMENTS FROM RELEVANT PCNVs



Table 2: Monitoring Locations

Ref.	Monitoring Location	Crossing or Network	Main Construction Activities During May 2017
M1	Whinny Hill	Network	 Earth Works/Fill Placement Park and Ride works Main carriageway roadworks
М3	Tigh-Na-Grian	Crossing	 Central Tower stay cable installation works North Tower stay cable installation works Falsework removal Tower Crane removal Windshield installation Bridge Deck works North abutment works
M7	Butlaw Fisheries	Crossing	 Central Tower stay cable installation works AVS concrete works on deck Waterproofing on deck Surfacing works Wind shield installation Scour protection South Tower stay cable installation works Bridge deck works
M10	Inchgarvie Lodge	Crossing	 Minor main carriageway works SUDS detention basin works AVS concrete works on deck Waterproofing on deck Surfacing works Wind shield installation Scour protection South Tower stay cable installation works Bridge deck works South abutment works
M11	Linn Mill	Network (close proximity to Crossing)	 Minor main carriageway works AVS concrete works on deck Waterproofing on deck Surfacing works Wind shield installation Scour protection South Tower stay cable installation works Bridge deck works South abutment works
M13	Clufflat Brae	Crossing	 Minor main carriageway works Footpath/cycleway works SUDS detention basin works AVS concrete works on deck Waterproofing on deck Surfacing works Wind shield installation Scour protection South Tower stay cable installation works Bridge deck works




			South abutment works
M14	Springfield	Network	 Minor main carriageway works Footpath/cycleway works SUDS detention basin works South abutment works
M15	Echline	Network	 AVS rebar and concrete deck works South Abutment works Main carriageway roadworks Footpath/cycleway works
M16	Scotstoun	Network	 Main carriageway roadworks
M17	Dundas Home Farm	Network	Main carriageway roadworks
M18	Newton	Network	Outside Works Area

	Minimum distance	from work areas (m)	Type of vibration emitting	Worst case predic	st case predicted vibration level	
Monitor	Day (07:00-19:00)	Night (19:00-07:00)	plant/activity operated at nearest work areas	PPV (mm/s)	eVDV (m.s ^{-1.75})	
Butlaw Fisheries	130	160	Roller/Whacker	0.44	0.23	
Clufflat Brae	40	90	Roller/Whacker	2.44	0.37	
Dundas	75	2000	Roller/Whacker	0.98	0.33	
Echline	40	1000	Roller/Whacker	2.44	0.37	
Inchgarvie Lodge	50	40	Roller/Whacker	1.77	0.33	
Linn Mill	60	250	Roller/Whacker	1.36	0.33	
Scotstoun	40	2000	Roller/Whacker	2.44	0.37	
Springfield	50	300	Roller/Whacker	1.77	0.33	
Tigh-Na-Grian	200	200	N/A	-	-	
Whinny Hill	180	1800	Roller/Whacker	0.383	0.04	

Table 3: PCNV Predicted PPV & VDV Levels


Notes on Table 3

- All plant used during construction activities has been assessed with respect to vibration. The only plant utilised considered to generate appreciable levels of vibration was a vibratory roller and a whacker plate (NOTE: Hydraulic rock breakers which typically generate 4.5mm/s @ 5m, 0.4mm/s @ 20m, 0.1mm/s @ 50m have been discounted due to the distances of use from the closest receptors).
- Vibratory rollers were not operated within 20m of any sensitive receptor. -
- Whacker plates were not utilised within 40m of any occupied sensitive receptor. -
- All roller eVDV values in the table above are based on the worst case scenario of a vibratory roller remaining in continuous operation for 2 hours an average distance (100m) from the nearest occupied receptors.
- All whacker plate eVDV values in the table above are based on the worst case scenario of a whacker plate remaining in continuous operation for 2 hours a minimum distance from the nearest receptor. -




APPENDIX B – VIBRATION GRAPHS









n PPV	
nreshold tent n	
nreshold ous n	
PV PPV	
on	
on data ertical axis d as this is vertical, vibration	



Exceedances on the 1st, 2nd, 14th and 26th of May have been investigated and found to be caused by individual isolated events that are unlikely to have been construction related activities (graph above from the 01/05/2017). The vibration monitor is located in the residents back garden, therefore resident's activity may have caused these exceedances.









Max 5.75 mm/s


Max 5.675 mm/s
















n PPV	
nreshold tent n	
nreshold ous n	
PV PPV	
on	
on data ertical axis d as this is vertical, vibration	



Exceedances on the 6th, 10th, 17th, 22nd, 24th, 25th, 27th and 29th May have been investigated and found to be caused by individual isolated events that are unlikely to have been construction related activities (graph above from the 10/05/2017). With this monitor being located in a public amenity area there is a likelihood that the exceedances seen above might have been caused by pedestrians using the area.



Exceedance on the 20th of May has been investigated and found to be caused out with construction working hours therefore it is unlikely that a construction related activity was the cause of this event (graph above from the 20/05/2017).




Exceedance on the 3rd of May has been investigated and found to be caused by the environmental department collecting air quality data (graph above from the 03/05/2017).




Max 6.65 mm/s

Max 10.45 mm/s

Max 6.925 mm/s









On the 23rd of May there were no exceedances however a high reading of 0.4ms^{-1.75} was recorded. This was investigated and found to be caused by footpath works in close proximity to the vibration monitor, approximately 10m away (graph above from the 23/05/2017). It should be noted that the dwellings are more distant than the monitor to the works. The dwelling closest to the works is approximately 25m away. Therefore, the vibration level at the dwelling is likely to be lower.














n PPV	
nreshold tent n	
nreshold ous n	
PV PPV	
on	
on data ertical axis d as this is vertical, vibration	
















n PPV	
nreshold tent n	
nreshold ous n	
PV PPV	
on	
on data ertical axis d as this is vertical, vibration	













Data missing on the $28^{th} - 31^{st}$ May was caused by electrical issue with monitor.



n PPV	
nreshold tent n	
nreshold ous n	
PV PPV	
on	
on data ertical axis d as this is vertical, vibration	



Exceedance on the 3rd of May has been investigated and found to be caused by the environmental department collecting air quality data and carrying out maintenance on noise monitor (graph above from the 03/05/2017).




Exceedance on the 24th of May has been investigated and found to be caused by an individual isolated event that is unlikely to have been a construction related activity (graph above from the 24/05/2017). The vibration monitor is located in the residents back garden, therefore resident's activity may have caused this exceedance.




Max 12.625 mm/s

Max 6.525 mm/s




Data missing on the $28^{th} - 31^{st}$ May was caused by electrical issue with monitor.






Data missing on the $28^{th} - 31^{st}$ May was caused by electrical issue with monitor.





Data missing on the $18^{th} - 21^{st}$ May was caused by electrical issue with monitor.





Exceedance on the 15th of May has been investigated and found to be caused by an individual isolated event that is unlikely to have been a construction related activity (graph above from the 15/05/2017). The vibration monitor is located in the residents back garden, therefore resident's activity may have caused this exceedance.




Exceedances on the 1st and 8th of May have been investigated and found to be caused out with construction working hours therefore it is unlikely that a construction related activity was the cause of these events (graph above from the 01/05/2017).



Max 6.2 mm/s


Max 10.55 mm/s



Data missing on the $18^{th} - 21^{st}$ May was caused by electrical issue with monitor.




VDV
VDV
ellings
v
vo
data ical axis as this is rtical, ration



Data missing on the $18^{th} - 21^{st}$ May was caused by electrical issue with monitor.




VDV
me VDV
vellings
ov
VDV
n data tical axis as this is ertical, pration




Forth Crossing Bridge Constructors - A Joint Venture of Hochtief Solutions AG, American Bridge International, Dragados, S.A. and Galliford Try Infrastructure Limited (Trading as Morrison Construction)













/DV	
e VDV	
ellings	
v	
/DV	
data cal axis is this is tical, ation	






PV	
hold t	
hold	
,	
lata cal axis s this is ical, ation	




Exceedances throughout May have been investigated and found to be caused by individual isolated events that are unlikely to have been construction related activities (graph above from the 20/05/2017). The vibration monitor is located in the residents back garden, therefore resident's activity may have caused these exceedances.




Exceedances on the 9th, 16th, 19th and 22nd of May have been investigated and found to be caused out with construction working hours therefore it is unlikely that a construction related activity was the cause of these events (graph above from the 09/05/2017).



Max 10.375 mm/s










VDV	
ne VDV	
ellings	ļ
v	
VDV	
data ical axis as this is rtical, ration	




Measured highest Daily Peak Particle Velocity (PPV), Tigh-na-grian,

Forth Crossing Bridge Constructors - A Joint Venture of Hochtief Solutions AG, American Bridge International, Dragados, S.A. and Galliford Try Infrastructure Limited (Trading as Morrison Construction)




on PPV	
hreshold ttent on	
hreshold Ious Dh	
PPV	
PPV	
ion r	
on data vertical axis ed as this is vertical, vibration	






I	D	١	J	
	-	1	-	




Exceedance on the 8th of May has been investigated and found to be caused by an individual isolated event that is unlikely to have been a construction related activity (graph above from the 08/05/2017). The vibration monitor is located in the residents back garden, therefore resident's activity may have caused this exceedance.



Max 0.612









Forth Crossing Bridge Constructors - A Joint Venture of Hochtief Solutions AG, American Bridge International, Dragados, S.A. and Galliford Try Infrastructure Limited (Trading as Morrison Construction)




PPV
eshold ent
eshold Is
v PV
ı
n data tical axis as this is ertical, pration



Exceedances on the 1st, 2nd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, 17th, 18th, 22nd, 23rd, 25th, 26th, 27th, 28th, 29th and 31st of May have been investigated and found to be caused by individual isolated events that are unlikely to have been construction related activities (graph above from the 06/05/2017).



Exceedances on the 11th, 16th, 19th, 20th, 21st and 30th of May have been investigated and found to be caused out with construction working hours therefore it is unlikely that a construction related activity was the cause of these events (graph above from the 11/05/2017).




Exceedance at 15:10 on the 24th of May has been investigated and found to be caused by the environmental department collecting air quality data (graph above from the 24/05/2017).



Max 10.7 mm/s

Max 9.05 mm/s

Max 11.275 mm/s





/DV	
VDV	
ellings	
v	
v	
data cal axis is this is tical, ation	

