

A14.3 Water Quality Calculations

1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 This appendix provides additional information on the calculations used to inform the water quality assessment of the route options, as reported in DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report, Part 3: Environmental Assessment, Chapter 14 (Road Drainage and the Water Environment).
- 1.1.2 As part of the water quality assessment, routine runoff and accidental spillage risk to the surface water features proposed to receive road drainage were assessed using the Highways Agency's (now Highways England) Water Risk Assessment Tool (HAWRAT), in line with * DMRB Volume 11, Section 3, Part 10 (HD45/09): Road Drainage and the Water Environment (The Highways Agency, Transport Scotland, Welsh Assembly Government and The Department for Regional Development Northern Ireland 2009).
- 1.1.3 The results of the HAWRAT assessments are provided in Section 2. Routine runoff parameters and results can be found in Section 3 (Routine Runoff Assessment – HAWRAT Output Sheets (Location Details, User Parameters and Results)) and the results of the spillage risk assessment can be found in Section 4 (Accidental Spillage Inverness Risk Assessment – Calculation Tables).

2 Results of HAWRAT Assessments

Assessment of Pollution Impacts from Spillages

- 2.1.1 The risk of an accidental spillage or vehicle fire, which could lead to a pollution incident, is considered to be proportional to the risk of a collision of heavy goods vehicles. Not all spillages lead to pollution incidents, as action can be taken to control spillages and prevent them from affecting the water environment.
- 2.1.2 The assessment has been completed for both individual outfalls and for outfalls discharging into the same reach (assessment of cumulative risk), for each route option. The results show that the risk of a serious pollution incident for each outfall (including the cumulative risk where more than one outfall discharges into the same reach) has an annual probability far below the 1% quoted in the DMRB guidance for outfalls that are not within 1km of a protected area (none of the outfalls would be located within 1km of a protected area). Therefore, the assessment has identified that no measures are required to mitigate spillage risk. In addition, the preferred option would be designed to modern highway codes and standards, which would also reduce the likelihood of such an accident.

Assessment of Pollution Impacts from Routine Runoff to Surface Waters

- 2.1.3 HAWRAT routine runoff assessments have been completed for all of the drainage catchments that are proposed to discharge to surface water, by route option. An assessment could not be completed for the proposed lane gain/drop along the A9 because no information was available about the discharge of routine runoff from this section of road.
- 2.1.4 The results of the HAWRAT routine runoff assessments have been used to help determine the magnitude and significance of the operational effects of each route option on water quality.
- 2.1.5 Individual (single outfall) routine runoff assessments have been completed for all drainage catchments that would discharge to surface water. In addition, cumulative routine runoff assessments have been undertaken where two or more outfalls discharge into the same reach of a surface water feature. There are two types of cumulative routine runoff assessment, as follows:
- a cumulative assessment for soluble and sediment-bound pollutants is required when two or more outfalls are located within 100m of each other in the same reach of a surface water feature; and
 - a cumulative assessment for soluble pollutants is required when two or more outfalls are located over 100m from each other, but within 1km, in the same reach of a surface water feature.
- 2.1.6 All of the proposed outfalls (for all route options) failed Step 1 of the individual and cumulative assessments, as would normally be expected. Therefore, Step 2 assessments were completed for all of the drainage catchments. The results of these assessments are shown (including their impact magnitude), by route option, in Tables 1 to 9. Resulting impact significance is reported in Chapter 14 (Road Drainage and the Water Environment). Detailed assessment input and output sheets are provided in Section 4 (Routine Runoff Assessment – HAWRAT Input and Output Sheets).
- Option 1A*
- 2.1.7 Table 1 summarises the results of the Step 2 individual HAWRAT routine runoff assessment for soluble and sediment-bound pollutants. Impact magnitude is determined based on the magnitude criteria provided in Table 14.2 of Chapter 14 (Road Drainage and the Water Environment).

Table 1: Summary of Step 2 HAWRAT Individual Routine Runoff Assessment

Drainage Catchments	Receiving Watercourse	HAWRAT Results			Compliance with EQS		Required Treatment of Solubles ¹		Required Settlement of Sediments	Impact Magnitude
		Soluble Acute Impacts		Sediment Chronic Impacts						
		Cu	Zn		Cu	Zn	Cu	Zn		
1	SWF 02	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	11%	59%	moderate
2	SWF 04	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	0%	negligible
3	SWF 07	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	52%	64%	93%	major
4	SWF 08	Pass	Pass	Alert 2	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	Not quantified	negligible
5	SWF 03	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	22%	minor

2.1.8 None of the drainage catchments included in the preliminary drainage design for Option 1A discharge into the same surface water feature. Therefore, a cumulative assessment was not needed for this option.

Option 1B

2.1.9 Table 2 summarises the results of the Step 2 individual HAWRAT routine runoff assessment for soluble and sediment-bound pollutants.

2.1.10 Table 3 summarises the results of the Step 2 cumulative HAWRAT routine runoff assessments for soluble pollutants.

Table 2: Summary of Step 2 HAWRAT Individual Routine Runoff Assessment

Drainage Catchments	Receiving Watercourse	HAWRAT Results			Compliance with EQS		Required Treatment of Solubles ²		Required Settlement of Sediments	Impact Magnitude
		Soluble Acute Impacts		Sediment Chronic Impacts						
		Cu	Zn		Cu	Zn				
1	SWF 02	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	11%	59%	moderate
2	SWF 04	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	0%	negligible
3	SWF 08	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	19%	minor
4	SWF 08	Pass	Pass	Alert 2	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	Not quantified	negligible
5	SWF 03	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	45%	minor

¹ Not specified in detailed results but passes when figure entered for proposed 'treatment for solubles' (HAWRAT Step 3). Figure is not based on a proposed discharge rate as this is not yet known (may change when discharge rate applied).

² Not specified in detailed results but passes when figure entered for proposed 'treatment for solubles' (HAWRAT Step 3). Figure is not based on a proposed discharge rate as this is not yet known (may change when discharge rate applied).

Table 3: Summary of Step 2 HAWRAT Cumulative Routine Runoff Assessment for Soluble Impacts

Drainage Catchments	Receiving Watercourse	HAWRAT Results Soluble Acute Impacts		Compliance with Environmental Quality Standards		Required Treatment of Solubles		Impact Magnitude
		Cu	Zn	Cu	Zn	Cu	Zn	
3 & 4	SWF 08	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	13%	minor

Option 2A

- 2.1.11 Table 4 summarises the results of the Step 2 individual HAWRAT routine runoff assessment for soluble and sediment-bound pollutants.

Table 4: Summary of Step 2 HAWRAT Individual Routine Runoff Assessment

Drainage Catchments	Receiving Watercourse	HAWRAT Results			Compliance with EQS		Required Treatment of Solubles ³		Required Settlement of Sediments	Impact Magnitude
		Soluble Acute Impacts		Sediment Chronic Impacts						
		Cu	Zn		Cu	Zn	Cu	Zn		
1	SWF 02	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	10%	59%	moderate
3	SWF 04	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	0%	negligible
4	SWF 07	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	52%	64%	93%	major
5	SWF 08	Pass	Pass	Alert 2	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	Not quantified	negligible
6	SWF 03	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	22%	minor

- 2.1.12 None of the drainage catchments included in the preliminary drainage design for Option 2A discharge into the same surface water feature. Therefore, a cumulative assessment was not needed for this option.

Option 2B

- 2.1.13 Table 5 summarises the results of the Step 2 individual HAWRAT routine runoff assessment for soluble and sediment-bound pollutants.
- 2.1.14 Table 6 summarises the results of the Step 2 cumulative HAWRAT routine runoff assessments for soluble pollutants.

³ Not specified in detailed results but passes when figure entered for proposed 'treatment for solubles' (HAWRAT Step 3). Figure is not based on a proposed discharge rate as this is not yet known (may change when discharge rate applied).

Table 5: Summary of Step 2 HAWRAT Individual Routine Runoff Assessment

Drainage Catchments	Receiving Watercourse	HAWRAT Results			Compliance with EQS		Required Treatment of Solubles ⁴		Required Settlement of Sediments	Impact Magnitude
		Soluble Acute Impacts		Sediment Chronic Impacts						
		Cu	Zn		Cu	Zn	Cu	Zn		
1	SWF 02	Pass	Fail	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	10%	59%	moderate
3	SWF 04	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	0%	negligible
4	SWF 08	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	19%	minor
5	SWF 08	Pass	Pass	Alert 2	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	Not quantified	negligible
6	SWF 03	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	45%	minor

Table 6: Summary of Step 2 HAWRAT Cumulative Routine Runoff Assessment for Soluble Impacts

Drainage Catchments	Receiving Watercourse	HAWRAT Results Soluble Acute Impacts		Compliance with Environmental Quality Standards		Required Treatment of Solubles ¹		Impact Magnitude
		Cu	Zn	Cu	Zn	Cu	Zn	
4 & 5	SWF 08	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	13%	minor

Option 3A

- 2.1.15 Table 7 summarises the results of the Step 2 individual HAWRAT routine runoff assessment for soluble and sediment-bound pollutants.

Table 7: Summary of Step 2 HAWRAT Individual Routine Runoff Assessment

Drainage Catchments	Receiving Watercourse	HAWRAT Results			Compliance with EQS		Required Treatment of Solubles ⁵		Required Settlement of Sediments	Impact Magnitude
		Soluble Acute Impacts		Sediment Chronic Impacts						
		Cu	Zn		Cu	Zn	Cu	Zn		
1	SWF 02	Pass	Pass	Alert 2	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	Not quantified	negligible
2	SWF 04	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	0%	negligible
3	SWF 07	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	52%	64%	93%	major
4	SWF 08	Pass	Pass	Alert 2	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	Not quantified	negligible
5	SWF 03	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	22%	minor

⁴ Not specified in detailed results but passes when figure entered for proposed 'treatment for solubles' (HAWRAT Step 3). Figure is not based on a proposed discharge rate as this is not yet known (may change when discharge rate applied).

⁵ Not specified in detailed results but passes when figure entered for proposed 'treatment for solubles' (HAWRAT Step 3). Figure is not based on a proposed discharge rate as this is not yet known (may change when discharge rate applied).

- 2.1.16 None of the drainage catchments included in the preliminary drainage design for Option 3A discharge into the same surface water feature. Therefore, a cumulative assessment was not needed for this option.

Option 3B

- 2.1.17 Table 8 summarises the results of the Step 2 individual HAWRAT routine runoff assessment for soluble and sediment-bound pollutants.
- 2.1.18 Table 9 summarises the results of the Step 2 cumulative HAWRAT routine runoff assessments for soluble pollutants.

Table 8: Summary of Step 2 HAWRAT Individual Routine Runoff Assessment

Drainage Catchments	Receiving Watercourse	HAWRAT Results			Compliance with EQS		Required Treatment of Solubles ⁶		Required Settlement of Sediments	Impact Magnitude
		Soluble Acute Impacts		Sediment Chronic Impacts						
		Cu	Zn		Cu	Zn	Cu	Zn		
1	SWF 02	Pass	Pass	Alert 2	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	Not quantified	negligible
2	SWF 04	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	0%	negligible
3	SWF 08	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	19%	minor
4	SWF 08	Pass	Pass	Alert 2	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	Not quantified	negligible
5	SWF 03	Pass	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	0%	45%	minor

Table 9: Summary of Step 2 HAWRAT Cumulative Routine Runoff Assessment for Soluble Impacts

Drainage Catchments	Receiving Watercourse	HAWRAT Results Soluble Acute Impacts		Compliance with Environmental Quality Standards		Required Treatment of Solubles ¹		Impact Magnitude
		Cu	Zn	Cu	Zn	Cu	Zn	
3 & 4	SWF 08	Pass	Fail	Pass	Pass	0%	13%	minor

⁶ Not specified in detailed results but passes when figure entered for proposed 'treatment for solubles' (HAWRAT Step 3). Figure is not based on a proposed discharge rate as this is not yet known (may change when discharge rate applied).

3 Routine Runoff Assessment – HAWRAT Input and Output Sheets (Location Details, User Parameters and Results)

- 3.1.1 The following table shows the details of the rainfall site chosen and used in relation to the HAWARAT assessment for all route options (1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A and 3B).

Details of the chosen rainfall site	
SAAR (mm)	1343.9
Altitude (m)	130
Easting	2704
Northing	7389
Coastal distance (km)	58

- 3.1.2 HAWRAT output results each individual drainage catchment for all Route Options are presented below. Routine Runoff results for cumulative assessments, Route 1B drainage catchments 3 & 4, Route 2B drainage catchments 4 & 5 and Route 3B drainage catchments 3 & 4, are also presented.

Routine Runoff Parameters: Individual Assessments

Route Option 1A: Drainage Catchment 1

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)	
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF02	
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Eastings		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID		
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Eastings		Date of assessment	24/01/2017	
	Northing		Version of assessment	1	
Outfall number	1				
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment					
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 1A, Catchment 1				

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)	
Runoff Risk Assessments					
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000		
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet		
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaltnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)		
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.001		
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.763		
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.75		
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.18		
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No		
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No		
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l		
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE		
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE		
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5		
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3		
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5		
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001		
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07		
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures	
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited		
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures	
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0		
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited		
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0		

Route Option 1A: Drainage Catchment 2

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)				
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF 04				
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Eastings		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID					
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs				
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Eastings		Date of assessment	26/01/2017				
	Northing		Version of assessment	1				
Outfall number	2							
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment								
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 1A, Catchment 2							

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)	
Runoff Risk Assessments					
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000		
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet		
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaltnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)		
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.005		
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.764		
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.93		
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.51		
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No		
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No		
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l		
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE		
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE		
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.6		
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3		
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5		
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001		
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07		
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures	
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited		
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures	
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0		
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited		
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0		

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton
DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report
Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 1A: Drainage Catchment 3

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton	Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number		Receiving watercourse	SWF07
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting Northing	EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting Northing	Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
Outfall number	3	Date of assessment	24/01/2017
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment		Version of assessment	1
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 1A, Catchment 3		

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.0001	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.576	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.23	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.33	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.3	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation -restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed attenuation -restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 1A: Drainage Catchment 4

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton	Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number		Receiving watercourse	SWF08
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting Northing	EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting Northing	Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
Outfall number	4	Date of assessment	24/01/2017
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment		Version of assessment	1
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 1A, Catchment 4		

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.584	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.73	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.06	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	Yes	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation -restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed attenuation -restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton
DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report
Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 1A: Drainage Catchment 5

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF03
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Eastings		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing			
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Eastings		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
	Northing		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
Outfall number	5		Version of assessment	1
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 1A, Catchment 5			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.712	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.61	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.16	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.8	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	description for proposed measures
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 1B: Drainage Catchment 1

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF02
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Eastings		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing			
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Eastings		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
	Northing		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
Outfall number	1		Version of assessment	1
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 1B, Catchment 1			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.001	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.763	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.75	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.18	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	description for proposed measures
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 1B: Drainage Catchment 2

Road Number	A9/A96 inches to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)	
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF 04	
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Eastings		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID		
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Eastings		Date of assessment	26/01/2017	
	Northing		Version of assessment	1	
Outfall number	2				
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment					
Notes	DS 1036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 1B, Catchment 2				

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardtnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.005	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.764	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.99	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.53	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.6	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 1B: Drainage Catchment 3

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)	
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF08	
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Eastings		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID		
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Eastings		Date of assessment	24/01/2017	
	Northing		Version of assessment	1	
Outfall number	3				
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment					
Notes	DS 1036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 1B, Catchment 3				

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardtnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.584	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.17	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.45	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 1B: Drainage Catchment 4

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF08
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	4			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 1B, Catchment 4			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardtaraig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.584	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.77	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.06	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	Yes	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 1B: Drainage Catchment 5

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF03
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	5			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 1B, Catchment 5			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardtaraig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.712	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.86	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.2	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.8	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 2A: Drainage Catchment 1

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)		
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF02		
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID			
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs		
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017		
	Northing		Version of assessment	1		
Outfall number	1					
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment						
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 2A, Catchment 1					

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardtnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.001	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.763	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.74	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.18	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	Yes	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 2A: Drainage Catchment 3

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)	
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF 04	
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID		
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	26/01/2017	
	Northing		Version of assessment	1	
Outfall number	3				
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment					
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 2A, Catchment 3				

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardtnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.005	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.764	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.93	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.51	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.6	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 2A: Drainage Catchment 4

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF07
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	4			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 2A, Catchment 4			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardtnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.0001	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.576	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.23	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.33	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.3	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 2A: Drainage Catchment 5

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF08
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	5			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 2A, Catchment 5			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardtnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.584	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.73	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.06	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	Yes	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 2A: Drainage Catchment 6

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF03
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	6			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	OS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 2A, Catchment 6			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraing (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.712	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.61	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.16	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.8	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 2B: Drainage Catchment 1

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF02
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	1			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	OS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 2B, Catchment 1			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraing (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.001	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.763	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.74	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.18	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	Yes	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 2B: Drainage Catchment 3

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF 04
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	26/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	3			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 2B, Catchment 3			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardalnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.005	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.764	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.99	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.53	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.6	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 2B: Drainage Catchment 4

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF06
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	4			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 2B, Catchment 4			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardalnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.594	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.17	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.45	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 2B: Drainage Catchment 5

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF08
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Eastings		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Eastings		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	5			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 2B, Catchment 5			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaltnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.584	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.77	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.06	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	Yes	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 2B: Drainage Catchment 6

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF03
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Eastings		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Eastings		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	6			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 2B, Catchment 6			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaltnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.712	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.86	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.2	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.8	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 3A: Drainage Catchment 1

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)	
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF02	
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID		
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017	
	Northing		Version of assessment	1	
Outfall number	1				
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment					
Notes	OS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 3A, Catchment 1				

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardtnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95thile River flow	m3/s	0	0.001	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.763	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.29	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.02	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	Yes	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 3A: Drainage Catchment 2

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)	
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF 04	
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID		
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	26/01/2017	
	Northing		Version of assessment	1	
Outfall number	2				
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment					
Notes	OS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 3A, Catchment 2				

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardtnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95thile River flow	m3/s	0	0.005	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.764	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.43	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.37	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.6	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation - restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 3A: Drainage Catchment 3

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF07
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	3			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	OS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 3A, Catchment 3			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraing (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.0001	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.576	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.23	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.33	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.3	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 3A: Drainage Catchment 4

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF08
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	4			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	OS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 3A, Catchment 4			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraing (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.584	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.73	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.06	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	Yes	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 3A: Drainage Catchment 5

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton	Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number		Receiving watercourse	SWF03
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting Northing	EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting Northing	Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
Outfall number	5	Date of assessment	24/01/2017
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment		Version of assessment	1
Notes	OS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 3A, Catchment 5		

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardalnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.712	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.61	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.16	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.8	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 3B: Drainage Catchment 1

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton	Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number		Receiving watercourse	SWF02
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting Northing	EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting Northing	Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
Outfall number	1	Date of assessment	24/01/2017
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment		Version of assessment	1
Notes	OS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 3B, Catchment 1		

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardalnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.001	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.763	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.29	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.02	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	Yes	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton
DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report
Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 3B: Drainage Catchment 2

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton	Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number		Receiving watercourse	SWF 04
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting Northing	EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting Northing	Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
Outfall number	2	Date of assessment	25/01/2017
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment		Version of assessment	1
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 3B, Catchment 2		

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.005	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.764	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.49	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.39	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.6	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 3B: Drainage Catchment 3

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton	Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number		Receiving watercourse	SWF08
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting Northing	EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting Northing	Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
Outfall number	3	Date of assessment	24/01/2017
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment		Version of assessment	1
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 3B, Catchment 3		

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.584	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.17	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.45	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier 2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier 2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier 2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier 2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton
DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report
Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 3B: Drainage Catchment 4

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF08
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	4			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 3B, Catchment 4			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.584	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.77	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.06	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	Yes	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.5	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 3B: Drainage Catchment 5

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Non-cumulative assessment (single outfall)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF03
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
	Northing		Version of assessment	1
Outfall number	5			
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 3B, Catchment 5			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.712	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	0.86	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.2	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.8	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Routine Runoff Parameters: Cumulative Assessments

Route Option 1B: Drainage Catchments 3 & 4

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton	Assessment type	Cumulative assessment excluding sediments (outfalls between 100m and 1km apart)
HA Area/DBFO number		Receiving watercourse	SWF08
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting Northing	EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting Northing	Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
Outfall number	3 & 4	Date of assessment	24/01/2017
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment		Version of assessment	1
Notes	DS 2036: Cumulative Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 1B, Catchments 3 & 4		

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardtnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.584	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.94	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.51	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.8	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 2B: Drainage Catchments 4 & 5

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton	Assessment type	Cumulative assessment excluding sediments (outfalls between 100m and 1km apart)
HA Area/DBFO number		Receiving watercourse	SWF 08
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Easting Northing	EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Easting Northing	Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
Outfall number	4 & 5	Date of assessment	24/01/2017
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment		Version of assessment	1
Notes	DS 2036: Cumulative Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 2B, Catchments 4 & 5		

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)
Runoff Risk Assessments				
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000	
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet	
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardtnaig (SAAR 1343.9mm)	
95%ile River flow	m ³ /s	0	0.002	
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.584	
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.94	
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.51	
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No	
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No	
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	Low = <50mg CaCO ₃ /l	
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE	
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE	
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	5	
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3	
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5	
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001	
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07	
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures
Existing attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0	
Proposed attenuation-restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0	

Route Option 3B: Drainage Catchments 3 & 4

Road Number	A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton		Assessment type	Cumulative assessment excluding sediments (outfalls between 100m and 1km apart)
HA Area/DBFO number			Receiving watercourse	SWF08
OS grid reference of assessment point (m)	Eastings		EA receiving water Detailed River Network ID	
	Northing			
OS grid reference of outfall structure (m)	Eastings		Assessor and affiliation	Jane Gooding, Jacobs
	Northing		Date of assessment	24/01/2017
Outfall number	3 & 4		Version of assessment	1
List of outfalls in cumulative assessment				
Notes	DS 2036: Cumulative Routine Runoff Assessment for Option 3B, Catchments 3 & 4			

Parameter	Units	Default Value	Value used	Notes (Enter notes in the left-hand cells only)	
Runoff Risk Assessments					
AADT	vpd	>10,000 and <50,000	>10,000 and <50,000		
Climatic Region	-	Warm Dry	Colder Wet		
Rainfall Site	-	Ashford (SAAR 710mm)	Ardaraig (SAAR 1343.9mm)		
95%ile River flow	m3/s	0	0.002		
Baseflow Index	-	0.5	0.584		
Impermeable road area drained	ha	1	1.94		
Permeable area draining to outfall	ha	1	0.51		
Is the discharge in or within 1 km upstream of a protected site for conservation?	-	No	No		
Is there a downstream structure, lake, pond or canal that reduces the velocity within 100m of the point of discharge?	-	No	No		
Hardness	-	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l	Low = <50mg CaCO3/l		
Use Tier 1	-	TRUE	TRUE		
Use Tier 2	-	FALSE	FALSE		
Tier 1 Estimated river width at Q95	0	5	0.8		
Tier2 Bed width	m	3	3		
Tier2 Side slope	m/m	0.5	0.5		
Tier2 Long slope	m/m	0.0001	0.0001		
Tier2 Mannings' n	-	0.07	0.07		
Existing treatment for solubles	%	0	0	description for existing measures	
Existing attenuation -restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited		
Existing settlement of sediments	%	0	0	description for proposed measures	
Proposed treatment for solubles	%	0	0		
Proposed attenuation -restricted discharge rate	l/s	Unlimited	Unlimited		
Proposed settlement of sediments	%	0	0		

Routine Runoff Results: Individual Assessments

Route Option 1A: Drainage Catchment 1

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Step 1	Step 1
Copper	Copper
Zinc	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
63.00	56.70
81	64
RST6	RST6
1	1
18.00	20.60
24	27
(ug/l)	(ug/l)
21	60
42	120
Event Statistics	Event Statistics
Mean	Mean
90%ile	90%ile
95%ile	95%ile
99%ile	99%ile
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.56
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28
Thresholds	Thresholds
RST24	RST24
RST6	RST6
21	60
42	120
Event Statistics	Event Statistics
Mean	Mean
90%ile	90%ile
95%ile	95%ile
99%ile	99%ile
351	1105
753	2672
962	3572
1388	5637
Thresholds	Thresholds
RST24	RST24
RST6	RST6
21	60
42	120
Event Statistics	Event Statistics
Mean	Mean
90%ile	90%ile
95%ile	95%ile
99%ile	99%ile
351	1105
753	2672
962	3572
1388	5637

In River (no mitigation)

Step 2	Step 2
Copper	Copper
Zinc	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
0.9	2
2	5
0.5	1.1
2	5
RST6	RST6
1	1
6	0.4
0	2
0	0.1
0	1
Annual average concentration (ug/l)	Annual average concentration (ug/l)
0.58	1.79
(ug/l)	(ug/l)
21	60
42	120
Event Statistics	Event Statistics
Mean	Mean
90%ile	90%ile
95%ile	95%ile
99%ile	99%ile
1.63	5.00
5.01	12.38
8.63	22.43
16.16	68.67
Thresholds	Thresholds
RST24	RST24
RST6	RST6
21	60
42	120
Event Statistics	Event Statistics
Mean	Mean
90%ile	90%ile
95%ile	95%ile
99%ile	99%ile
1.63	5.00
5.01	12.38
8.63	22.43
16.16	68.67

Velocity 8.93 m/s
D₅₀ 242.58
% settlement needed 59 %

Task 1 is used for the calculation

In River (with mitigation)

Step 2	Step 2
Copper	Copper
Zinc	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
Annual average concentration (ug/l)	Annual average concentration (ug/l)
-	-
(ug/l)	(ug/l)
21	60
42	120
Event Statistics	Event Statistics
Mean	Mean
90%ile	90%ile
95%ile	95%ile
99%ile	99%ile
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
Thresholds	Thresholds
RST24	RST24
RST6	RST6
21	60
42	120
Event Statistics	Event Statistics
Mean	Mean
90%ile	90%ile
95%ile	95%ile
99%ile	99%ile
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

D₅₀ -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 1A: Drainage Catchment 2

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year	
No. of exceedances/year	
No. of exceedances/worst year	
Thresholds	
Event Statistics	Mean
	90%ile
	95%ile
	99%ile

Step 1

	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	1	1
	63.00	56.70
	81	64
	RST6	
	1	1
	18.00	20.60
	24	27
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	21	60
RST6	42	120
	23.36	67.70
	45.65	147.58
	54.99	194.62
	96.36	372.28

Step 1	Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	83.80	112.16	2.20	48.30	111.06	48.30	23.80	91.80
	97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101
	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)
	197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515
	351	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
	793	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
	962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
	1385	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year	
No. of exceedances/year	
No. of exceedances/worst year	
No. of exceedances/summer	
No. of exceedances/worst summer	
Annual average concentration (µg/l)	
Thresholds	
Event Statistics	Mean
	90%ile
	95%ile
	99%ile

Step 2

	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	2	2
	0.2	1.2
	1	4
	0.1	0.8
	1	4
	RST6	
	1	1
	0	0.1
	0	1
	0	0
	0	0
	0.34	1.05
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	21	60
RST6	42	120
	1.00	3.14
	2.91	7.38
	5.38	13.60
	11.48	50.80

Velocity	0.10	m/s
DI	59.66	
% settlement needed	0	%

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year	
No. of exceedances/year	
No. of exceedances/worst year	
No. of exceedances/summer	
No. of exceedances/worst summer	
Annual average concentration (µg/l)	
Thresholds	
Event Statistics	Mean
	90%ile
	95%ile
	99%ile

Step 3		
Copper	Zinc	
RST24		
2	2	
-	-	
-	-	
-	-	
-	-	
RST6		
1	1	
-	-	
-	-	
-	-	
-	-	
-	-	
-	-	
(µg/l)	(µg/l)	
RST24	21	60
RST6	42	120
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-
-	-	-

DI	-
----	---

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 1A: Drainage Catchment 3

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

DETAILED RESULTS

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

In Runoff

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
1	1
63.60	56.70
81	64
RST6	
1	1
18.80	26.60
24	27
(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
Toxicity Threshold							
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
63.60	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101
(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515
331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
735	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1583	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
11.6	12.2
16	17
6.1	5.9
15	16
RST6	
1	1
2.1	4
5	9
1.2	1.8
4	8
2.95	9.41
(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
6.62	19.95
17.96	54.12
27.22	77.30
49.25	169.32

Step 2

Velocity	0.01 m/s	Tier 1 is used for the calculation
DI	1341.33	
% settlement needed	93	%

In River (with mitigation)

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
RST6	
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI	-
----	---

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 1A: Drainage Catchment 4

Summary of predictions

Prediction of Impact	Step1
	Step2
	Step3

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
83.00	56.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
18.00	20.00
24	27

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
21	60
42	120

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.00	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

Step 2

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6
331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5037	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
0.2	0.9
1	3
0.1	0.6
1	3

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
0	0.1
0	1
0	0
0	0

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
0.31	0.57
RST6	RST6
0.93	2.92
2.58	6.54
5.21	12.63
11.18	50.94

Velocity 0.65 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 76.96

% settlement needed 0 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Step 4

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
21	60
42	120

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton

DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report

Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 1A: Drainage Catchment 5

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
1	1
63.90	96.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
18.00	20.60
24	27

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	
21	60
RST6	
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean	90%ile	95%ile	99%ile
	23.36	45.65	54.99	96.36
	67.70	147.58	194.62	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.80	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	40.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.80	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	40.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
Toxicity Threshold							
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	915

Event Statistics	Mean	90%ile	95%ile	99%ile
	331	733	962	1383
	1105	2672	3572	5637
	1	2	3	4
	16058	35481	70795	89125
	2780	6138	12247	15419
	2067	5890	11752	14795
	170	376	750	945
	752	1661	3313	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
0.1	0.9
1	3
0.1	0.6
1	3

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	
21	60
RST6	
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean	90%ile	95%ile	99%ile
	0.82	2.34	4.54	10.05
	2.60	5.83	11.21	45.32

Step 2

Velocity 0.02 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 127.81

% settlement needed 22 %

In River (with mitigation)

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	
21	60
RST6	
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean	90%ile	95%ile	99%ile
	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 1B: Drainage Catchment 1

Summary of predictions

Prediction of Impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
1	1
63.00	56.79
81	64
RST6	
1	1
18.00	20.68
24	27
(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
Toxicity Threshold							
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.80	112.16	2.29	48.30	111.08	48.30	23.80	91.80
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101
(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
197	313	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515
331	1105	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
0.9	2
2	5
0.5	1.1
2	5
RST6	
1	1
0	0.4
0	2
0	0.1
0	1
0.58	1.79
(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
1.63	5.00
5.01	12.38
8.63	22.43
16.16	68.67

Velocity 0.63 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 242.58

% settlement needed 59 %

In River (with mitigation)

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
RST6	
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 1B: Drainage Catchment 2

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc
1	1
63.00	56.70
81	64

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.80	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	82	101

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
63.00	56.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
18.00	20.60
24	27

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	90
RST6	RST6
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean	90%ile	95%ile	99%ile
	23.56	45.05	54.99	96.86
	67.70	147.58	194.62	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.80	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	82	101

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515

Toxicity Threshold	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
	351	1105	1	16068	2780	2667	170
	753	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376
	962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750
	1388	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945

In River (no mitigation)

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
0.2	1.2
1	4
0.1	0.8
1	4

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
0	0.2
0	2
0	0
0	0

Annual average concentration (ug/l)	
0.35	1.08

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	90
RST6	RST6
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean	90%ile	95%ile	99%ile
	1.03	2.99	5.50	11.89
	3.21	7.55	13.93	51.52

Step 2

Velocity 8.10 m/s

DI 61.51

% settlement needed 0 %

Time 1 is used for the calculation

In River (with mitigation)

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Annual average concentration (ug/l)	
-	-

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	90
RST6	RST6
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean	90%ile	95%ile	99%ile
	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-

Step 2

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 1B: Drainage Catchment 3

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step1
	Step2
	Step3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics
Mean
90thile
95thile
99thile

Step 1	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	1	1
	63.00	56.70
	81	64
	RST6	
	1	1
	16.00	20.60
	24	27
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	21	60
RST6	42	120
	23.36	67.70
	49.65	147.50
	54.99	194.62
	96.36	372.28

Step 1	Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
	RST24							
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	83.80	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.80	91.80
	97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101
	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(µg/g)	(µg/g)	(µg/g)	(µg/g)	(µg/g)
Toxicity Threshold	197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515
	331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
	733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
	962	3572	8	70795	12247	11752	750	3318
	1388	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics
Mean
90thile
95thile
99thile

Step 2	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	2	2
	0.5	1.6
	1	5
	0.2	1
	1	5
	RST6	
	1	1
	0	0.2
	0	2
	0	0
	0	0
	0.46	1.41
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	21	60
RST6	42	120
	1.31	4.03
	3.76	9.15
	6.87	17.96
	14.04	62.37

Velocity 6.85 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 123.35

% settlement needed 19 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics
Mean
90thile
95thile
99thile

Step 3	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	2	2
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	RST6	
	1	1
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	21	60
RST6	42	120
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 1B: Drainage Catchment 4

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Scap1
	Scap2
	Scap3

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90thile
95thile
99thile

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
63.60	56.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
16.60	26.60
24	27

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	872.28

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
63.60	112.50	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515

331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2672	2	85481	6138	5890	876	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1389	5687	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90thile
95thile
99thile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
6.2	6.9
1	3
0.1	0.6
1	3

RST6	RST6
1	1
0	6.2
0	2
0	0
0	0

0.33	1.01
------	------

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
0.97	3.04
2.70	6.84
5.46	13.19
11.54	52.53

Velocity 0.05 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 81.18

% settlement needed 0 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90thile
95thile
99thile

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

-	-
---	---

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 1B: Drainage Catchment 5

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 1	
Copper	Zinc
RST24	
1	1
63.00	56.70
81	64
RST6	
1	1
18.00	29.60
24	27
(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
23.36	67.70
45.05	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Step 1							
Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
Toxicity Threshold							
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.88	112.10	2.20	48.38	111.00	48.38	23.08	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101
(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245
331	1165	1	10068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2	
Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
9.2	1.3
1	4
0.1	0.8
1	4
RST6	
1	1
0	0.2
0	2
0	0
0	0
(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
1.07	3.35
3.00	7.73
5.89	14.63
12.25	54.20

Velocity 0.02 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 186.19

% settlement needed 45 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3	
Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
RST6	
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 2A: Drainage Catchment 1

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
1	1
63.00	56.70
81	64
RST6	
1	1
18.00	20.60
24	27
(ug/l)	
RST24	21
RST6	42
(ug/l)	
23.36	67.70
45.05	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
Toxicity Threshold							
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.88	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101
(mg/kg)							
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515
(ug/kg)							
331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
0.9	2
2	5
0.5	1.1
2	5
RST6	
1	1
0	0.4
0	2
0	0.1
0	1
(ug/l)	
0.57	1.77
(ug/l)	
RST24	21
RST6	42
(ug/l)	
1.62	4.95
4.97	12.29
8.54	22.19
16.06	68.31

Step 2

Velocity	0.03 m/s	Tier 1 is used for the calculation
DI	236.34	
% settlement needed	59	%

In River (with mitigation)

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
RST6	
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
(ug/l)	
-	-
(ug/l)	
RST24	21
RST6	42
(ug/l)	
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI	-
----	---

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 2A: Drainage Catchment 3

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step1
	Step2
	Step3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 1	
Copper	Zinc
RST24	
1	1
63.60	56.70
81	64
RST6	
1	1
16.60	20.60
24	27
(ug/l)	
RST24	RST6
21	60
42	120
(ug/l)	
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	872.38

Step 1							
Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
Toxicity Threshold							
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
63.60	112.18	2.20	46.30	111.00	48.30	23.60	91.08
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101
(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515
331	1185	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
738	2672	2	85481	6138	5890	876	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	790	5313
1883	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2	
Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
6.2	1.2
1	4
0.1	0.8
1	4
RST6	
1	1
0	0.1
0	1
0	0
0	0
(ug/l)	
RST24	RST6
21	60
42	120
(ug/l)	
1.00	3.14
2.91	7.38
5.38	13.60
11.48	50.80

Velocity 0.10 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 58.66

% settlement needed 0 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3	
Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
RST6	
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
(ug/l)	
RST24	RST6
21	60
42	120
(ug/l)	
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 2A: Drainage Catchment 4

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
1	1
63.00	56.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
18.00	20.60
24	27

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
21	60
42	120

Copper	Zinc
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.86	112.10	2.20	48.36	111.00	48.36	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

Step 2

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
11.6	12.2
16	17
6.1	5.9
15	16

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
2.1	4
5	9
1.2	1.8
4	8

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
2.95	9.41

Step 4

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
21	60
42	120

Copper	Zinc
6.82	19.95
17.96	54.12
27.22	77.30
49.23	169.32

Velocity 0.01 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 1341.33

% settlement needed 93 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Step 4

Copper	Zinc
-	-

Step 5

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
21	60
42	120

Copper	Zinc
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 2A: Drainage Catchment 5

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Sexp1
	Sexp2
	Sexp3

DETAILED RESULTS

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
83.80	56.70
81	64
RST6	RST6
1	1
18.80	20.60
24	27
(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	129
23.36	67.70
45.85	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.80	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.00	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101
(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515
331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
735	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1583	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
0.2	0.9
1	3
0.1	0.6
1	3
RST6	RST6
1	1
0	0.1
0	1
0	0
0	0
0	0
0.31	0.97
(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	129
0.93	2.92
2.58	6.54
5.21	12.65
11.18	50.94

Velocity 0.05 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 76.96

% settlement needed 0 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	129
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 2A: Drainage Catchment 6

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

DETAILED RESULTS

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

In Runoff

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
43.00	56.79
81	64

RST6	RST6
1	1
18.00	20.68
24	27

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST6
21	60
42	120

23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.80	112.98	2.29	48.30	111.08	48.30	23.80	91.80
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2305	245	515

331	1165	1	10068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
0.1	0.9
1	3
0.1	0.6
1	3

RST6	RST6
1	1
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0

0.27	0.85
------	------

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST6
21	60
42	120

0.82	2.60
2.24	5.83
4.54	11.21
10.05	45.32

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Velocity 0.62 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 127.81

% settlement needed 22 %

In River (with mitigation)

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

-	-
---	---

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST6
21	60
42	120

-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 2B: Drainage Catchment 1

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step1
	Step2
	Step3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
63.00	56.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
18.00	20.60
24	27

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.88	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
9.9	2
2	5
0.5	1.1
2	5

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
0	0.4
0	2
0	0.1
0	1

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
1.62	4.95
4.97	12.29
8.54	22.19
16.06	68.31

Velocity 0.03 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

Dt 238.34

% settlement needed 59 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Dt -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 2B: Drainage Catchment 3

Summary of predictions

Prediction of Impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
83.00	56.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
18.00	20.90
24	27

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
21	60
42	120

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.00	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

Step 2

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
793	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
0.2	1.2
1	4
0.1	0.8
1	4

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
0	0.2
0	2
0	0
0	0

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
21	60
42	120

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1.03	3.21
2.99	7.55
5.50	13.93
11.69	51.52

Velocity 6.10 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 61.51

% settlement needed 0 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
21	60
42	120

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 2B: Drainage Catchment 4

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
83.00	56.79
81	64

RST6	RST6
1	1
18.00	20.00
24	27

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.00	112.16	2.29	48.30	111.08	48.30	23.80	91.80
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515

331	1165	1	10068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
0.5	1.6
1	5
0.2	1
1	5

RST6	RST6
1	1
0	0.2
0	2
0	0
0	0

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

1.31	4.08
3.76	9.15
6.87	17.96
14.04	62.37

Velocity 0.05 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 123.35

% settlement needed 19 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 2B: Drainage Catchment 5

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step1
	Step2
	Step3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
63.00	56.79
81	64

RST6	RST6
1	1
18.00	20.69
24	27

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.60	112.16	2.28	48.30	111.06	48.30	23.90	91.60
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515

331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
0.2	0.9
1	3
0.1	0.6
1	3

RST6	RST6
1	1
9	0.2
0	2
0	0
0	0

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

0.97	3.04
2.70	6.84
5.46	13.19
11.54	52.53

Velocity 0.05 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 81.16

% settlement needed 0 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton

DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report

Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 2B: Drainage Catchment 6

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Snap1
	Snap2
	Snap3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics

Mean

90%ile

95%ile

99%ile

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
83.00	56.79
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
18.00	20.99
24	27

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.80	112.19	2.29	48.30	111.98	48.30	23.60	91.60
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)
331	1105	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2072	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics

Mean

90%ile

95%ile

99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
0.2	1.3
1	4
0.1	0.8
1	4

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
0	0.2
0	2
0	0
0	0

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
0.36	1.13

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
1.07	3.35
3.00	7.73
5.89	14.63
12.25	54.20

Velocity 0.62 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 180.19

% settlement needed 45 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics

Mean

90%ile

95%ile

99%ile

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
-	-

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

JACOBS®

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 3A: Drainage Catchment 2

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
63.00	56.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST5	RST5
1	1
18.00	20.60
24	27

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST5	RST5
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean	90%ile	95%ile	99%ile
	23.86	67.70	45.65	147.58
	54.99	194.62	96.36	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.80	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
RST5	RST5	RST5	RST5	RST5	RST5	RST5	RST5
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
18.00	20.60	0.30	4.00	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
24	27	0.30	4.00	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
197	315	3.5	16779	875	2355	245	515

Event Statistics	Mean	90%ile	95%ile	99%ile
	381	1165	1	16068
	783	2672	2	35481
	962	3572	3	70795
	1383	5637	4	89125
				15419
				34795
				945
				4371

In River (no mitigation)

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
0.1	0.9
1	3
0.1	0.6
1	3

Copper	Zinc
RST5	RST5
1	1
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST5	RST5
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean	90%ile	95%ile	99%ile
	0.79	2.91	2.21	5.67
	4.25	10.70	9.65	42.84

Velocity 0.10 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 44.20

% settlement needed 0 %

In River (with mitigation)

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST5	RST5
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST5	RST5
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean	90%ile	95%ile	99%ile
	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton

DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report

Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 3A: Drainage Catchment 3

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

DETAILED RESULTS

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 1	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	1	1
	63.00	56.70
	81	64
	RST6	
	1	1
	18.00	20.90
	24	27
	(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21	60
RST6	42	120
	23.36	67.70
	45.65	147.58
	54.99	184.62
	96.36	372.28

Step 1	Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
	RST24							
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	83.80	112.10	2.26	48.38	111.80	48.30	23.00	91.00
	97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101
	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	107	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515
	331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
	733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
	962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
	1385	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	2	2
	11.6	12.2
	16	17
	6.1	5.9
	15	16
	RST6	
	1	1
	2.1	4
	5	9
	1.2	1.8
	4	8
	(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21	60
RST6	42	120
	6.82	19.95
	17.96	54.12
	27.23	77.30
	49.23	169.82

Velocity 0.01 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 1341.33

% settlement needed 93 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	2	2
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	RST6	
	1	1
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21	60
RST6	42	120
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton

DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report

Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 3A: Drainage Catchment 4

Summary of predictions

Prediction of Impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

DETAILED RESULTS

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 1	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	1	1
	83.00	56.79
	81	64
	RST6	
	1	1
	18.00	20.99
	24	27
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	21	60
RST6	42	120
	23.36	67.70
	45.65	147.58
	54.99	194.62
	96.36	372.28

Step 1	Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
	RST24							
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	83.80	112.58	2.29	48.30	111.08	48.30	23.60	91.80
	97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101
	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)	(µg/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515
	331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
	733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
	962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
	1383	5637	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	2	2
	0.2	0.9
	1	3
	0.1	0.6
	1	3
	RST6	
	1	1
	0	0.1
	0	1
	0	0
	0	0
	0.31	0.57
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	21	60
RST6	42	120
	0.93	2.92
	2.58	6.54
	5.21	12.63
	11.18	50.94

Velocity 6.05 m/s Tier 1 is used for the calculation
DI 78.96
% settlement needed 0 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (µg/l)

Thresholds thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	2	2
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	RST6	
	1	1
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	21	60
RST6	42	120
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton

DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report

Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 3A: Drainage Catchment 5

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Step 1	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	1	1
	63.80	96.70
	81	64

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Step 1	Copper	Zinc
	RST6	
	1	1
	18.00	29.40
	24	27

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
	67.70
	147.58
	194.62
	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

Toxicity Threshold

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
197	315	3.5	16778	875	2355	245	515
331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1583	5687	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Step 2	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	2	2
	0.1	0.3
	1	3
	0.1	0.6
	1	3

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Step 2	Copper	Zinc
	RST6	
	1	1
	0	0
	0	0
	0	0
	0	0

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Step 2	Copper	Zinc
	RST6	
	0.27	0.85

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
	0.82
	2.60
	2.24
	5.83
	4.54
	11.21
	10.05
	45.32

Velocity 0.02 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 127.81

% settlement needed 22 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Step 3	Copper	Zinc
	RST24	
	2	2
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Step 3	Copper	Zinc
	RST6	
	1	1
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Step 3	Copper	Zinc
	RST6	
	-	-

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
	-
	-
	-
	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 3B: Drainage Catchment 1

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

DETAILED RESULTS

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

In Runoff

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
63.00	56.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
18.00	20.60
24	27

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean
90%ile	23.36
95%ile	45.65
99%ile	54.99
	96.36

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.80	112.50	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	50	127	50	32	101

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
18.00	20.60						
24	27						

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(µg/g)	(µg/g)	(µg/g)	(µg/g)	(µg/g)
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
197	315	3.5	10770	875	2355	245	515

Event Statistics	Mean
90%ile	331
95%ile	738
99%ile	962
	1883

In River (no mitigation)

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
0.1	0.9
1	3
0.1	0.6
1	3

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0

Annual average concentration (µg/l)	
	0.26
	0.83

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean
90%ile	0.81
95%ile	2.25
99%ile	4.32
	9.84

Step 2

Velocity 0.03 m/s

DI 93.80

% settlement needed 0 %

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

In River (with mitigation)

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Annual average concentration (µg/l)	
	-
	-

(µg/l)	(µg/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean
90%ile	-
95%ile	-
99%ile	-
	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton

DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report

Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 3B: Drainage Catchment 2

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
63.86	56.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
18.06	29.40
24	27

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	66
RST6	RST6
42	120

Event Statistics	Mean
	90%ile
	95%ile
	99%ile

Event Statistics	Mean
	90%ile
	95%ile
	99%ile

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24	RST24
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
63.86	112.10	2.20	48.36	111.60	48.36	23.06	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6	RST6
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
63.86	112.10	2.20	48.36	111.60	48.36	23.06	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
197	315	3.5	16779	875	2355	245	515

Event Statistics	Mean
	90%ile
	95%ile
	99%ile

In River (no mitigation)

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
6.1	6.3
1	3
0.1	0.6
1	3

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	66
RST6	RST6
42	120

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Event Statistics	Mean
	90%ile
	95%ile
	99%ile

Event Statistics	Mean
	90%ile
	95%ile
	99%ile

Velocity 0.16 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 46.06

% settlement needed 0 %

In River (with mitigation)

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	66
RST6	RST6
42	120

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds thresholds

Event Statistics	Mean
	90%ile
	95%ile
	99%ile

Event Statistics	Mean
	90%ile
	95%ile
	99%ile

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton

DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report

Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 3B: Drainage Catchment 3

Summary of predictions

Prediction of Impact	Step1
	Step2
	Step3

DETAILED RESULTS

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
1	1
83.00	56.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
18.00	20.90
24	27

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	
21	60
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.95	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.00	112.10	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.60	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

Toxicity Threshold	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
	197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245

331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
733	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
902	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5037	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
0.5	1.6
1	5
0.2	1
1	5

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
0	0.2
0	2
0	0
0	0

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	
21	60
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
1.31	4.08
3.76	9.15
6.87	17.96
14.04	62.37

Velocity 0.05 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 123.35

% settlement needed 19 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	
21	60
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton

DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report

Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 3B: Drainage Catchment 4

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics
Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
63.60	56.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
18.00	20.00
24	27

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	872.28

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
63.60	112.19	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.00	91.00
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold	Toxicity Threshold
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515

331	1285	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
738	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3315
1383	5687	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics
Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
6.2	6.9
1	3
0.1	0.6
1	3

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
0	0.2
0	2
0	0
0	0

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
0.97	3.04
2.70	6.84
5.46	13.19
11.54	32.53

Velocity 0.05 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 81.18

% settlement needed 0 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics
Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	RST24
21	60
RST6	RST6
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton

DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report

Part 6: Appendices

Route Option 3B: Drainage Catchment 5

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
1	1
63.40	56.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
18.80	20.60
24	27

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
21	60
42	129

Copper	Zinc
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	872.38

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
83.89	112.59	2.20	48.30	111.00	48.30	23.00	91.06
97	128	7	59	127	59	32	101

Toxicity Threshold

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
197	315	3.5	16770	875	2355	245	515

331	1165	1	16068	2780	2667	170	752
738	2672	2	35481	6138	5890	376	1661
962	3572	3	70795	12247	11752	750	3313
1383	5687	4	89125	15419	14795	945	4171

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
0.2	1.3
1	4
0.1	0.8
1	4

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
0	0.2
0	2
0	0
0	0

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
0.36	1.13

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
21	60
42	129

Copper	Zinc
1.07	3.35
3.00	7.73
5.89	14.63
12.25	54.20

Velocity 0.02 m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI 189.19

% settlement needed 45 %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	RST6
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
-	-

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	RST24
21	60
42	129

Copper	Zinc
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI -

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton

DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report

Part 6: Appendices



Routine Runoff Assessment: Cumulative Assessments

Route Option 1B: Drainage Catchments 3 & 4

Summary of predictions

Prediction of Impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

DETAILED RESULTS

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics
Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
1	1
63.09	96.79
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
18.09	20.69
24	27

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	
21	69
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
197	315	3.5	16779	875	2355	245	515

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics
Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
1.1	2.2
3	5
0.6	1.2
3	5

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
0.6	0.6
0	2
0	0.3
0	2

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	
21	69
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
1.88	5.67
5.50	13.55
9.61	26.22
19.20	79.42

Velocity m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI

% settlement needed %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds

Thresholds

Event Statistics
Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	
21	69
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton

DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report

Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 2B: Drainage Catchments 4 & 5

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step1
	Step2
	Step3

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics
Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 1	
Copper	Zinc
RST24	
1	1
63.00	56.70
81	64
RST6	
1	1
18.00	29.60
24	27
(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
23.36	67.70
45.05	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Step 1							
Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
Toxicity Threshold							
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
197	315	3.5	16778	875	2355	245	515

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics
Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2	
Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
1.1	2.2
3	5
0.6	1.2
3	5
RST6	
1	1
0	0.6
0	2
0	0.3
0	2
0.68	2.08
(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
1.88	5.67
5.50	13.55
9.61	26.22
19.20	79.42

Velocity m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI

% settlement needed %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics
Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3	
Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
RST6	
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-
(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	21
RST6	42
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI

A9/A96 Inshes to Smithton

DMRB Stage 2 Scheme Assessment Report

Part 6: Appendices



Route Option 3B: Drainage Catchments 3 & 4

Summary of predictions

Prediction of impact	Step 1
	Step 2
	Step 3

DETAILED RESULTS

In Runoff

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Soluble - Acute Impact

Copper	Zinc

Step 1

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
1	1
63.00	96.70
81	64

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
18.00	29.60
24	27

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	
21	66
RST6	
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
23.36	67.70
45.65	147.58
54.99	194.62
96.36	372.28

Sediment - Chronic Impact

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene

Step 1

Copper	Zinc	Cadmium	Total PAH	Pyrene	Fluoranthene	Anthracene	Phenanthrene
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)
Toxicity Threshold	197	315	3.5	16779	875	2355	245	515

In River (no mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 2

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
1.1	2.2
3	5
0.6	1.2
3	5

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
0	0.6
0	2
0	0.3
0	2

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	
21	66
RST6	
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
1.88	5.67
5.50	13.55
9.61	26.22
19.20	79.42

Velocity m/s

Tier 1 is used for the calculation

DI

% settlement needed %

In River (with mitigation)

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Allowable Exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/year
No. of exceedances/worst year
No. of exceedances/summer
No. of exceedances/worst summer

Annual average concentration (ug/l)

Thresholds
Thresholds

Event Statistics Mean
90%ile
95%ile
99%ile

Step 3

Copper	Zinc
RST24	
2	2
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

Copper	Zinc
RST6	
1	1
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
RST24	
21	66
RST6	
42	120

(ug/l)	(ug/l)
-	-
-	-
-	-
-	-

DI

4 Accidental Spillage Risk Assessment – Calculation Tables

- 4.1.1 The following tables show details results site of Spillage Risk Assessment for all route options (1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A and 3B) in relation to Method D – Assessment of Pollution Impacts from Spillages, outlined in DMRB Volume 11, Section 3, Part 10, HD45/09.

Spillage Risk Results

Route Option 1A

Drainage Catchment 1

2036 Do Something Catchment 1	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	21,936	1.44	0.00006168315	0.45	2.77574E-05	36026	0.003
Road within 100m of side road	0.02	1.81	21,936	1.44	0.00000417370	0.45	1.87817E-06	532434	0.000
Road within 100m of side road	0.02	1.81	21,883	1.44	0.00000416362	0.45	1.87363E-06	533723	0.000
Road within 100m of side road	0.1	1.81	21,883	1.44	0.00002081809	0.45	9.36814E-06	106744	0.001
Road no junction	0.28	0.31	21,883	1.44	0.00000998348	0.45	4.49257E-06	222589	0.000
	Total for Catchment 1				0.00010082204	0.45	4.53699E-05	22041	0.005

Drainage Catchment 2

2036 Do Something Catchment 2	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.47	0.31	21,883	1.44	0.00001675798	0.45	7.54109E-06	132607	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	21,883	1.44	0.00006153412	0.45	2.76904E-05	36114	0.003
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	5,199	3.10	0.00003147228	0.45	1.41625E-05	70609	0.001
Road within 100m of side road	0.04	1.81	5,199	3.10	0.00000425905	0.45	1.91657E-06	521765	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.08	5.35	7,187	1.39	0.00001560627	0.45	7.02282E-06	142393	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	2,514	1.46	0.00000716745	0.45	3.22535E-06	310044	0.000
Road no junction	0.12	0.31	2,514	1.46	0.00000049837	0.45	2.24268E-07	4458960	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	28,760	1.12	0.00006290042	0.45	2.83052E-05	35329	0.003
Road no junction	0.29	0.31	28,760	1.12	0.00001056962	0.45	4.75633E-06	210246	0.000
	Total for Catchment 2				0.00021076557	0.45	9.48445E-05	10544	0.009

Drainage Catchment 3

2036 Do Something Catchment 3	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.18	0.31	28,760	1.12	0.00000656046	0.45	2.9522E-06	338730	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	28,760	1.12	0.00006290042	0.45	2.83052E-05	35329	0.003
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	22,162	1.43	0.00006188589	0.45	2.78487E-05	35908	0.003
Road no junction	0.42	0.31	22,162	1.43	0.00001255069	0.45	5.64781E-06	177060	0.001
	Total for Catchment 3				0.00014389745	0.45	6.47539E-05	15443	0.006

Drainage Catchment 4

2036 Do Something Catchment 4	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.39	0.31	22,162	1.43	0.00001398505	0.45	6.29327E-06	158900	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	22,162	1.43	0.00006188589	0.45	2.78487E-05	35908	0.003
	Total for Catchment 4				0.00007587094	0.45	3.41419E-05	29290	0.003

Drainage Catchment 5

2036 Do Something Catchment 5	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	6,404	0.55	0.00000687798	0.45	3.09509E-06	323092	0.000
Road no junction	0.35	0.31	6,404	0.55	0.00000139488	0.45	6.27696E-07	1593129	0.000
	Total for Catchment 5				0.00000827286	0.45	3.72278E-06	268616	0.000

Route Option 1B

Drainage Catchment 1

2036 Do Something Catchment 1	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	21,936	1.44	0.00006168315	0.45	2.77574E-05	36026	0.003
Road within 100m of side road	0.02	1.81	21,936	1.44	0.00000417370	0.45	1.87817E-06	532434	0.000
Road within 100m of side road	0.02	1.81	21,883	1.44	0.00000416362	0.45	1.87363E-06	533724	0.000
Road within 100m of side road	0.1	1.81	21,883	1.44	0.00002081809	0.45	9.36814E-06	106745	0.001
Road no junction	0.28	0.31	21,883	1.44	0.00000998348	0.45	4.49257E-06	222590	0.000
Total for Catchment 1					0.00010082204	0.45	4.53699E-05	22041	0.005

Drainage Catchment 2

2036 Do Something Catchment 2	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.47	0.31	21,883	1.44	0.00001675798	0.45	7.54109E-06	132607	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	21,883	1.44	0.00006153412	0.45	2.76904E-05	36114	0.003
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	5,199	3.10	0.00003147228	0.45	1.41625E-05	70609	0.001
Road within 100m of side road	0.04	1.81	5,199	3.10	0.00000425905	0.45	1.91657E-06	521765	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.08	5.35	7,187	1.39	0.00001560627	0.45	7.02282E-06	142393	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	2,514	1.46	0.00000716745	0.45	3.22535E-06	310044	0.000
Road no junction	0.12	0.31	2,514	1.46	0.00000049837	0.45	2.24268E-07	4458960	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	28,760	1.12	0.00006290042	0.45	2.83052E-05	35329	0.003
Road no junction	0.3	0.31	28,760	1.12	0.00001093409	0.45	4.92034E-06	203238	0.000
Total for Catchment 2					0.00021113004	0.45	9.50085E-05	10525	0.010

Drainage Catchment 3

2036 Do Something Catchment 3	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.06	0.31	28,760	1.12	0.00000218682	0.45	9.84068E-07	1016190	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	28,760	1.12	0.00006290042	0.45	2.83052E-05	35329	0.003
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	22,162	1.43	0.00006188589	0.45	2.78487E-05	35908	0.003
Road no junction	0.11	0.31	22,162	1.43	0.00000394450	0.45	1.77503E-06	563372	0.000
Road within 100m of side road	0.1	1.81	22,162	1.43	0.00002093710	0.45	9.42169E-06	106138	0.001
Road within 100m of side road	0.1	1.81	22,162	1.43	0.00002093710	0.45	9.42169E-06	106138	0.001
Road no junction	0.21	0.31	22,162	1.43	0.00000753041	0.45	3.38869E-06	295100	0.000
Total for Catchment 3					0.00018032223	0.45	8.1145E-05	12324	0.008

Drainage Catchment 4

2036 Do Something Catchment 4	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.41	0.31	22,162	1.43	0.00001470224	0.45	6.61601E-06	151149	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	22,162	1.43	0.00006188589	0.45	2.78487E-05	35908	0.003
Total for Catchment 4					0.00007658813	0.45	3.44647E-05	29015	0.003

Drainage Catchment 5

2036 Do Something Catchment 5	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	6,404	0.55	0.00000687798	0.45	3.09509E-06	323092	0.000
Road no junction	0.54	0.31	6,404	0.55	0.00000215210	0.45	9.68445E-07	1032583	0.000
Total for Catchment 5					0.00000903008	0.45	4.06353E-06	246091	0.000

Cumulative annual probability from catchments 3 and 4 = 0.012%

Route Option 2A

Drainage Catchment 1

2036 Do Something Catchment 1	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	27,711	2.48	0.00013419939	0.45	6.03897E-05	16559	0.006
Road within 100m of side road	0.02	1.81	27,711	2.48	0.00000908041	0.45	4.08618E-06	244727	0.000
Road within 100m of side road	0.02	1.81	27,826	2.45	0.00000900779	0.45	4.05351E-06	246700	0.000
Road within 100m of side road	0.1	1.81	27,826	2.45	0.00004503895	0.45	2.02675E-05	49340	0.002
Road no junction	0.18	0.31	27,826	2.45	0.00001388494	0.45	6.24822E-06	160046	0.001
Road within 100m of crossroad	0.1	1.46	27,826	2.45	0.00003632976	0.45	1.63484E-05	61168	0.002
Total for Catchment 1					0.00024754124	0.45	0.000111394	8977	0.011

Drainage Catchment 2

2036 Do Something Catchment 2	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of crossroad	0.1	1.46	9,351	2.44	0.00001215888	0.45	5.4715E-06	182765	0.001
Road no junction	0.37	0.31	9,351	2.44	0.00000955222	0.45	4.2985E-06	232639	0.000
Road within 100m of slip road	0.1	0.36	9,351	2.44	0.00000299808	0.45	1.34914E-06	741215	0.000
Road within 100m of crossroad	0.1	1.46	5,039	3.41	0.00000915682	0.45	4.12057E-06	242685	0.000
Road no junction	0.17	0.31	5,039	3.41	0.00000330523	0.45	1.48736E-06	672334	0.000
Road within 100m of slip road	0.1	0.36	5,039	3.41	0.00000225784	0.45	1.01603E-06	984223	0.000
Total for Catchment 2					0.00003942907	0.45	1.77431E-05	56360	0.002

Drainage Catchment 3

2036 Do Something Catchment 3	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of crossroad	0.1	1.46	23,835	1.96	0.00002489528	0.45	1.12029E-05	89263	0.001
Road no junction	0.36	0.31	23,835	1.96	0.00001902954	0.45	8.56329E-06	116778	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	23,835	1.96	0.00009122584	0.45	4.10516E-05	24360	0.004
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	7,426	1.55	0.00002247674	0.45	1.01145E-05	98868	0.001
Road within 100m of side road	0.04	1.81	7,426	1.55	0.00000304171	0.45	1.36877E-06	730583	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.08	5.35	6,414	3.90	0.00003907781	0.45	1.7585E-05	56867	0.002
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	3,518	1.55	0.00001064815	0.45	4.79167E-06	208696	0.000
Road no junction	0.12	0.31	3,518	1.55	0.00000074039	0.45	3.33178E-07	3001402	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	29,087	1.13	0.00006418359	0.45	2.88826E-05	34623	0.003
Road no junction	0.29	0.31	29,087	1.13	0.00001078524	0.45	4.85336E-06	206043	0.000
Total for Catchment 3					0.00028610430	0.45	0.000128747	7767	0.013

Drainage Catchment 4

2036 Do Something Catchment 4	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.18	0.31	29,087	1.13	0.00000669429	0.45	3.01243E-06	331958	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	29,087	1.13	0.00006418359	0.45	2.88826E-05	34623	0.003
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	22,726	1.46	0.00006479217	0.45	2.91565E-05	34298	0.003
Road no junction	0.42	0.31	22,726	1.46	0.00001576811	0.45	7.09565E-06	140931	0.001
Total for Catchment 4					0.00015143816	0.45	6.81472E-05	14674	0.007

Drainage Catchment 5

2036 Do Something Catchment 5	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.39	0.31	22,726	1.46	0.00001464182	0.45	6.58882E-06	151772	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	22,726	1.46	0.00006479217	0.45	2.91565E-05	34298	0.003
Total for Catchment 5					0.00007943399	0.45	3.57453E-05	27976	0.004

Drainage Catchment 6

2036 Do Something Catchment 6	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	5,991	0.23	0.00000136626	0.45	6.14817E-07	1626499	0.000
Road no junction	0.35	0.31	5,991	0.23	0.00000022863	0.45	1.02881E-07	9719934	0.000
	Total for Catchment 6				0.00000159489	0.45	7.17699E-07	1393342	0.000

Route Option 2B

Drainage Catchment 1

2036 Do Something Catchment 1	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	27,711	2.48	0.00013419939	0.45	6.03897E-05	16559	0.006
Road within 100m of side road	0.02	1.81	27,711	2.48	0.00000908041	0.45	4.08618E-06	244727	0.000
Road within 100m of side road	0.02	1.81	27,826	2.45	0.00000900779	0.45	4.05351E-06	246700	0.000
Road within 100m of side road	0.1	1.81	27,826	2.45	0.00004503895	0.45	2.02675E-05	49340	0.002
Road no junction	0.18	0.31	27,826	2.45	0.00001388494	0.45	6.24822E-06	160045	0.001
Road within 100m of crossroad	0.1	1.46	27,826	2.45	0.00003632976	0.45	1.63484E-05	61168	0.002
	Total for Catchment 1				0.00024754124	0.45	0.000111394	8977	0.011

Drainage Catchment 2

2036 Do Something Catchment 2	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of crossroad	0.1	1.46	9,351	2.44	0.00001215888	0.45	5.4715E-06	182765	0.001
Road no junction	0.37	0.31	9,351	2.44	0.00000955222	0.45	4.2985E-06	232639	0.000
Road within 100m of slip road	0.1	0.36	9,351	2.44	0.00000299808	0.45	1.34914E-06	741215	0.000
Road within 100m of crossroad	0.1	1.46	5,039	3.41	0.00000915682	0.45	4.12057E-06	242685	0.000
Road no junction	0.17	0.31	5,039	3.41	0.00000330523	0.45	1.48736E-06	672334	0.000
Road within 100m of slip road	0.1	0.36	5,039	3.41	0.00000225784	0.45	1.01603E-06	984223	0.000
	Total for Catchment 2				0.00003942907	0.45	1.77431E-05	56360	0.002

Drainage Catchment 3

2036 Do Something Catchment 3	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of crossroad	0.1	1.46	23,835	1.96	0.00002489528	0.45	1.12029E-05	89263	0.001
Road no junction	0.36	0.31	23,835	1.96	0.00001902954	0.45	8.56329E-06	116778	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	23,835	1.96	0.00009122584	0.45	4.10516E-05	24360	0.004
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	7,426	1.55	0.00002247674	0.45	1.01145E-05	98868	0.001
Road within 100m of side road	0.04	1.81	7,426	1.55	0.00000304171	0.45	1.36877E-06	730583	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.08	5.35	6,414	3.90	0.00003907781	0.45	1.7585E-05	56867	0.002
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	3,518	1.55	0.00001064815	0.45	4.79167E-06	208696	0.000
Road no junction	0.12	0.31	3,518	1.55	0.0000074039	0.45	3.33178E-07	3001402	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	29,087	1.13	0.00006418359	0.45	2.88826E-05	34623	0.003
Road no junction	0.3	0.31	29,087	1.13	0.00001115715	0.45	5.02072E-06	199175	0.001
	Total for Catchment 3				0.00028647620	0.45	0.000128914	7757	0.013

Drainage Catchment 4

2036 Do Something Catchment 4	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.06	0.31	29,087	1.13	0.00000223143	0.45	1.00414E-06	995874	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	29,087	1.13	0.00006418359	0.45	2.88826E-05	34623	0.003
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	22,726	1.46	0.00006479217	0.45	2.91565E-05	34298	0.003
Road no junction	0.11	0.31	22,726	1.46	0.00000412974	0.45	1.85838E-06	538102	0.000
Road within 100m of side road	0.1	1.81	22,726	1.46	0.00002192034	0.45	9.86415E-06	101377	0.001
Road within 100m of side road	0.1	1.81	22,726	1.46	0.00002192034	0.45	9.86415E-06	101377	0.001
Road no junction	0.21	0.31	22,726	1.46	0.00000788406	0.45	3.54783E-06	281863	0.000
Total for Catchment 4					0.00018706167	0.45	8.41778E-05	11880	0.008

Drainage Catchment 5

2036 Do Something Catchment 5	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.41	0.31	22,726	1.46	0.00001539268	0.45	6.92671E-06	144369	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	22,726	1.46	0.00006479217	0.45	2.91565E-05	34298	0.003
Total for Catchment 5					0.00008018485	0.45	3.60832E-05	27714	0.004

Drainage Catchment 6

2036 Do Something Catchment 6	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	5,991	0.23	0.00000136626	0.45	6.14817E-07	1626499	0.000
Road no junction	0.54	0.31	5,991	0.23	0.00000035274	0.45	1.58731E-07	6299957	0.000
Total for Catchment 6					0.00000171900	0.45	7.73549E-07	129743	0.000

Cumulative annual probability from catchments 4 and 5 = 0.012%

Route Option 3A

Drainage Catchment 1

2036 Do Something Catchment 1	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.28	0.31	33,307	2.74	0.00002891337	0.45	1.3011E-05	76858	0.001
Road within 100m of side road	0.08	1.81	33,307	2.74	0.00004823336	0.45	2.1705E-05	46072	0.002
	Total for Catchment 1				0.00007714673	0.45	3.4716E-05	28805	0.003

Drainage Catchment 2

2036 Do Something Catchment 2	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	15,555	2.32	0.00007047006	0.45	3.17115E-05	31534	0.003
Road within 100m of side road	0.04	1.81	15,555	2.32	0.00000953651	0.45	4.29143E-06	233023	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.08	5.35	6,742	1.64	0.00001727306	0.45	7.77288E-06	128653	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	1,939	1.90	0.00000719413	0.45	3.23736E-06	308894	0.000
Road no junction	0.12	0.31	1,939	1.90	0.0000050023	0.45	2.25102E-07	4442427	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	20,892	1.54	0.00006282715	0.45	2.82722E-05	35370	0.003
Road no junction	0.29	0.31	20,892	1.54	0.00001055731	0.45	4.75079E-06	210491	0.000
	Total for Catchment 2				0.00017835845	0.45	8.02613E-05	12459	0.008

Drainage Catchment 3

2036 Do Something Catchment 3	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.18	0.31	20,892	1.54	0.00000655281	0.45	2.94877E-06	339125	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	16,159	1.83	0.00005774471	0.45	2.59851E-05	38484	0.003
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	16,159	1.83	0.00005774471	0.45	2.59851E-05	38484	0.003
Road no junction	0.42	0.31	16,159	1.83	0.00001171084	0.45	5.26988E-06	189758	0.001
	Total for Catchment 3				0.00013375308	0.45	6.01889E-05	16614	0.006

Drainage Catchment 4

2036 Do Something Catchment 4	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.39	0.31	16,159	1.83	0.00001304923	0.45	5.87215E-06	170295	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	16,159	1.83	0.00005774471	0.45	2.59851E-05	384834	0.003
	Total for Catchment 4				0.00007079394	0.45	3.18573E-05	31390	0.003

Drainage Catchment 5

2036 Do Something Catchment 5	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	4,793	0.85	0.00000795560	0.45	3.58002E-06	279328	0.000
Road no junction	0.35	0.31	4,793	0.85	0.00000161343	0.45	7.26042E-07	1377332	0.000
	Total for Catchment 5				0.00000956903	0.45	4.30606E-06	232231	0.000

Route Option 3B

Drainage Catchment 1

2036 Do Something Catchment 1	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.28	0.31	33,307	2.74	0.00002891337	0.45	1.3011E-05	76858	0.001
Road within 100m of side road	0.08	1.81	33,307	2.74	0.00004823336	0.45	2.1705E-05	46072	0.002
	Total for Catchment 1				0.00007714673	0.45	3.4716E-05	28805	0.003

Drainage Catchment 2

2036 Do Something Catchment 2	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	15,555	2.32	0.00007047006	0.45	3.17115E-05	31534	0.003
Road within 100m of side road	0.04	1.81	15,555	2.32	0.00000953651	0.45	4.29143E-06	233023	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.08	5.35	6,742	1.64	0.00001727306	0.45	7.77288E-06	128653	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	1,939	1.90	0.00000719413	0.45	3.23736E-06	308894	0.000
Road no junction	0.12	0.31	1,939	1.90	0.00000500023	0.45	2.25102E-07	4442427	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	20,892	1.54	0.00006282715	0.45	2.82722E-05	35370	0.003
Road no junction	0.29	0.31	20,892	1.54	0.00001055731	0.45	4.75079E-06	210491	0.000
	Total for Catchment 2				0.00017835845	0.45	8.02613E-05	12459	0.008

Drainage Catchment 3

2036 Do Something Catchment 3	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.06	0.31	20,892	1.54	0.00000218427	0.45	9.82922E-07	1017375	0.000
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	20,892	1.54	0.00006282715	0.45	2.82722E-05	35370	0.003
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	16,159	1.83	0.00005774471	0.45	2.59851E-05	38484	0.003
Road no junction	0.11	0.31	16,159	1.83	0.00000368055	0.45	1.65625E-06	603774	0.000
Road within 100m of side road	0.1	1.81	16,159	1.83	0.00001953606	0.45	8.79123E-06	113750	0.001
Road within 100m of side road	0.1	1.81	16,159	1.83	0.00001953606	0.45	8.79123E-06	113750	0.001
Road no junction	0.21	0.31	16,159	1.83	0.00000702651	0.45	3.16193E-06	316263	0.000
	Total for Catchment 3				0.00017253532	0.45	7.76409E-05	12880	0.008

Drainage Catchment 4

2036 Do Something Catchment 4	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road no junction	0.41	0.31	16,159	1.83	0.00001371842	0.45	6.17329E-06	161988	0.001
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	16,159	1.83	0.00005774471	0.45	2.59851E-05	38484	0.003
	Total for Catchment 4				0.00007146313	0.45	3.21584E-05	31096	0.003

Drainage Catchment 5

2036 Do Something Catchment 5	Total Annual Accident Probability (Pacc)					Annual Pollution Incident Probability (Pinc)			
	Road Length (km)	Serious Spillage Risk Factor	Two-way AADT	%HGV	Annual Probability (Pacc)	Probability Factor (Ppol)	Probability	Return Period (years)	Percentage Probability (%)
Road within 100m of roundabout	0.1	5.35	4,793	0.85	0.00000795560	0.45	3.58002E-06	279328	0.000
Road no junction	0.54	0.31	4,793	0.85	0.00000248929	0.45	1.12018E-06	892715	0.000
	Total for Catchment 5				0.00001044489	0.45	4.7002E-06	212757	0.000

Cumulative annual probability from catchments 3 and 4 = 0.011%