

Appendix A8.6: Agriculture, Forestry and Sporting Land Pre- and Post-Mitigation Impacts

- 1.1.1 This appendix supports Chapter 8 (People and Communities Community and Private Assets). Table 1 and Table 2 provide the assessment of potential and residual impacts on agriculture and forestry land interests, respectively. The loss of land in this appendix is based on the Draft Compulsory Purchase Order (CPO) which is reported within the Draft Orders to the nearest metre squared.
- 1.1.2 For further information regarding mitigation items, see Table 8.20 in Chapter 8 (People and Communities Community and Private Assets).

Table 1: Potential impacts and mitigation for agricultural fields and woodland parcels

Land interest			Land-take				Potential Impacts		
Land Interest	Field Plot	Field Area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%			
Old Faskally	A/1	8.40	LCA 6.2	4366	0.44	5	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.		
Farm	A/2	4.72	LCA 5.2	6645	0.66	15	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.		
(Rel. A)			LCA 6.2	978	0.10	2			
	A/3W	0.52	Woodland	4094	0.41	79	Loss of majority of woodland coupe. Potential to retain 0.22ha woodland within land-take boundary. Disruption to drainage system.		
	A/4W	1.01	Woodland	3840	0.38	38	Loss of open area and woodland comprising of mixed deciduous species with some mature Sitka spruce. Potential to retain 0.17ha woodland within land-take boundary. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.		
Coille Essan	B/1	4.62	LCA 5.2	9818	0.98	21	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.		
(Ref. B)	B/2W 1.85 Woodland 3597	0.36	19	Loss of woodland (European larch and mixed deciduous species) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. Potential to retain 0.06ha within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.					
F	B/3	0.61	LCA 5.2	6138	0.61	100	Loss of entire field.		
	B/4W	1.60	Woodland	2273	0.23	14	Loss of woodland (Douglas fir, European larch, beech and oak) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. Potential to retain 0.10ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.		
Orchilmore Farm	C/1	5.19	LCA 5.2	3952	0.40	8	Loss of boundary features, two gated accesses and disruption to field drainage system.		
(Ref. C)	C/2	3.49	LCA 5.2	302	0.03	1	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.		
House of Urrard (Ref. D)	D/1W	2.73	Woodland	686	0.07	3	Loss of woodland (silver birch, oak, beech and hazel) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. Potential to retain 0.03ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.		
	D/2	2.25	LCA 5.2	1266	0.13	6	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.		
	D/3W	1.14	Woodland	6976	0.70	60	Loss of woodland (mature beech and oak high forest with some Douglas fir) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. Potential to retain 0.36ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.		
	D/4	0.70	LCA 5.2	6993	0.70	100	Loss of entire field.		
	D/5	0.12	LCA 5.2	1178	0.12	100	Coss of entire field.		
	D/6W	0.45	Woodland	208	0.02	4	Loss of woodland (mature oak and beech) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. No change to WDRS (Low).		

Land interest			Land-take				Potential Impacts	
Land Interest	Field Plot	Field Area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%		
							Disruption to drainage system.	
	D/7	1.60	LCA 5.2	12407	1.24	77	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.	
	D/8W	0.17	Woodland	513	0.05	31	Loss of woodland (young Scots pine, Sitka spruce, European larch and mixed deciduous species) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.	
	D/9W	0.56	Woodland	5565	0.56	100	Loss of entire woodland coupe (Norway spruce, European larch and Douglas fir).	
	D/10	1.06	LCA 5.2	5787	0.58	55	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.	
	D/11	0.81	LCA 5.2	4981	0.50	62	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.	
	D/12	1.90	LCA 5.2	614	0.06	3	Loss of boundary features, two gated accesses and disruption to field drainage system.	
	D/13W	13W 0.97 Woodland 2333 0.23 24 Loss of semi- to WDRS (Lo					Loss of semi-mature woodland (Douglas fir, rowan, ash and oak) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.	
	D/14W	0.90	Woodland	506	0.05	6	Loss of woodland (Norway spruce, Sitka spruce, Lawsons cypress, sycamore and lime) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.	
	D/15W	0.26	Woodland	2329	0.23	88	Loss of majority of woodland coupe (Norway spruce, Douglas fir, European larch, oak, wild cherry and silver birch).	
	D/16	D/16 1.22 LCA 5.2 5020 0.50 41 L		41	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.			
	D/17W	0.17	Woodland	1674	0.17	100	Land-take of entire woodland coupe (Norway spruce, European larch, rowan and ash). Potential to retain 0.03ha within land-take boundary.	
	D/18W	1.46	Woodland	8782	0.88	60	Loss of woodland (mixed deciduous species and Scots pine) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. Potential to retain 0.46ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.	
Clunebeg Farm	E/1	8.38	LCA 5.2	29202	2.93	35	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.	
(Ref. E)	E/2	6.57	LCA 4.1	53006	5.30	81	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.	
	E/3	6.07	LCA 4.1	20108	2.01	33	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.	
	E/4	0.65	LCA 4.1	6452	0.65	100	Loss of entire field.	
	E/5	5.22	LCA 4.1	22687	2.23	43	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.	
	E/6	8.53	LCA 4.1	5118	0.51	6	Loss of boundary features, two gated accesses and disruption to field drainage system.	
	E/7	1.87	LCA 4.1	280	0.03	1	Loss of boundary features, two gated accesses and disruption to field drainage system.	
Strathgarry	F/1	1.59	LCA 4.1	737	0.07	5	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.	
Farm and Glackmore Farm (Ref. F)	F/2W	7.36	Woodland	21521	2.15	29	Loss of woodland (mixed deciduous species) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. Potential to retain 1.34ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system. Part of coupe would be affected by adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of 0.01m to 0.05m during flood events expected. Extent of floodplain would be expected to be relatively unchanged.	
	F/3	8.13	LCA 4.1	25124	2.51	31	Loss of boundary features, two gated accesses and disruption to field drainage system.	
	F/4	5.83	LCA 4.1	17	<0.01	<1	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.	
	F/5	4.48	LCA 5.2	27678	2.77	62	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.	

Land interest			Land-take				Potential Impacts			
Land Interest	Field Plot	Field Area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%				
	F/6	3.09	LCA 5.2	8181	0.82	26	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.			
	F/7	2.39	LCA 4.1	6264	0.63	26	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.			
			LCA 5.2	6810	0.68	28				
	F/8W	0.18	Woodland	348	0.03	20	Loss of woodland (mature silver birch, rowan, hawthorn and ash) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.			
	F/9	2.97	LCA 5.2	8832	0.88	30	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.			
	F/10W	0.11	Woodland	1133	0.11	100	Land-take of entire woodland coupe of mixed deciduous species including silver birch, hazel and birch cherry. Potential to retain 0.02ha of woodland within land-take boundary. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.			
Atholl Estate (The Bruar	G/1W	1.26	Woodland	2623	0.26	21	Loss of woodland and creation of new brown edge within coupe comprising of mixed deciduous species including aspen, silver birch and rowan. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.			
(Ref. G)	G/2W	3.75	Woodland	2668	0.27	7	Loss of woodland (Sitka spruce and mixed deciduous species) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. Potential to retain 0.13ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/3W	0.46	Woodland	4618	0.46	100	Land-take from entire woodland coupe (ash, silver birch, sycamore, beech and hawthorn). Potential to retain 0.27ha woodland within land-take boundary.			
	G/4W	2.53	Woodland	25281	2.53	100	Land-take from entire woodland coupe (Scots pine, European larch and Norway spruce). Potential to retain 0.05ha woodland within land-take boundary.			
	G/5W	6.18	Woodland	7618	0.76	12	Loss of woodland (mixed deciduous species including silver birch, alder and goat willow). No new brown edge gap creat Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/6W	0.43	Woodland	1676	0.17	39	Loss of woodland comprising of mixed deciduous species including sycamore, silver birch, alder and ash. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/7W	0.11	Woodland	397	0.04	35	Loss of woodland comprising of European larch, silver birch and alder. Potential to retain 0.07ha woodland within land-take boundary. No new brown edge gap created.			
	G/8W	0.21	Woodland	562	0.06	27	Loss of woodland (mixed deciduous species) and creation of new brown edge gap within coupe. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/9W	3.87	Woodland	3389	0.34	9	Loss of woodland comprising of predominately silver birch. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/10	0.88	LCA 4.2	4390	0.44	50	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.			
	G/11W	3.36	Woodland	29647	2.96	88	Loss of woodland comprising of mixed deciduous species including ash, oak and sycamore. Potential to retain 2.41ha of woodland within land-take boundary. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/12W	1.13	Woodland	1666	0.17	14	Loss of riparian woodland comprising of mixed deciduous species including alder, silver birch and ash. Potential to 0.17ha woodland within land-take boundary. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/13W	0.34	Woodland	311	0.03	9	Loss of woodland (mixed deciduous species). No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/14	4.28	LCA 4.2	2383	0.24	6	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system. Part of field would be affected by adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of 0.01m to 0.05m during flood events expected. Extent of floodplain would be expected to be relatively unchanged.			

Land interest			Land-take				Potential Impacts			
Land Interest	Field Plot	Field Area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%				
	G/15W	0.31	Woodland	897	0.09	28	Loss of riparian woodland comprising of mixed deciduous species including ash and alder. Potential to retain 0.09ha woodland within land-take boundary. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/16	4.72	LCA 4.2	1374	0.14	3	Loss of boundary features, two gated accesses and disruption to field drainage system.			
	G/17W	0.53	Woodland	2131	0.21	41	Loss of riparian woodland comprising of ash and alder. Potential to retain 0.16ha woodland within land-take boundary. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system. Part of coupe would be affected by adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of >0.1m during flood events expected. Extent of floodplain would be expected to be relatively unchanged.			
	G/18W	0.66	Woodland	758	0.08	11	Loss of riparian woodland comprising of ash and alder. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/19	14.64	LCA 4.2	12216 0	12.22	83	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system. Loss of hardstanding area used for cattle outwintering. Potential to return 2.44ha through regrading of earthworks slopes.			
	G/20	4.16	LCA 4.2	16280	1.63	39	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system. Potential to return 0.54ha through regrading of earthworks slopes.			
	G/21	8.97	LCA 4.2	25008	2.50	28	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system. Potential to return 1.37ha through regrading of earthworks slopes.			
	G/22W	0.97	Woodland	1786	0.18	19	Loss of woodland comprising of Scots pine, rowan, silver birch and oak. Potential to retain 0.01ha woodland within land- take boundary. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/23	2.88	LCA 4.2	2268	0.23	8	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system. Potential to return 0.07ha through regrading of earthworks slopes.			
	G/24	1.77	LCA 4.2	8162	0.82	40	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.			
	G/25	0.01	LCA 4.2	98	0.01	100	Loss of entire field.			
	G/26	3.52	LCA 4.2	7023	0.70	20	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.			
			LCA 6.3	937	0.09	3				
	G/27	4.78	LCA 4.2	4733	0.47	10	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.			
	G/28	2.15	LCA 4.2	4902	0.49	22	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.			
	G/29W	1.58	Woodland	1347	0.13	9	Woodland coupe previously felled due to <i>phytophtora ramorum</i> outbreak within European larch. Loss of area of felled woodland.			
	G/30W	0.48	Woodland	336	0.03	7	Loss of woodland comprising of Norway spruce, sycamore, silver birch and wild cherry. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/31W	0.27	Woodland	1173	0.12	43	Loss of woodland (Scots pine and silver birch) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. Potential to retain <0.01ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/32	4.60	LCA 6.3	8444	0.88	18 Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
	G/33W	0.59	Woodland	3145	0.31	53	Loss of woodland (predominately silver birch) and creation of new brown edge gap within coupe. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.			
	G/34W	13.47	Woodland	8453	0.84	6	Loss of woodland (predominately silver birch) and creation of new brown edge gap within coupe. Potential to retain 0.08ha			

Land interest			Land-take				Potential Impacts				
Land Interest	Field Plot	Field Area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%					
							woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low).				
	G/35W	0.94	Woodland	4446	0.44	47	Loss of woodland (Scots pine and silver birch) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. Potential to retain 0.02ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.				
	G/36	56.80	LCA 6.3	39926	3.99	7	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
	G/37W	0.89	Woodland	4391	0.44	50	Loss of woodland (Scots pine, silver birch, rowan and Japanese larch) and creation of new brown edge gap within coupe. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.				
	G/38W	9.74	Woodland	1037	0.10	1	Loss of woodland (European larch and Scots pine). Potential to retain 0.01ha woodland within land-take boundary. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.				
	G/39W	11.69	Woodland	36431	3.64	31	Loss of open glade comprising of dispersed mature silver birch. Potential to retain 0.50ha woodland within land-take boundary.				
	G/40W	1.48	Woodland	995	0.10	7	Loss of woodland (predominantly silver birch) and creation of new brown edge gap within coupe. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.				
	G/41W	6.47	Woodland	22404	2.24	35	Loss of woodland (predominantly silver birch) and creation of new brown edge gap within coupe. Potential to retain 0.13ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.				
	G/42	1.46	LCA 5.2	7768	0.78	53	Loss of boundary features, two gated accesses and disruption to field drainage system.				
	G/43	5.52	LCA 5.2	47350	4.74	86	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
	G/44	0.71	LCA 5.2	494	0.05	7	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
	G/45W	3.20	Woodland	13014	1.30	41	Loss of woodland (Scots pine, alder, silver birch and goat willow) and creation of new brown edge gap within coupe. Potential to retain 0.14ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.				
	G/46W	6.52	Woodland	63143	6.31	97	Loss of woodland comprising of mature silver birch and goat willow sapling. Potential to retain <0.01ha woodland within land-take boundary. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.				
	G/47	8.65	LCA 5.2	84333	8.43	97	Loss of entire field.				
	G/48	158.11	LCA 5.2	93675	9.37	6	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
			LCA 6.3	16351	1.64	1					
	G/49W	4.98	Woodland	228	0.02	1	Loss of woodland (predominately of semi-mature silver birch) and creation of new brown edge gap within coupe. Potential to retain 0.09ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.				
	G/50	0.94	LCA 6.3	2292	0.23	24	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
	G/51	0.92	LCA 6.3	836	0.08	9	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
	G/52	2.81	LCA 6.3	11282	1.13	40	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
	G/53	17.64	LCA 5.1	91	0.01	<1	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.				
			LCA 6.3	24885	2.49	14					
	G/54	3.88	LCA 6.3	10597	1.06	27	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
	G/55	0.89	LCA 6.3	1483	0.15	17	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				

Land interest			Land-take				Potential Impacts					
Land Interest	Field Plot	Field Area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%						
	G/56W	86.63	Woodland	9689	0.97	1	Loss of woodland (Scots pine, European larch and Sitka spruce) and creation of new brown edge within plantation. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.					
	G/57	3.13	LCA 5.1	3841	0.38	12	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
			LCA 6.3	10188	1.02	33						
	G/58	0.20	LCA 5.1	699	0.07	35	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
			LCA 6.3	433	0.04	20						
	G/59	0.38	LCA 5.1	2767	0.28	74	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
	G/60	3.71	LCA 5.1	6621	0.66	18	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.					
	G/61	1.65	LCA 5.1	8194	0.82	50	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
	G/62	4.67	LCA 5.1	23075	2.31	49	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
	G/63	1.59	LCA 5.1	4297	0.43	27	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
	G/64	3.85	LCA 5.1	81	0.01	<1	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
	G/65	62.78	LCA 5.1	8290	0.83	1	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system. Potential to return 0.59ha through regrading of earthworks slopes.					
	G/66	7.69	LCA 5.1	5138	0.51	7	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
	G/67W	3.94	Woodland	2524	0.25	7	Loss of mixed woodland (Scots pine and silver birch) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.					
	G/68W	1.60	Woodland	463	0.05	3	Loss of woodland comprising of predominately young silver birch. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.					
Atholl Estate	H/1	0.46	LCA 5.2	4613	0.46	100	Loss of entire field.					
(The Blair Trust) (Ref. H)	H/2W	1.04	Woodland	10446	1.04	100	Land-take from entire woodland coupe (silver birch, rowan, hazel, ash and hawthorn). Potential to retain 0.67ha woodland within land-take boundary.					
	H/3W	2.45	Woodland	13728	1.37	55	Loss of deciduous woodland (silver birch, ash, hazel, hawthorn and alder) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. Potential to retain 0.16ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.					
	H/4	1.31	LCA 4.1	106	0.01	<1	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
			LCA 5.2	10083	1.01	77						
	H/5	5.75	LCA 5.2	27728	2.77	48	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.					
	H6	10.31	LCA 5.2	12458	1.25	12	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
	H/7W	0.95	Woodland	2387	0.24	25	Loss of woodland (of predominately semi-mature to middle aged silver birch) and creation of new brown edge gap within coupe. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.					
	H/8	6.84	LCA 4.2	3539	0.35	5	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.					
			LCA 5.2	361	0.04	1						

Land interest			Land-take				Potential Impacts
Land Interest	Field Plot	Field Area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%	
			LCA 6.2	10998	1.10	16	
	H/9	2.38	LCA 4.2	2570	0.26	11	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.
			LCA 5.2	2395	0.24	10	
	H/10W	1.82	Woodland	413	0.04	2	Loss of woodland (predominately semi-mature to middle aged silver birch) and creation of new brown edge gap within coupe. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.
	H/11W	2.89	Woodland	873	0.09	3	Loss of woodland comprising of predominately mature Norway spruce. Potential to retain <0.01ha woodland within land- take boundary. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.
Balnastuartach	I/1	4.53	LCA 4.2	6469	0.65	15	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.
Farm			LCA 6.2	21759	2.16	49	
	I/2	3.52	LCA 4.2	405	0.04	1	Loss of boundary features, two gated accesses and disruption to field drainage system.
			LCA 6.2	20406	2.04	58	
	I/3	2.17	LCA 4.2	3448	0.34	17	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.
			LCA 6.2	361	0.04	1	
			LCA 6.3	122	0.01	<1	
1/	I/4	3.68	LCA 4.2	218	0.02	<1	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.
			LCA 6.3	2160	0.22	5	
	I/5	6.99	LCA 4.2	9998	1.00	14	Loss of boundary features, two gated accesses and disruption to field drainage system. Part of field would be affected by
			LCA 6.3	1399	0.14	2	expected to be relatively unchanged as would peak flows.
	1/6	6.86	LCA 4.2	61768	6.18	90	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system. Part of field would be affected by adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of >0.1m during flood events expected. Extent of floodplain would be expected to be relatively unchanged as would peak flows.
	1/7	5.48	LCA 6.3	197	0.02	<1	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system. Part of field would be affected by adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of >0.1m during flood events expected. Extent of floodplain would be expected to be relatively unchanged as would peak flows.
Invervack Farm	J/1	3.99	LCA 4.2	11840	1.18	30	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.
(Ref. J)	J/2	3.56	LCA 4.2	33219	3.32	93	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system. Part of field would be affected by adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of 0.05m to 0.1m during flood events expected. Increase in peak flows would also be expected.
	J/3	4.15	LCA 4.2	23232	2.32	56	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system. Part of field would be affected by beneficial change in peak flood levels with reduction of >0.1m during flood events expected. Peak flows would also be expected to be reduced.
	J/4	5.29	LCA 4.2	11848	1.18	22	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system. Part of field would be affected by beneficial change in peak flood levels with reduction of >0.1m during flood events expected. Peak flows would also be expected to be reduced.
	J/5	3.40	LCA 4.2	1623	0.16	5	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.

Land interest			Land-take				Potential Impacts				
Land Interest	Field Plot	Field Area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%					
			LCA 5.3	12	<0.01	<1					
	J/6	3.46	LCA 5.3	226	0.02	1	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
Pitaldonich Farm	K/1	2.84	LCA 5.3	1317	0.13	5	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
(Ref. K)	K/2	1.58	LCA 4.2	5532	0.54	35	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
	K/3	6.23	LCA 4.2	729	0.07	1	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
			LCA 5.3	10792	1.08	17					
	K/4W	0.19	Woodland	485	0.05	25	Loss of woodland comprising predominately of silver birch. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.				
	K/5	2.90	LCA 4.2	12164	1.22	42	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.				
			LCA 5.3	7483	0.75	25					
	K/6W	3.78	Woodland	978	0.10	2	Loss of open area within woodland comprising predominately of silver birch. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage system.				
	K/7	0.33	LCA 5.3	1287	0.13	34	Loss of boundary features, two gated accesses and disruption to field drainage system.				
	K/8	3.25	LCA 5.3	5876	0.59	18	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
	K/9	1.46	LCA 4.2	2255	0.23	15	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
	K/10W	3.54	Woodland	12765	1.28	35	Loss of woodland (predominately mature silver birch) and creation of new brown edge within coupe. Potential to retain 0.11ha woodland within land-take boundary. No change to WDRS (Low). Disruption to drainage system.				
	K/11	0.63	LCA 4.2	5957	0.60	94	Loss of entire field.				
	K/12W	0.56	Woodland	115	0.12	11	Loss of woodland comprising of mixed deciduous species including sycamore, ash, goat willow and silver birch. Potential to retain 0.01ha woodland within land-take boundary. No new brown edge gap created. Disruption to drainage.				
	K/13	0.86	LCA 4.2	7632	0.76	88	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.				
	K/14	8.73	LCA 4.2	42514	4.25	49	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system. Part of field would be affected by adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of >0.1m during flood events expected. Extent of floodplain would be expected to be relatively unchanged.				
	K/15	3.43	LCA 4.2	34278	3.43	100	Loss of entire field. Part of field would be affected by adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of >0.1m during flood events expected. Extent of floodplain would be expected to be relatively unchanged.				
	K/16	2.08	LCA 4.2	20771	1.98	100	Loss of entire field. Part of field would be affected by adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of >0.1m during flood events expected. Extent of floodplain would be expected to be relatively unchanged.				
	K/17		LCA 4.2	750	0.08	100	Loss of entire field.				
	K/18	0.64	LCA 4.2	6412	0.64	100	Loss of entire field. Part of field would be affected by adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of 0.05m to 0.1 during flood events expected. Extent of floodplain would be expected to be relatively unchanged.				
	K/19	1.82	LCA 4.2	4935	0.49	27	27 Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.				
	K/20	1.64	LCA 4.2	1417	0.14	9	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.				

Land interest			Land-take				Potential Impacts			
Land Interest	Field Plot	Field Area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%				
Dalnacardoch	Dalnacardoch L/1 3.84 LCA 5.1 22966 2.30 60 Loss of boundation		60	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.						
Estate (Ref. L)	L/2	1.24	LCA 5.1	1514	0.15	12	Loss of boundary features, one gated access and disruption to field drainage system.			
	L/3W	1.76	Woodland	502	0.05	3	Loss of open land adjacent to woodland (mixed coniferous species and silver birch). No new brown edge gap created.			
Atholl Estate (The Bruar Trust – Home Farm) (Ref. M)	M/1	3.84	LCA 5.1	283	0.03	1	Loss of boundary features and disruption to field drainage system.			

Table 2: Potential significance of impact on agricultural, forestry and sporting interests

Land Interest			Land-take				Summary	Summary					
Land Interest	No. land parcels	Total farmed area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Significance	Proposed mitigation and comment on likely future viability	Residual significance		
Old Faskally Farm	4	1616	LCA 5.2	6645	0.66	< 1	Medium	Negligible	Negligible/ Slight	Summary of Old Faskally Farm	Negligible/ Slight		
(Ref. A)			LCA 6.2	5344	0.53	< 1				Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3, SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8, SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC-			
			Woodland	7934	0.79	< 1				CP13, SMC-CP14, SMC-CP15, P05-CP17, P05-CP18, P05-CP19, P05-CP20 and P05-CP21			
			Other land	2521	0.25	< 1				The farm business would have permanent land-take of <1% of the total farmed area.			
			Total	22445	2.24	< 1				Potential for 0.39ha of woodland within land-take to be retained.			
										Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated.			
										It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the farm would be not significant.			
Coille Essan	4	10	LCA 5.2	15956	1.60	9	Low	High	Moderate	Summary of Coille Essan	Moderate		
										Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3,			
			Woodland	5870	0.59	6				SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8, SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC- CP14, SMC-CP15, P05-CP17, P05-CP18, P05-CP19,			



Land Interest			Land-take				Summary	Summary			
Land Interest	No. land parcels	Total farmed area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Significance	Proposed mitigation and comment on likely future viability	Residual significance
			Other land	2641	0.26	3	-			P05-CP20 and P05-CP21 The farm business would have permanent land-take of 25% of the total farmed area	
			lotal	24468	2.45	25				Potential for 0.16ha of woodland within land-take to be retained. Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage	
										can be mitigated. It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the farm would be not significant.	
Easy Heat Systems Limited	-	-	Total (All fishings)	2168	0.22	-	High	Medium	Moderate/ Substantial	Summary of Easy Heat Systems Limited Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3, SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8, SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP12, SMC-CP15, P05- CP17 and P05-CP18 The sporting land interest would have permanent land- take of 0.22ha of the total area. This would include temporary disturbance of the fishing rights on part of the River Garry during construction. It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the sporting activity would be not significant.	Moderate/ Substantial
Land at Glackmore	-	-	Fishing	1987	0.20	-	High	Medium	Moderate/ Substantial	Summary of Land at Glackmore	Moderate/ Substantial
			Other land	3825	0.38	-				SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8, SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP12, SMC-CP15, P05- CP17 and P05-CP18	
			Total	5813	0.58	-				The sporting land interest would have permanent land- take of 0.20ha of sporting land and 0.38ha of other land. This would include temporary disturbance of the fishing rights on part of the River Garry during construction. It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the sporting activity would be not significant.	



Land Interest			Land-take				Summary				
Land Interest	No. land parcels	Total farmed area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Significance	Proposed mitigation and comment on likely future viability	Residual significance
Orchilmore Farm (Ref. C)	2	16	Total (All LCA 5.2)	4254	0.43	3	Low	Low	Negligible/ Slight	Summary of Orchilmore Farm Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3, SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8, SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC- CP13, SMC-CP14, SMC-CP15, P05-CP17, P05-CP18, P05-CP19, P05-CP20 and P05-CP21 The farm business would have permanent land-take of 3% of the total farmed area. Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated. It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the farm would be not significant.	Negligible/ Slight
House of Urrard (Ref. D)	18	2,800	LCA 5.2	38246	3.82	< 1	High	Medium	Moderate/ Substantial	Summary of House of Urrard Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3,	Moderate/ Substantial
			Woodland	29571	2.96	< 1				SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8, SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC- CP13, SMC-CP14, SMC-CP15, P05-CP17, P05-CP18, P05-CP10, P05-CP20, and P05-CP21	
			Other land	7838	0.78	< 1				The farm business would have permanent land-take of	
			Total	75655	7.57	< 1	-			<1% of the total farmed area. Of the land-take from House of Urrard, 0.84ha would be subject to servitude rights. Potential for 0.88ha of woodland within land-take to be	
										retained. Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated.	
										It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the farm and sporting interests would be not significant.	
Clunebeg Farm (Ref. E)	7	484	LCA 4.1	107651	10.77	2	Medium	Low	Slight	Summary of Clunebeg Farm Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3,	Slight



Land Interest			Land-take				Summary					
Land Interest	No. land parcels	Total farmed area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Significance	Proposed mitigation and comment on likely future viability	Residual significance	
			LCA 5.2	29302	2.93	< 1				SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8, SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC- CP15, P05-CP17 and P05-CP1		
			Other land	1174	1.17	<1				The farm business would have permanent land-take of 3% of the total farmed area.	The farm business would have permanent land-take of 3% of the total farmed area.	
			Total	148693	14.87	3	-			can be mitigated.		
										It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the farm would be not significant.		
Strathgarry Farm and Glackmore Farm	10	490	LCA 4.1	32142	3.21	< 1	Medium	Low	Slight	Summary of Strathgarry Farm and Glackmore Farm	Slight	
(Ref. F)			LCA 5.2	51501	5.15	1	_			SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8, SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC- CP13, SMC-CP14, SMC-CP15, P05-CP17, P05-CP18,		
			Woodland 23	23001	2.30	< 1				P05-CP19, P05-CP20 and P05-CP21		
										The farm business would have permanent land-take of 3% of the total farmed.		
			Other land	22465	2.25	< 1				Potential for 1.36ha of woodland within land-take to be retained.		
			Total 129110 12.91 3			Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated.						
										Adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of 0.01m to 0.05m during flood events expected within one woodland coupe on south bank of the River Garry at Essangal. Potential for 0.23ha of land required for flood storage area to be returned to agriculture.		
										It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the farm would be not significant.		
Atholl Estate (The Bruar	68	18,000	LCA 4.2 198781 19.89	< 1	Medium	Low	Slight	Summary of Atholl Estate (The Bruar Trust)	Slight			
Trust) (Ref. G)			LCA 5.1	63377	6.34	< 1				Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3, SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8, SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC-		



Land Interest			Land-take				Summary					
Land Interest	No. land parcels	Total farmed area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Significance	Proposed mitigation and comment on likely future viability	Residual significance	
			LCA 5.2	233620	23.36	< 1				CP13, SMC-CP14, SMC-CP15, P05-CP17, P05-CP18, P05-CP19, P05-CP20 and P05-CP21		
			LCA 6.3	127723	12.77	< 1				The farm business would have permanent land-take of 1% of the total farmed area.		
			Woodland	227318	22.73	< 1				Of the land-take from Atholl Estate (The Bruar Trust), 0.57ha would be subject to servitude rights.		
			Other land	81341	8.13	< 1				Potential for return of 5.01ha to agriculture through re- grading of embankment slopes.		
										Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated.		
			Total	932171	93.22	1				Potential for 4.32ha of woodland within land-take to be retained.		
										Adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of >0.1m during flood events expected within one woodland coupe on south bank of the River Garry and increase of 0.01m to 0.05m on north bank of River Garry near the River Garry Underbridge. Potential for 2.86ha of land required for flood storage to be returned to agriculture.		
										Temporary disturbance to the fishing rights on part of the River Garry at Essangal and Bruar during construction.		
										It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the estate would be not significant.		
Atholl Estate (The Blair Trust)	11	12,834	LCA 4.1	106	0.01	< 1	Medium	Low	Slight	Summary of Atholl Estate (The Blair Trust)	Slight	
(Ref. H)			LCA 4.2	6109	0.61	< 1				Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3, SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8,		
			LCA 5.2	60887	6.09	< 1	-			SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC- CP13, SMC-CP14, SMC-CP15, P05-CP17, P05-CP18,		
			LCA 6.2	10998	1.10	< 1				P05-CP19, P05-CP20 and P05-CP21		
			Woodland	27846	2.78	< 1				The farm business would have permanent land-take of <1% of the total farmed area.		
			Other land	4284	0.43	<1				Of the land-take from Atholl Estate (The Blair Trust), 0.90ha would be subject to servitude rights.		
			Total	110231	11.02	< 1				Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated.		
										Potential for 0.83ha of woodland within land-take to be		



Land Interest			Land-take				Summary					
Land Interest	No. land parcels	Total farmed area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Significance	Proposed mitigation and comment on likely future viability	Residual significance	
										retained. It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the estate would be not significant.		
Balnastuartach Farm (Ref. I)	7	35	LCA 4.2	82306	8.23	24	Medium	High	Moderate/ Substantial	Summary of Balnastuartach Farm Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3, SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8,	Moderate/ Substantial	
			LCA 6.2	42526	4.25	12	-			SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC- CP15, P05-CP17 and P05-CP18		
										The farm business would have permanent land-take of 39% of the total farmed area.		
			LCA 6.3	3878	0.39	1				Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated.		
										Adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of >0.1m during flood events expected within three fields east of the Allt Bhaic. Potential for 4.58ha of land		
			Other land	8068	0.81					required for flood storage to be returned to agriculture. It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the farm would be Adverse . However, it is considered that the remaining		
			Total	136777	13.68	39				and support livestock systems.		
Invervack Farm (Ref. J)	6	565	LCA 4.2	81771	8.18	1	Medium	Low	Slight	Summary of Invervack Farm	Slight	
										Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3, SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8,		
			LCA 5.3	238	0.02	< 1				SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC- CP15, P05-CP17 and P05-CP18		
			Others level 2	25065		- 1	4			The farm business would have permanent land-take of 2% of the total farmed area		
				33903	5.00					Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated.		
										Beneficial change in peak flood levels with reduction of		



Land Interest			Land-take				Summary					
Land Interest	No. land parcels	Total farmed area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Significance	Proposed mitigation and comment on likely future viability	Residual significance	
			Total	117975	11.80	2				 >0.1m expected within two fields west of Allt Bhaic. One further field north of the proposed scheme on south bank of River Garry would have adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of 0.05m to 0.1m expected. Potential for 5.93ha of land required for flood storage to be returned to agriculture. It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the farm would be not significant. 		
Pitaldonich Farm (Ref. K)	20	235	LCA 4.2	155237	15.52	6	Medium	Medium	Moderate	Summary of Pitaldonich Farm Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3, SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8	Moderate	
			LCA 5.3	16692	1.67	< 1				SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC- CP13, SMC-CP14, SMC-CP15, P05-CP17, P05-CP18, P05-CP19, P05-CP20 and P05-CP21		
			Woodland	15379	1.54	< 1				The farm business would have permanent land-take of 9% of the total farmed area.		
										can be mitigated.		
			Other land	15972	1.60	<1				Potential for 0.12ha of woodland within land-take to be retained.		
									Adverse change in peak flood levels with increase of >0.1m during flood events expected within three fields			
			Total	203282	20.33	8				Underbridge. Potential for 8.63ha of land required for flood storage areas to be returned to agriculture.		
										It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the farm would be not significant.		
Dalnacardoch Estate	3	19,000	LCA 5.1	24480	2.45	< 1	Medium	Negligible	Negligible/ Slight	Summary of Dalnacardoch Estate	Negligible/ Slight	
(Ref. L)				500	0.05		-		2	Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3,	- 3	
			vvoodland	502	0.05	< 1				SMC-CP4, SMC-CP1, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8, SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC- CP13, SMC-CP14, SMC-CP15, P05-CP17, P05-CP18,		



Land Interest			Land-take				Summary					
Land Interest	No. land parcels	Total farmed area (ha)	Land Type	m²	ha	%	Sensitivity	Magnitude	Significance	Proposed mitigation and comment on likely future viability	Residual significance	
			Other land	757	0.08	< 1				P05-CP19, P05-CP20 and P05-CP21		
			Total	25738	2.57	< 1				 <1% of the total farmed area. Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated. It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the estate would be not significant. 		
Atholl Estate (The Bruar Trust – Home Farm) (Ref. M)	1	2,000	2,000 LCA 5.1 2	283	0.03	<1	Medium	Negligible	Negligible/ Slight	<u>Summary of Atholl Estate (The Bruar Trust – Home</u> <u>Farm)</u>	Negligible/ Slight	
			Total	283	0.03	<1				Mitigation Items: SMC-CP1, SMC-CP2, SMC-CP3, SMC-CP4, SMC-CP5, SMC-CP6, SMC-CP7, SMC-CP8, SMC-CP9, SMC-CP10, SMC-CP11, SMC-CP12, SMC- CP13, SMC-CP15, P05-CP17 and P05-CP18		
										The farm business would have permanent land-take of <1% of the total farmed area.		
										Impacts on boundary features, access and field drainage can be mitigated.		
										It is assessed that the impact of the proposed scheme on the likely future viability of the estate would be not significant.		