

# Appendix A17.6: Residual Noise Impacts

## 1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 As stated in paragraph 17.6.2 of Chapter 17 (Noise and Vibration), DMRB Noise and Vibration requires that a full assessment be undertaken of the residual noise impacts where noise mitigation is included. Accordingly, this appendix provides the residual noise impacts with the proposed mitigation measures outlined in Section 17.5 (Mitigation) of the main noise chapter.
- 1.1.2 This appendix follows the same format as Section 17.4 (Potential Impacts) of Chapter 17 (Noise and Vibration) and it is recommended this be read in conjunction with the main chapter in order to fully appreciate the residual noise impacts.
- 1.1.3 It should be noted that if there is no difference between the predicted residual noise impacts and the predicted noise levels presented in Section 17.4 (Potential Impacts) then they have been omitted from this appendix.

## Sample Noise Sensitive Receptors (NSR) Locations

1.1.4 As a result of the proposed additional NSR specific mitigation there are some differences at two NSR in the predicted noise levels for the DM 2026 v DS2026 scenario. These differences are presented in Table 1.

ID		Predicted L <sub>A10,18h</sub> (dB) Noise Level (Façade) and Significance of Impact						
	Address/ Representative Location	Ground Floor			First Floor			
		DM 2026	DS 2026	Significance of Impact	DM 2026	DS 2026	Significance of Impact	
R4.002	Littleton of Fonab	n/a	n/a	n/a	52.0	53.2	Slight/ Moderate Adverse	
R4.008	Gardener's Cottage	45.8	46.0	Slight Adverse	48.9	49.1	Slight Adverse	

#### Table 1: Sample NSRs – DM 2026 vs. DS 2026 – Day (with Receptor Specific Mitigation)

1.1.5 All other predicted noise levels are the same as those presented in Section 17.4 (Potential Impacts).

## Summary Tables for all NSR within 600m Calculation Area

Do-Minimum Scenario in the Baseline Year vs Do-Something Scenario in the Baseline Year (Shortterm Assessment)

- 1.1.6 With regard to the DMRB Noise and Vibration summary tables for all NSR within the calculation area, the additional NSR specific mitigation has resulted in changes to the predicted noise levels at certain NSR and therefore these are reported in full. For ease of reference where changes have occurred the text in the tables has been highlighted in light blue.
- 1.1.7 The magnitude of residual noise impacts at all dwellings and other NSR within the 600m calculation area for the DM 2026 scenario versus the DS 2026 (with mitigation) scenario, for the daytime period at ground and first floor are presented in Table 2.

Change in Noise Level L <sub>A10,18h</sub> dB		Magnitude of	Ground Floor		First Floor	
			No. of Dwellings	No. of Other Sensitive Receptors	No. of Dwellings	No. of Other Sensitive Receptors
Increase (Adverse)	0.1 - 0.9	Negligible	559	30	551	26

#### Table 2: Summary – DM 2026 vs. DS 2026 – Day (with NSR Specific Mitigation)



Change in Noise Level L <sub>A10,18h</sub> dB			Ground Floor		First Floor		
		Magnitude of Impact	No. of Dwellings	No. of Other Sensitive Receptors	No. of Dwellings	No. of Other Sensitive Receptors	
in Noise Level	1.0 – 2.9	Minor	64	11	67	17	
	3.0 – 4.9	Moderate	1	1	5	0	
	5.0 +	Major	5	0	2	0	
No Change	0	No Change	6	1	13	0	
	0.1 – 0.9	Negligible	79	3	75	3	
Decrease (Deperies) in Naise	1.0 – 2.9	Minor	4	0	5	0	
(Beneficial) in Noise Level	3.0 – 4.9	Moderate	0	0	0	0	
	5.0 +	Major	0	0	0	0	

Do-Minimum Scenario in the Baseline Year vs Do-Something Scenario in the Future Assessment Year (Long-term Assessment)

- 1.1.8 The magnitude of residual noise impacts at all dwellings and other sensitive NSR within the 600m calculation area for the DM 2026 scenario versus the DS 2041 (with mitigation) scenario, for the daytime period at ground and first floor are presented in Table 3.
- 1.1.9 It should be noted that as in the Future Assessment Year all sections of the existing A9 will be upgraded with LNRS then there will not be as great a variation in the residual impacts than when compared to the short-term assessment, where existing stretches of the A9 will remain HRA unless otherwise specified.

Change in Noise Level L <sub>A10,18h</sub> dB			Ground Floor		First Floor		
		Magnitude of Impact	No. of Dwellings	No. of Other Sensitive Receptors	No. of Dwellings	No. of Other Sensitive Receptors	
	0.1 - 2.9	Negligible	626	42	616	42	
Increase (Adverse)	3.0 - 4.9	Minor	2	1	6	1	
in Noise Level	5.0 – 9.9	Moderate	5	0	2	0	
	10.0 +	Major	0	0	0	0	
No Change 0		No Change	13	0	11	0	
	0.1 - 2.9	Negligible	72	3	83	3	
Decrease (Papaficial) in Naisa	3.0 - 4.9	Minor	0	0	0	0	
(Beneficial) in Noise Level	5.0 – 9.9	Moderate	0	0	0	0	
	10.0 +	Major	0	0	0	0	

Table 3: Summary – DM 2026 vs. DS 2041 – Day (with NSR Specific Mitigation)

## Health and Educational Establishments

1.1.10 As a result of the proposed additional NSR specific mitigation there is no difference between the predicted noise levels in Section 17.4 (Potential Impacts) and the residual noise impacts at any Health and Education NSR.

## **Noise Nuisance**

1.1.11 The DM 2026 scenario versus the DM 2041 scenario and the DM 2026 scenario versus the DS 2041 scenario, with additional NSR specific mitigation, have been determined, and are summarised in



Table 4 to illustrate the residual noise nuisance impacts (based on maximum façade noise levels). furthermore, where a change has occurred this has been highlighted in Table 4.

Change in Traffic Induced Noise Nuisance		Number of Dwellings						
		Ground Floor		First Floor				
		DM2026 vs DM2041	DM2026 vs DS2041	DM2026 vs DM2041	DM2026 vs DS2041			
Increase (Adverse) in Noise	< 10%	179	31	177	48			
	10 < 20%	0	500	0	494			
Nuisance	20 < 30%	0	105	0	89			
	30 < 40%	0	6	0	7			
	> 40%	0	0	0	0			
No Change	0%	303	52	229	34			
Decrease	< 10%	236	24	312	46			
(Beneficial) in Noise	10 < 20%	0	0	0	0			
Nuisance	20 < 30%	0	0	0	0			
	30 < 40%	0	0	0	0			
	> 40%	0	0	0	0			

## Table 4: Summary of Traffic Noise Nuisance (with NSR Specific Mitigation)

#### **Vibration Nuisance**

- 1.1.12 As a result of the NSR specific mitigation the noise the predicted DMRB 'Noise and Vibration' defined airborne vibration nuisance has also changed when compared without mitigation.
- 1.1.13 The predicted DMRB Noise and Vibration defined airborne vibration nuisance for the DM 2026 scenario versus the DM 2041 scenario, and the DM 2026 scenario versus the DS 2041 scenario, with additional NSR specific mitigation, have been determined and are summarised in Table 5. This table includes predictions for all properties that are within 40m of all modelled roads with a predicted noise level greater than LA10,18h 58.0dB.

Table 5: Summary of Traffic Induced Airborne Vibration Nuisance (with NSR Specific Mitigation)

Change in Traffic Induced Airborne Vibration Nuisance		Number of Dwellings						
		Ground Floor		First Floor				
		DM2026 vs DM2041	DM2026 vs DS2041	DM2026 vs DM2041	DM2026 vs DS2041			
Increase	< 10%	89	5	92	2			
(Adverse) in Vibration	10 < 20%	0	91	0	100			
Nuisance	20 < 30%	0	28	0	27			
	30 < 40%	0	0	0	0			
	> 40%	0	0	0	0			
No Change	0%	46	17	42	12			
Decrease	< 10%	10	4	11	4			
(Beneficial) in Vibration	10 < 20%	0	0	0	0			
Nuisance	20 < 30%	0	0	0	0			
	30 < 40%	0	0	0	0			
	> 40%	0	0	0	0			