transport.gov.scot



Transport and Travel in Scotland 2019 Results from the Scottish Household Survey



Contents

Infographic summary		
Introduction		
National Indicator		
Personal travel		
Who travels?		
How do people travel?		
How far do people travel?7		
Why do people travel?		
Travel to work		
Travel to school		
When do people travel? 12		
Where do people travel?		
How far do people travel? 14		
Influence of ordering services on travel		
Motor vehicles, traffic and driving 16		
Driving Licences		
Car and van access		
Frequency of driving		
Car occupancy		
Fuel spend 21		
Electric vehicles		
Public transport and aviation		
Satisfaction with public transport		
Local bus services		
Rail travel		
Aviation		
Walking and cycling		
Walking		
Cycling		
Statistical tables		
Background information		

Transport and Travel in Scotland 2019 - Summary



Introduction

This bulletin provides the results of the Transport and Travel related questions asked in the Scottish Household Survey (SHS), including information from both the travel diary and social survey components of the SHS.

This publication is split into 4 broad themes:

- Personal travel
- Motor vehicles, traffic and driving
- Public transport and aviation
- Walking and cycling

The Scottish Household Survey

The Scottish Household Survey (SHS) is a continuous survey based on a sample of the general population in private residences in Scotland. The survey has been conducted annually since 1999. In 2019, the SHS had around 9,800 respondents.

The Travel Diary is a section of the survey which involves respondents recounting details of all the journeys they made the previous day. A **journey** can consist of one or more **stages**. A new stage is defined when there is a change in the form of transport or when there is a change of vehicle requiring a separate ticket.

The more conventional survey content is referred to here as the Social Survey.

Non-transport-related SHS results and methodological information for the survey can be found on the <u>SHS web pages</u>.

Interpretation of results

In order to maximise the utility of the data, most tables in Transport and Travel in Scotland (TATIS) provide estimates for single years where possible. Care should be taken when using estimates with lower sample sizes.

A lookup table for confidence intervals is included (Table A), which can be used in conjunction with the estimates and sample size to give an indication of what inferences can reliably be made from the data. In some cases, where the sample size is below 50 respondents, years have been combined or estimates suppressed.

Transport Scotland Statistics

For a more comprehensive statistical picture of transport in Scotland, Scottish Transport Statistics (a compendium publication presenting statistics from a range of sources) is published each February.

For a full list of transport statistics publications see: <u>https://www.transport.gov.scot/our-approach/statistics/#</u>

For queries relating to the publication, please contact:

Keith Hoy Transport Analytical Services Transport Scotland Victoria Quay Edinburgh EH6 6QQ Email: transtat@transport.gov.scot

National Indicator

In 2018 the Scottish Government launched a refreshed National Performance Framework (NPF), which sets out a vision for Scotland. The NPF incorporates 11 National Outcomes that reflect this vision of improved wellbeing and quality of life for the people of Scotland.

FURTHER INFORMATION:

For further information on the **Scottish Government's National Performance Framework**, please visit:

http://nationalperformance.gov.scot/

The refreshed framework includes a National Indicator on 'Journeys by active travel', which monitors the proportion of short journeys that are made by the two main active travel modes: walking and cycling.

National Indicator

In 2019, 1.7% of journeys under 5 miles were made by bike (very similar to 1.8% in 2018) and 47.6% of journeys under 2 miles were made on foot (a 4.6% rise from 2018).

Although the proportion of cycling journeys showed little change, the rise in the proportion of walking journeys means that the National Indicator status is determined as **Performance Improving**.



More information on the indicator, including further details on how performance is assessed can be found on the <u>National Performance Framework</u> website.

Personal Travel

Who travels?

The proportion of adults who travelled the previous day has fluctuated over time.

In 2019, around three quarters (74%) of adults travelled the previous day. This is little changed from 73% in 2018 and has decreased from 77% in 2009. *[Table TD1]*

As in previous years, older people were less likely to have travelled the previous day than younger age groups. [Table TD1 and Figure 1]

Men were more slightly more likely to have travelled than women (74% vs 73%). The gap was greater in previous years. *[Table TD1]*





How do people travel?

Over half of journeys are made by driving a car or van (53%, up from 48% in 2012). Walking is the next most popular mode of transport (22% of journeys, down from 26% in 2012), followed by passenger of car or van (12%) and bus (7%). *[Table TD2, Table SUM1, and Figure 2]*





Although rail travel makes up only a small proportion of total journeys, the percentage of journeys that are made by rail has increased since 2012. Walking and bus journeys have decreased over the same time period. *[Table SUM1 and Figure 3].*

Figure 3: Indexed modal share of journeys, 2012-2019 (2012=100)



Multi-stage journeys

Individual journeys can be broken down into different stages, where, for example, the traveller switches to a different mode of transport.

Under three per cent of journeys reported in the Travel Diary in 2017 were multi-stage. *[Table TD2c]*

Multi-stage journeys were most common where the journey involved ferry or air travel. *[Table TD2c]*

Why do people travel?

Most journeys were for the purpose of shopping (24%), commuting (23%), or visiting friends or relatives (10%). [Table TD3].

There has been little change in the proportion of journeys made for each purpose since 2012.

Travel to Work

How do people travel to work?

Sixty-eight per cent of people usually travelled to work by car or van, either as a driver (63%) or passenger (5%). Twelve per cent of people usually walked to work. Ten per cent of people usually took the bus and five per cent travelled by rail. Three per cent of people usually cycled to work in 2019. *[Table SUM1 and Figure 4]*



Figure 4: Method of travel to work, 2018

Although the combined car/van driver and car/van passenger percentage has changed relatively little since 1999, within this the percentage of drivers has increased from 55% to 63%, and passengers has decreased from 12% to 5%. Rail travel has increased from 3% to 5% over this period. *[Table SUM1 and Figure 5]*





Who travels to work by which mode?

In 1999 a greater proportion of men than women drove to work (60% compared to 48%). Since then numbers have become more equal (65% and 62% in 2019). *[Table 7 and Figure 6]*

Figure 6: Percentage of men and women driving to work, 1999-2019



Women were more likely than men to walk to work. Men were more likely to cycle to work. *[Table 7]*

People from lower income households were more likely to walk or take the bus to work than those from higher income households. Driving was more common for people in higher income households. [Table 7 and Figure 7]





People in rural areas were also more likely to drive than those in urban areas. Younger adults (aged under 30) were more likely to take the bus than older age groups. *[Table 7]*

White Scottish and White other British people were more likely to drive than other ethnic groups and less likely to take the bus. *[Table 7]*

There has been an upward trend in the percentage of employed or self-employed adults working from home, rising from 7% in 1999 to 16% in 2019. [Sum 1 and Figure 8]





Why do people choose these modes?

Relatively few people have changed the mode of transport they used to get to work in the past year. Of all the modes, driving showed least change. Based on data for the last 5 years, of those who drove to work a year ago, 98 per cent still drove to work *[Table 10]*

The main reasons given by respondents for changing their usual mode of travel to work in 2018 were changing job (38%) and moving house (21%). *[Table 10a]*

Travel to School

How do children travel?



Around half of children (52%) walked to school, nineteen per cent travelled by bus and around a quarter (25%) travelled by car. *[Table SUM1]*

There was variation in mode of travel by age. In the 4 to 11 age group, 59 per cent reported walking to school, compared to 42 per cent in the 12 to 18 age group. The older age group were more likely to catch a bus than younger children (34% compared to 9%). [Table 15 and Figure 9]

Children cycling

Figure 9: Method of travel to school, 2019



Since 1999, bus usage has shown a decline (from 25% to 19%). Car usage has risen from 18 to 25% in this period. [Sum 1]

The Sustrans <u>Hands Up Scotland</u> publication also covers travel to school. Due to the use of different categories, it is not possible to make a direct comparison with the Scottish Household Survey.

Why do parents choose these modes?

Of those walking, eighty nine per cent did so because the school is close by. Of those travelling by car, most parents used this because it was the most convenient mode (36%). Parents also chose to use the car to take their children to school because it was the quickest method (20%) the safest method (18%) or, too far to walk (16%). [Table 16]

'Most convenient' was the most popular reason for children traveling by school bus (37%) and service bus (39%). The second most popular reason for those who travel by school bus (21%) or service bus (27%) was that it was too far to walk. *[Table 16]*

When do people travel?

Slightly more journeys were reported on weekdays than at weekends. Sixteen per cent of journeys were on Fridays, the most popular day to travel, whereas only 12% were on Sundays. [Table TD8]

Peak travel on a weekday was between 7 am and 9:30 am (20% of weekday journeys started between these times). The busiest time for travel on the weekend is between 12 noon and 2pm, with just under a quarter (24%) of weekend journeys taking place between these times. *[Table TD 7]*

There has been little change in these travel patterns reported in the survey over recent years.

Duration

The majority of journeys reported in 2019 were of short duration. Sixty eight per cent of journeys lasted up to 20 minutes. Only eighteen per cent of journeys lasted more than half an hour, of which around five per cent lasted more than an hour. *[Table TD6 and Figure 10]*





Perceptions of Congestion

Twelve per cent of car driver journey stages¹ were perceived to be delayed due to congestion in 2019. This is very close to the average figure since 2003, and compares with thirteen percent in 2018. *[Table TD10 and Sum1]*

Thirteen per cent of bus stages were delayed due to congestion, compared to eleven per cent in 2018 and ten per cent in 2009. *[Table TD11]*

The main reason suggested for car or van stage delays was 'volume of traffic' (79%). [Table TD10a]

Over the combined three year period from 2017 to 2019, the travel diary's reported congestion figures were highest for commuting (22%) and business travel (17%) stages. Weekday journey stages were more frequently affected by congestion than weekend stages. As might be expected, the morning and evening peak periods on weekdays saw the highest proportion of driver journey stages delayed by congestion. *[Table TD12]*

Questions in the social survey, which focused only on commuting congestion, found that over the combined five year period from 2014-18, 34% of all journeys to work were perceived to be affected by congestion at least once a week. This figure was higher for both car/van drivers (44%) and bus passengers (45%). *[Table 8]*

Where do people travel?

When looking at travel between areas of Scotland, fourteen council groupings are used. Some councils are merged to preserve sufficiently large sample sizes.

¹ A journey can consist of one or more stages. A new stage is defined when there is a change in the form of transport or when there is a change of vehicle requiring a separate ticket.

In the combined period from 2015 to 2019, most journeys in Scotland started and finished in the same local authority grouping. The proportion was highest in the Highlands and Islands and the Grampian group (Aberdeen City, Aberdeenshire and Moray), where this was the case for 97% of all journeys. The proportion of journeys starting and finishing in the same area was lowest in South Lanarkshire (71%) and Glasgow (72%). [Table TD13 and TD14]

How far do people travel?

The majority of journeys recorded in 2019 were short. Seventeen per cent of journeys were under 1 km, and more than half (54%) were under 5 km. These numbers are broadly similar to recent years. *[Table TD4 and Figure 11]* The median journey length was 4.3 km and the mean journey length was 11.7 km. *[Table TD5]*



Figure 11: Percentage of journeys by road network distance, 2019

Walking journeys had the shortest average (median) length (1.0 km), with cycling next lowest at 2.7 km. The median car/van driver journey was 6.8 km, bus journeys averaged 6.2 km and rail journeys had the longest median length at 20.8 km. [Table TD 5a and Figure 12]



Figure 12: Average (median) distance by method of transport, 2019

The median length of journey for men (4.7 km) compares to 4.0km for women. The longest journeys of men (upper decile, 33.4 km) were further than those of women (24.5 km). *[Table TD5a]*

Sixty eight per cent of journeys under 1 km were made on foot; car journeys (whether as a driver or passenger) accounted for most of the remainder (24%). Car was the most common mode of travel for all distance groupings greater than 2 km. *[Table TD2a]*

Influence of ordering services on travel

Where individuals had used ordering services to have goods delivered the previous day, they reported a reduction in the number of trips they made that day in forty-eight per cent of cases. *[Table TD17]*

The most popular ordering service was internet shopping, which was used the previous day by 7% of the population, followed by takeaway food delivery (3%). Thirty to thirty to forty nine years olds were the most frequent users of internet shopping (9%). Takeaway food delivery was most popular with twenty to twenty nine year olds (5%). People aged over 70 used ordering services least. *[Table TD17]*

Motor vehicles, traffic and driving

Driving licences

Seventy one per cent of survey respondents aged 17+ had a driving licence in 2019, the highest figure since the survey began and an increase from 63% in 1999 and 68% in 2009. The percentage has increased substantially for people aged over 60 in this period. *[Table SUM1, Table 1, and Figure 13]*

Figure 13: Percentage of adults aged 17+ holding driving licences by age band, 1999-2019



Men were more likely to hold a driving licence than women, with seventy-seven of men aged 17+ having one, compared to 66 per cent of women. Since 1999, when 77% of men and 51% of women held licences, the percentage of men with licences has remained fairly stable and percentage of women has increased. *[Table 1 and Figure 14]*



Figure 14: Percentage of men and women over the age of 17 with driving licences, 1999-2019

Driving licence possession was lowest amongst the youngest and oldest age groups (17-19: 39% and 80+: 43%) and highest amongst those aged 40-49 and 50-59 (82% and 81% respectively). *[Table 1 and Figure 15]*

Figure 15: Percentage of people aged over 17 who hold a driving licence by age, 2019



White other British (81%) and White Scottish (72%) people were more likely to hold a driving licence than other ethnic groups. *[Table 19 and Figure 16]*



Figure 16: Percentage of people aged over 17 who hold a driving licence by ethnic group, 2019

Driving licence possession increased with net annual household income (50% for adults in households with less than $\pounds 10,000$ of income compared to 91% in households with an income over $\pounds 50,000$). *[Table 19 and Figure 17]*





People were more likely to have driving licences in rural areas (63% of adults in large urban areas had a driving licence, compared to 86% of those in accessible rural areas). [Table 19]

Car and van access

Seventy two per cent of households had access to one or more cars or vans for private use in 2018. There has been an upward trend since 1999, when the figure was 63%. Thirty-one per cent of households had access to two or more cars (or vans), up from 18% in 1999. *[Table 18, Table SUM1 and Figure 18]*



Figure 18: Percentage of households with access to a car, 1999-2019

Car access increases with household income, as does the number of cars available per household: forty per cent of households with an annual income up to £10,000 had access to one or more cars, compared to ninety seven per cent of households with an annual income of more than £50,000. The figures for owning two or more cars are eight per cent and seventy one per cent for the lowest and highest income categories. *[Table 18 and Figure 19]*



Figure 19: Household access to one or more cars by income, 2019

Households in rural areas were more likely to have access to a car than those in urban areas. *[Table 18 and Figure 20]*



Figure 20: Household access to one or more cars by urban-rural classification, 2019

Frequency of driving

Sixty four per cent of those aged 17+ drove at least once a week in 2018, with 43 per cent driving every day. *[Tables 20 & SUM1]*

Frequency of driving was higher in rural areas than in urban areas, and increased with income. [Table 20]

Car occupancy

In 2019, the proportion of single occupancy stages was just under two thirds (65%) of all car stages. This contrasts with 56 per cent in 1999. The percentage increased to sixty five per cent in 2013, but since then it is little changed. *[Table TD9 and Figure 21]*



Figure 21: Percentage of cars stages with a single occupant, 1999-2019

The average occupancy was 1.5 people per car in 2018. [Table TD9]

Fuel spend

The average (mean) amount which households had spent on fuel in the last month was \pounds 113 in 2019. When adjusted for general inflation using the Retail Prices Index (RPI), this compares to \pounds 115 in 2018, but a fall from a peak of \pounds 162 in 2011. In 2003 the inflation adjusted price was \pounds 125. *[Table 2 and Figure 22]*

Figure 22: Expenditure on fuel in the past month (2019 prices), 2003-2006, 2009-2019



Electric vehicles

The proportion of people saying they owned an electric car or van was similar in 2018 and 2019 (1.8% and 1.6% respectively). The figure has increased since 0.3% in 2016, when the question was first asked. *[Table 49 and Figure 23]*





Fifty per cent are either thinking of buying an electric car soon, or would consider doing so in the future. This has risen from thirty seven per cent in 2016 and forty seven percent in 2019. [*Table 49*]

Of the people who had bought or would consider buying a plug-in electric car or vehicle, the main reasons were their environmentally friendliness (70%) and their fuel or running costs (51%) [Table 50].

For those who said they would not consider buying an electric vehicle, the distance that could be travelled on a single charge (46%) and the availability or convenience of charging points (40%) were the main deterrents. *[Table 51]*

More detailed statistics on vehicles licensed, the road network, road traffic and reported road vehicles in Scotland can be found in the <u>Road Transport Vehicles Chapter of</u> <u>Scottish Transport Statistics</u>.

Public transport and aviation

Satisfaction with public transport

Sixty eight per cent of people were very or fairly satisfied with public transport in 2019, an increase from 2018. Satisfaction had fallen in the previous four years, from seventy five per cent in 2014. *[Table 4 and Figure 24]*

Figure 24: Percentage of adults 'very satisfied' or 'fairly satisfied' with public transport, 2007-2019



Local bus services

In 2019, 39 per cent of survey respondents had used the bus in the past month. Eight per cent used the bus ever day or almost every day. These are the lowest figure since comparable records began in 2002. [Table SUM1, Table 2 and Figure 25]

Figure 25: Percentage of adults who had used the bus in the past month, 2002-2019



Bus



Other sources suggest more clearly that bus use has been declining. Provisional estimates from DfT's survey of bus operators indicate that there were 366 million bus journeys made in Scotland in 2019, a decrease compared with 380 million in 2018 and 471 million in 2002. *[Table Sum 2]*

Women tended to use buses more frequently than men (26% of women used the bus at least once a week compared to 23% of men). [Table 28]

Frequency of bus use differed across age groups and was highest amongst younger people (61% of 16-19 year olds had used the bus in the last month). It was lowest for people aged 50 to 59 (29%), but higher at older ages, with fifty-nine per cent of those aged 80 to 89 having taken the bus. *[Table 28 and Figure 26]*



Figure 26: Percentage of adults using the bus at least once a month, by age, 2019

Frequency of bus use was also higher in urban areas (54% of people in large urban areas used the bus at least once a month compared to 19% in small remote towns and 20% in remote rural areas). *[Table 28 and Figure 27]*



Figure 27: Percentage of adults using the bus at least once a month, by urban rural category, 2019

Further bus statistics can be found in the <u>Bus and Coach Chapter of Scottish Transport</u> <u>Statistics</u>.

Bus Access

The Scottish Accessibility to Bus Indicator has not been updated since the last publication. See <u>Transport and Travel in Scotland 2018</u> for the most recent version.

Rail travel

Thirty per cent of the population used the train in the last month. There was an upward trend from 2002 to 2014, when the figure rose from fifteen percent to thirty per cent since which it has remained fairly constant. *[Table 28, Table SUM1, and Figure 28]*

Passenger figures from ScotRail also show a similar trend. There were 96.4 million passengers carried by ScotRail in 2019, compared with 97.8 million in 2018 and 57.4 million in 2002. [Table SUM2]



Train





The proportion of people who reported that they had used the train in the last month generally tended to decrease with age (41% of those aged 20-29 had used the train in the last month, compared to 8% of those aged 80+). *[Table 28 and Figure 29]*

Figure 29: Percentage of adults using the train at least once in the past month by age, 2019



Train use was higher in higher income households. Forty three per cent of those interviewed with a household income of over £50,000 had used the train in the last month, compared to between 21 and 23 per cent for the categories up to £20,000). *[Table 28 and Figure 30]*

Figure 30: Percentage of adults using the train at least once in the past month by income, 2019



Of those who had used the train in the last month, the most frequent journey purpose was shopping (31%), followed by visiting friends or relatives (28%) and other recreational activities (27%). *[Table 44]*

Detailed rail statistics can be found in the Rail Chapter of Scottish Transport Statistics.

Aviation

Fifty three percent of adults took at least one flight for leisure in 2019. This is an increase from 2018 (51%) and from a low point of 43% in 2011. *[Table 37 and Figure 31]*



Figure 31: Percentage of adults taking flights for leisure, 2009-2019*

*The question was not asked in 2015 and 2017

Eight per cent of adults took at least one flight for business. The percentage has changed little since 2009. *[Table 38].*

Figures from the Civil Aviation Authority show an increase in the number of air terminal passengers (passengers joining or leaving aircraft at Scottish airports) between 2009 and 2018 (from 22.5 million to 29.4 million). *[Table SUM2]*

For those who had flown, flights to Europe were most common for leisure travellers (79% flew at least once to Europe). For business flyers, flights to the rest of the UK were most common (69% flew at least once to the rest of the UK). *[Tables 37b, 38b, and Figure 32]*

Figure 32: Percentage of those who flew who took at least one flight to a destination area, leisure and business users, 2019



By far the two most common reasons for flying was that it was quicker (79%) and cheaper (32%). [Table 39]

Detailed aviation statistics can be found in the <u>Aviation Chapter of Scottish Transport</u> <u>Statistics</u>.

Walking and cycling

Walking

Of all journeys reported in the SHS travel diary, twenty two per cent had walking as the main mode. This is an increase from twenty per cent in 2018, although less than in the first comparable year, 2012, where twenty six percent of journeys were by foot. *[Table Sum 1 and Figure 33]*



Figure 33: Percentage of journeys with walking as the main mode, 2012-2019

Twelve per cent of adults usually walked to work. This is similar to the figure for the previous three years, but a little lower than for most years since 1999, when the figure was 14% [Tables 7, TD2 & SUM1, and Figure 34].

Figure 34: Percentage of adults walking to work, 1999-2019



Fifty two per cent of children usually walked to school as their main mode of transport, compared to 50% in 2009, and 54% in 1999. [Tables 15, TD2 & SUM1 and Figure 35].



Figure 35: Percentage of children walking to school, 1999-2019

The average (median) walking journey was 1.0 km using road network distance. [Table TD5a]

Cycling

Of all journeys reported in the SHS travel diary, 1.2% were by bicycle. This figure has changed little since 2012, when comparable records began. *[Table 1 & Sum 1 and Figure 36*



Figure 36: Percentage of journeys with cycling as the main mode, 2012-2019

2.7 per cent of adults usually cycle to work. This is similar to figures from the previous three years. There has been an upward trend in since 1999, when the figure was 1.7%. *[Figure 37]*.



Figure 37: Percentage of adults who cycled as their usual method of travel to work, 1999-2019

1.9% of children cycled to school. There has been an upward trend since 1999, when the figure was 0.7%. *[Tables 15, SUM1, and Figure 38]*

Figure 38: Percentage of children who cycled as their usual method of travel to school, 1999-2019



The average (median) cycling journey was 2.7 km using road network distance. [Table TD5a]

Bicycle access

Just over a third (34%) of households had access to at least one bicycle for adult use in 2019. Eighteen per cent had access to two or more. *[Table 18]*

Household access to bikes increased with household income and household size; 62% of households with an income of £50,000 or more have access to one or more bikes, compared to 19% of households with an income up to £10,000, and 18% with an income of £10,000 to £15,000. Bicycle access was higher in rural areas than urban areas. *[Table 18 and Figure 39]*





Statistical Tables SHS transport and travel tables

Table SUM1	Summary of Scottish Household Survey results
Table SUM2	Summary of Transport in Scotland
Table 1	People aged 17 or over –full driving licence: 2009-2019
Table 2	Amount spent of fuel in the past month: 2009-2019
Table 3	Frequency of walking in the previous seven days: 2009–2019
Table 3a	Frequency of cycling in the previous seven days: 2009–2019
Table 4	Adults views on satisfaction with public transport: 2009-2019
Table 5	Possession of a concessionary fare pass: 2009-2019
Table 7	Employed adults not working from home - usual method of travel to work 2019
Table 8	Effects of traffic congestion on travel to work journey: 2015-2019
	(combined)
Table 10	How random adult usually travelled to work a year ago by current main
	mode of travel: 2015-2019 (combined)
Table 10a	Reason for changing mode of travel to work: 2012-2019
Table 11	Car sharing journeys to work: 2015-2019 (combined)
Table 15	School children in full-time education, usual method of travel: 2019
Table 16	Reasons for transport choice to children's full time education
	establishment: 2015-2019 (combined)
Table 17	Reasons why public transport is not used by school children: 2012-2019 (combined)
Table 18a	Households with bicycles available for private use: 2019
Table 18b	Households with cars/vans available for private use: 2019
Table 19	People aged 17+ that hold a full driving licence: 2019
Table 20	People aged 17+, frequency of driving: 2019
Table 25	Frequency of walking in the previous seven days: 2019
Table 25a	Frequency of cycling in the previous seven days: 2019
Table 26a	Reasons why do not cycle to work: 2019
Table 28	Adults use of local bus and train services, in the past month: 2019
Table 29	Adults (16+) who have used the bus in the previous month, views on their
	local bus services: 2019
Table 30	Adults (16+) who have used the train in the previous month, views on
	their local train services: 2019

Table 31	Possession of concessionary fare pass for all adults aged 16+: 2019
Table 32	Possession of concessionary fare pass for all adults aged 60+: 2019
Table 33	Access to services that respondents thought were very or fairly
	convenient: 2017
Table 37a	Flights in the last 12 months for leisure, holidays, visiting friends or family: 2009-2019
Table 37b	Frequency of flying for leisure by destination in last 12 months for those who have flown for pleasure: 2009-2019
Table 38a	Flights in the last 12 months for business purposes: 2009-2018
Table 38b	Frequency of flying for business by destination in the last 12 months for
	those who have flown for business: 2009-2019
Table 39	Reasons for choosing flying within the UK over other forms of Transport: 2009-2019
Table 41	In general, What discourages you from using buses more often than you do?: 2012-2018
Table 42	In general, What discourages you from using trains more often than you do?: 2012-2019
Table 42a	In general, What discourages you from using the train? (those who did not take the train in the past month): 2012-2019
Table 43	In general, What discourages you from walking more often than you do?: 2012-2019
Table 44	Purpose of train journeys: 2012-2019
Table 45	Difficulties experienced when changing between public transport: 2012- 2019
Table 46	Awareness of sustainable transport policies: 2019
Table 47	Uptake of sustainable transport policies: 2018 or 2019
Table 49	Would you consider buying a plug-in electric car or van?: 2016-19
Table 50 car or van: 20	Reasons for having bought or would consider buying a plug-in electric 016-29

Table 51Reasons for not considering to buy a plug-in electric car or van: 2016-19

SHS travel diary tables

Table TD1	Percentage of adults travelling on previous day: 2009-2019
Table TD2	Percentage of journeys made by main mode of travel: 2009-2019
Table TD2a	Percentage of journeys by main mode of travel and distance: 2019
Table TD2b	Percentage of stages by main mode of travel: 2009-2019
Table TD2c	Multi Stage journeys: 2012-2018 (combined)
Table TD3	Percentage of journeys made by purpose of travel: 2012-2019

Table TD4 Percentage of journeys made by distance of travel: 2012-2019 **Table TD4a** Percentage of journeys made by distance and main mode of travel: 2019 **Table TD4b** Percentage of journeys to work by distance and main mode of travel: 2019 **Table TD4c** Percentage of journeys under 2 miles by road network distance by main mode: 2019 **Table TD4d** Percentage of journeys under 5 miles by road network distance by main mode: 2019 Table TD5 Distance summary statistics: 2012-2019
Table TD5a Distance summary statistics by mode of transport: 2019
 Table TD6 Percentage of journeys made by duration of journey: 2009-2019
 Table TD6a
 Percentage of journeys to work by duration of journey: 2012-2019
 Table TD7 Percentage of journeys made by start time of journey: 2009-2019 Table TD8 Percentage of journeys made by day of travel: 2009-2019 Percentage of car stages by car occupancy: 2009-2019 Table TD9
 Table TD10
 Percentage of car/van stages delayed by congestion: 2009-2019
 Table TD10a Reason for congestion for car/van stages: 2012-2019
 Table TD11
 Percentage of bus stages where passenger experienced delay: 2009 2019
 Table TD12
 Percentage of driver stages where delay experienced by amount of
 delay: 2017-19 (combined) **Table TD13** Percentage of journeys originating in each council area by destination council area: 2015-2019 (combined)
Table TD14 Percentage of journeys ending in each council area by area of origin:
 2015-2019 (combined)
 Table TD15
 Percentage of employed people resident in each council area by council
 area of workplace: 2015-2019 (combined)
 Table TD16
 Percentage of employed people in each council area by council area of
 residence: 2015-2019 (combined)
 Table A
 95% confidence limits for estimates, based on SHS sub-samples sizes
 Annex A Tables 2a, 4, 4a,5 and 5a calculated using straight line distance

Other tables

Local Authority tables will be published online at https://www.transport.gov.scot/our-approach/statistics/#42764
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Modal share of all journeys ³											
Walking	21.8	22.0	22.1	26.0	23.3	25.0	21.6	23.5	21.3	19.8	22.
Passenger car/van	13.3	51.1 14.3	49.9	46.3	50.0 13.6	46.1	50.7 13.3	50.7 13.1	52.1 12.5	52.9 12.8	52. 12.
Bicycle	0.9	0.8	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.
Bus Taxi/minicab	8.6	8.7	9.1	8.1	8.5	8.6	9.5	7.7	8.2	8.0	7.
Rail	1.9	1.4	2.0	1.8	1.7	2.1	1.7	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.
Other	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.7	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.5	1.0	1.
Sample size (=100%)	18,680	16,300	17,590	19,740	20,180	19,930	18,710	19,050	18,320	17,790	18,450
Place of work		10.1	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.1		44.5		10.0	10
Does not work from home	11.4 88.6	10.1 89.9	10.6 89.4	13.2 86.8	13.3 86.7	13.1 86.9	14.1 85.9	14.5 85.5	14.2 85.8	16.0 84.0	16. 83.
Sample size (=100%)	6,100	5,860	6,190	4,730	4,850	4,810	4,670	4,720	4,820	4,720	4,890
Travel to work ^{4,5}											
Walking	12.3	13.4	12.9	13.6	12.9	12.9	13.6	12.3	12.0	11.8	12
Car or Van Driver	67.0 60.7	67.3 61.0	66.6 59.1	67.3 61.4	66.2 60.6	67.7 61.6	65.9 60.3	67.0 61.7	67.6 62.3	67.7 62.9	68 63
Passenger	6.4	6.3	7.5	6.0	5.6	6.0	5.6	5.3	5.4	4.8	5
Bicycle	2.4	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.6	2.2	2.6	3.0	2.8	2
Bus Rail including underground	12.1	10.8	12.0	10.1	11.3	10.1	11.2 4.4	10.4	9.8 5.2	10.1	9
Other	2.3	2.7	2.6	2.6	3.1	2.5	2.7	2.4	2.4	2.2	2
Sample size (=100%)	5,370	5,220	5,510	4,100	4,160	4,130	3,950	3,970	4,070	3,910	4,05
% Public and Active Travel ⁶	30.7	30.1	30.8	30.1	30.7	29.9	31.4	30.7	30.1	30.3	29
% Journeys under 2 miles by walking				48.5	47.3	51.3	45.1	47.8	45.3	43.0	47.
% Journeys under 5 miles by cycling				1.5	1.2	1.8	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.
Travel to school ⁷											
Walking Cor or Von	50.0	49.7	50.6	51.4	51.7	51.2	48.8	51.8	51.5	52.3	51.
Bicycle	1.0	23.0	23.4	0.8	1.2	24.5	1.2	25.0	25.0	1.9	20.
Bus (school or service)	22.0	23.9	21.7	21.1	19.9	20.3	20.9	19.2	19.8	19.0	19.
School bus	16.0	16.1	15.1	14.9	14.5	14.5	15.2	12.9	14.2	13.9	14.
Rail, including underground	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.6	0.7	1.1	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.
Other	1.8	1.7	2.2	2.2	2.2	1.7	2.1	1.5	1.7	2.0	1.
Sample size (=100%)	2,880	2,680	2,720	1,920	1,980	1,980	1,880	1,890	1,830	1,720	1,920
No car	30.7	30.3	30.1	31.0	30.2	30.8	30.0	29.3	28.1	28.6	27.
One car	43.7	44.0	44.5	43.0	44.0	43.3	43.3	42.1	42.7	42.0	41.
Three or more cars	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	5.1	5.6	5.8	5.7	
One or more cars	69.3	69.7	69.9	69.0	69.8	69.2	70.0	70.7	71.9	71.4	72
Two or more cars	25.6	25.7	25.4	26.0	25.8	25.9	26.7	28.5	29.2	29.4	30.
1+ Bicycles which can be used by adults	35.4	34.3	35.1	35.0	34.3	34.4	35.1	33.8	34.4	34.7	33. 10 59
Driving (aged 17+)	14,130	14,210	14,300	10,040	10,000	10,030	10,330	10,470	10,000	10,000	10,00
Those with a full driving licence											
by gender:	76.2	75.6	75.6	75.6	76.0	75.8	73.4	75.4	75.2	75.6	77
Women	60.6	60.2	59.8	61.6	61.4	61.8	63.1	63.1	64.3	64.0	65.
Identified in another way										•	
All	 68.0	67.6	67.3	 68.3	68.4	68.5	68.0	69.0	 69.5	69.5	71.
Frequency of driving	42.4	44.4	40.7	42.0	44.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	44.0	44.4	10
At least three times a week	43.4	41.4	40.7	42.0	41.9	40.9	40.9	42.2	41.9	41.4	43
Once or twice a week	5.6	6.0	6.2	6.0	5.6	5.9	5.9	6.0	6.1	6.0	6
At least 2-3 times a month	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.
Less than once a month	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	1.8	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.3	1.
Holds full licence, never drives	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.0	3.4	4.0	4.2	4.
Sample size (=100%)	32.0 12.450	32.4 12.360	32.7 12.800	31.7 9.830	31.6 9.840	31.5 9.720	32.0 9.340	31.0 9.570	30.5 9.760	30.5 9.650	28. 9.720
Percentage of car / van stages delayed by traffic congestion ⁹	11.0	10.5	11.2	9.9	9.7	11.7	12.4	11.7	12.8	13.0	11.
Sample size (=100%)	8,690	7,610	8,330	9,830	10,200	9,820	9,690	9,810	9,960	9,390	9,880
Frequency of use of local bus/train service (aged 16+)											
Every day or almost every day	11.3	11.0	11.1	9.3	11.3	9.7	11.7	9.3	9.7	9.6	8.
2 or 3 times per week	11.8	11.7	12.5	11.0	11.4	11.3	11.6	10.6	10.6	10.3	9
About once a week	8.4	7.7	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.6	8.1	7.7	7.9	7.2	7
Not used in the past month	54.5	56.1	54.3	58.2	55.4	57.7	54.2	59.2	57.1	57.8	61.
Train service			~ ~				~ .				-
Every day or almost every day 2 or 3 times per week	2.1 2 1	1.9 1.9	2.0 2.2	2.5 2.4	2.2	2.2 2 1	2.1	2.3 2 1	2.6 2.2	2.6 2.6	2
About once a week	3.7	3.5	3.7	4.2	4.0	5.0	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.7	4
Once or twice a month	15.9	17.3	17.9	19.1	19.5	21.2	20.7	20.8	21.9	20.6	20
NOLUSED IN THE DAST MONTH	/6.2	15.5	74.2	71.8	/1.8	69.5	70.2	70.5	69.0	69.5	70.

Sample size (=100%) 12,420 12,600 9,500 9,

 Table Sum 2
 Summary of Transport in Scotland¹⁴

 Numbers
 Image: Summary of Transport in Scotland¹⁴

SUMMARY

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Vehicles Licensed										the	ousands
Private and Light Goods ¹	2,362	2,364	2,369	2,395	2,436	2,496	2,537	2,594	2,638	2,665	2,711
All Vehicles ¹	2,684	2,685	2,691	2,717	2,759	2,821	2,863	2,919	2,962	2,991	3,041
New Registrations	216	209	202	216	241	262	268	270	250	233	221
Local Bus Services ² Passenger Journeys											millions
(boardings) ³	458	430	436	420	421	414	407	393	388	380	366 ¹³
Vehicle Kilometres ³ Passenger Revenue	377	346	338	327	332	336	338	335	333	334	 E million
at latest year's prices ³	749	713	717	732	717	700	722	721	701	694	
Freight Lifted										millior	tonnes
Road ^{4, 9}	131	131	134	136	124	122	131	138	121	127	115
Rail ²	9.69	8.33	9.87	8.43							
Coastwise traffic	19.8	18.0	16.3	12.5	11.4	11.8	14.2				
One Port traffic	3.59	1.88	2.42	2.57	2.10	2.19					
Inland waterway traffic	10.10	10.89	10.70	10.79	10.69	9.41	10.14	9.42			
Pipelines ⁵	27.6	27.6	27.8	28.2			'				
Total	201.8	197.7	201.1	198.5							
Public Road Lengths										kili	ometres
Trunk (A and M) ¹⁰	3 520	3 518	3 536	3 566	3 565	3 637	3 638	3 669	3 681	3 735	3 739
Other Major (A and M)	7 /21	7 / 1/	7 467	7 173	7 473	7 406	7 / 1/	7 / 18	7 / 27	7 500	7 529
Minor Roads	1,721	1, -1 -	1, 4 07 11 769	1,473	1/ 038	15 011	45 100	15 163	1,721	1,000	1,525
All Roads ¹⁰	55,532	55,626	55,772	55,912	44,930 55,975	56,054	43,100 56,152	43,103 56,250	40,207 56,364	45,555 56,591	56,722
Road Traffic									million	vehicle-kild	ometres
Motorways ¹¹	6,633	6,503	6,570	7,140	7,262	7,421	7,477	7,829	8,054	8,518	
A roads	22,327	21,992	21,996	21,712	21,786	22,025	22,395	23,019	23,353	23,023	
All roads (incl. B, C, uncl.)	44,219	43,488	43,390	43,549	43,840	44,839	45,374	46,459	47,986	48,137	
Poported Poad Accident Casualtic	12,13										
Killed	216	208	185	176	172	203	168	101	1/5	161	168
Killed and Serious	2 503	200	2 063	2 157	1 8 3 0	1 00/	1 770	1 8 8 8	1 7 3 0	1 7/3	2 160
All (Killed Serious Slight)	15 043	13 338	12 785	12 712	11 492	11 302	10 977	10 897	9433	8 4 1 1	7 594
	10,040	10,000	12,700	12,712	11,432	11,502	10,377	10,007	3,400	0,411	7,004
Passenger Rail ^{2,0}											millions
ScotRail passenger journeys °	76.9	78.3	81.1	83.3	86.3	92.7	93.8	94.2	97.8	97.8	96.4
ORR data:											
Rail journeys in/from Scotland ⁷	76.5	79.5	83.3	85.8	86.7	91.7	93.4	94.2	97.1	97.0	
Passenger receipts (2018 £mill)	443.4	459.5	471.0	489.4	503.9	529.8	549.29	555.3	631.0	623.7	
Air Transport										the	ousands
Terminal Passengers	22,496	20.907	22.065	22,207	23.250	24.076	25.507	26.924	28.833	29.443	28.876
Transport Movements	382.7	354.4	366.3	372.1	376.4	376.2	376.4	376.0	383.9	376.6	367.5
										thousand	tonnes
Freight	50.9	47.5	45.2	52.2	54.2	59.9	56.4	55.9	60.3	62.3	58.9
Ferries ⁸										the	ousands
Passengers	10,219	9,990	9,631	9,698	9,662	9,679	9,554	10,073	10,255	10,279	10,427
Vehicles	3,135	3,072	3,071	3,076	2,972	3,074	3,146	3,372	3,506	3,456	3,534
of which on routes within Scotla	nd										
Passengers	8,272	8,016	7,773	7,888	7,831	7,884	7,824	8,320	8,501	8,529	8,656
Vehicles	2,648	2,554	2,551	2,628	2,577	2,626	2,706	2,930	3,060	3,043	3,120

1 DfT has revised the figures for the light goods and goods body types back to 2001. DfT does not have the underlying data to revise earlier years' figures.

2 Financial years

3 The DfT have revised figures from 2004/05 onwards as a result of methodological improvements. Figures prior to this period are not directly comparable. See Chapter 2 for more detail. Figures from 2006 include Government support for buses which is not available for the two previous years.

4 Freight lifted in Scotland by UK-registered hauliers, regardless of whether the destination is in Scotland, elsewhere in the UK or outwith the UK.

The figures for 2004 onwards are not compatible with those for earlier years due to changes in methodology and processing system for the survey.

5 The estimated amounts of crude oil and products carried by pipelines over 50km in length. 2012 figures are provisional.

6 ScotRail introduced a new methodology which better estimates Strathclyde Zonecard journeys from 2009/10. Figures from 2003/04 onwards present the impact of this on previously reported data to provide a more meaningful year on year comparison. Note that this has no impact on actual journeys undertaken.

7 The Office of Rail and Road (ORR) produce total passenger figures. These are not adjusted to reflect ScotRail's revised methology and are therefore not comparable with ScotRail figures. There is a series break between 2007-08 and 2008-09 due to a change in the methodology. From 2008-09 estimates of PTE travel (zone cards) are included.

8 Services to Europe, Northern Ireland and within Scotland (Previous versions of STS only included services where data is available back to 1975, this can still be found in Table H1). Figures for passenger numbers on the Corran ferry service in 2013, 2014 and 2015 have not been included in the total for Scotland as the figures are new estimates and considered as 'data under development'.

9 Domestic freight estimates for 2006 to 2009 were revised on 27 October 2011.

10 Totals have been revised in 2012 to include slip roads on Trunk A roads which had previously excluded.

See Road Network chapter for more information. 11 Changes in the layout of the M74/M77/M8 during 2012 are likely to have affected the traffic data for motorways.

Changes in the layout of the Mr4/Mr / Mo during 2012 are incert to have aneced the traine data for motionways.
 Due to changes in the the way casualty severities are recorded, killed/serious figures in 2019 are not comparable with previous years.

13 Provisional

14 1999 to 2008 results can be viewed by unhiding columns E to M.

Table	: [Driving licence] People aged 17 or over - those who hold full driving licence, 20	09–2019
-------	--	---------

												2019
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Sample
												size
										cell pe	rcentages	
All aged 17+	68.0	67.6	67.3	68.3	68.4	68.5	68.0	69.0	69.5	69.5	71.2	9,720
by gender:												
Men	76	76	76	76	76	76	73	75	75	76	77	4,330
Women	61	60	60	62	61	62	63	63	64	64	66	5,390
Identified in another way										*	*	-
Refused										*	*	-
by age:												
17-19	25	27	26	28	26	29	26	30	31	29	39	140
20-29	58	58	54	58	56	56	54	55	55	57	60	1,020
30-39	77	76	77	75	74	73	72	73	73	73	72	1,490
40-49	80	81	80	80	80	82	82	81	80	79	82	1,380
50-59	78	78	78	79	80	79	78	80	81	79	81	1,680
60-69	75	72	74	73	74	74	76	76	77	77	76	1,690
70-79	55	54	57	59	60	61	62	63	67	70	70	1,510
80+	37	37	35	37	41	40	43	43	47	48	43	820
Sample size (=100%)	12,450	12,360	12,800	9,830	9,840	9,720	9,340	9,570	9,760	9,650	9,720	

1. 1999 to 2008 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to J.

able 2: [Fuel] Amount spent on fuel in the past month, 2009-2019														
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019			
Amount spent on fuel in the past month										column pe	ercentages			
£1 to £19	2.7	2.0	1.6	1.1	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.7	2.0	1.6	1.3			
£20 to £39	13.8	11.5	7.5	7.9	8.2	7.9	11.1	11.8	11.2	10.3	10.4			
£40 to £59	20.4	18.3	14.7	15.3	15.6	16.9	19.2	19.9	20.3	18.7	18.3			
£60 to £99	22.9	20.9	20.3	21.2	19.9	21.1	23.0	21.9	21.5	21.8	22.3			
£100 to £149	18.9	20.3	22.6	19.8	21.2	22.6	19.9	20.2	20.8	21.0	20.9			
£150 and over	21.3	27.0	33.3	34.7	33.7	30.3	25.3	24.3	24.2	26.6	26.8			
Median	80	80	100	100	100	100	80	80	80	80	80			
Mean	99.6	112.2	131.0	134.5	128.9	123.7	109.2	105.6	107.0	112.3	112.6			
Mean (2019 prices, adjusted for RPI inflation)	135.1	145.3	161.6	160.8	149.4	139.9	122.2	116.1	113.5	115.4	112.6			
Sample size (-100%)	0 100	0 100	0.200	4 590	7 020	6 000	6 760	6 900	7.040	6 760	6 020			

1. 2001 to 2006 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to J.

Table 3: [Walking] Frequency of walking in the previous seven days*, 2009 - 2019

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 ²	2017	2018	2019
As a means of transport:										column pe	ercentages
None	41.0	38.0	36.9	34.2		33.1		31.4			33.5
1-2 days	17.5	18.9	19.1	19.8		19.1		19.4			18.0
3-5 days	22.4	24.3	24.4	23.2		26.2		26.3			26.5
6-7 days	19.1	18.8	19.6	22.7		21.6		22.9			22.1
1+ days	59.0	62.0	63.1	65.8		66.9		68.6			66.5
Sample size (=100%)	6,140	6,180	6,380	9,840		9,740		9,580			9,610
Just for pleasure:											
None	51.6	48.7	46.0	45.1		41.7		38.6			38.0
1-2 days	19.1	17.7	18.9	18.9		20.2		20.3			18.9
3-5 days	13.1	16.5	16.7	16.7		17.7		19.8			19.0
6-7 days	16.1	17.2	18.5	19.3		20.4		21.2			24.1
1+ days	48.4	51.3	54.0	54.9		58.3		61.4			62.0
Sample size (=100%)	6,120	6,140	6,370	9,810		9,690		9,540			9,610
*Only relates to journeys over a quarter of a mil asked of the full sample every other year. The of 1. 1999 to 2008 results can be viewed by unhid 2. The initially published published sample size	e. In 2005 and 2006 the ques question was not asked in 201 ing columns B to J. for walking for pleasure was i	tion was asked 7 and 2018, bu ncorrect.	of half the samp t is being asked	le. Between 200 in alternate year	07 and 2011 the s from 2019.	e question was a	asked of 1/3 c	f the sample. F	From 2012 to 2	2016 the ques	tion was

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
As a means of transport:			- 1							column pe	ercentages
None				93.9		93.9		94.1			95.1
1-2 days				2.7		2.7		2.8			1.8
3-5 days				2.3		2.3		2.1			2.2
6-7 days				1.1		1.2		1.0			1.0
1+ days				6.1		6.1		5.9			4.9
Sample size (=100%)				9,890		9,790		9,640			9,750
Just for pleasure:											
None				94.1		93.9		93.5			94.3
1-2 days				3.1		3.5		3.8			3.3
3-5 days				1.9		2.0		1.9			1.7
6-7 days				0.9		0.7		0.8			0.7
1+ days				5.9		6.1		6.5			5.7
Sample size (=100%)				9,890		9,790		9,640			9,750

Table 4: [Public	Transp	ort]	Adults	views	on	satisfaction*	with	public	trans	port,	2009-	2019

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
										column pe	ercentages
Very satisfied	26.8	26.8	26.3	21.2	23.6	22.7	23.1	20.9	20.7	21.2	20.7
Fairly satisfied	48.2	47.5	49.7	51.0	47.5	52.4	50.4	50.9	47.9	44.1	47.1
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	10.6	12.1	9.9	13.8	12.2	13.5	12.1	15.5	15.3	15.2	16.3
Fairly dissatisfied	9.0	8.6	8.7	9.4	10.6	7.3	8.9	8.5	10.2	12.1	9.0
Very dissatisfied	5.4	5.0	5.4	4.7	6.1	4.2	5.4	4.2	5.8	7.4	6.9
Sample size (=100%)	8,110	7,590	8,220	8,330	8,400	8,480	8,180	8,510	8,630	8,250	8,220
* Excludes respondents who answered 'no opinion'	in line with figures publish	ned in the SHS /	Annual Report a	nd the National	Indicator on imp	proving people's	s perceptions of	of the quality of	public service	s. Approxima	tely 15%
*• · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											

[†]Sample sizes relate to those who provided an opionion on public transport only and so will differ from that reported in the SHS Annual Report.

1. 2007-2008 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to J.

Table 5: [Concessionary fare pass] Possession of a concessionary fare pass, 2009-2019 ²													
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019		
										cell pe	rcentages		
Adults aged 16+	26.4	26.6	26.7	27.0	26.3	27.0	27.6	28.5	27.7		29.0		
Adults aged 60+	86.7	87.1	87.5	88.4	86.4	87.3	86.9	87.2	86.5		88.7		
Adults aged 60-64	78.1	78.5	80.3	81.5	75.0	75.3	73.8	75.3	73.6		80.1		
Adults aged 65+	90.0	90.5	90.2	91.0	90.4	91.3	91.2	91.2	90.9		91.7		
Sample size = (100%)	12,540	12,440	12,890	9,890	9,920	9,800	9,410	9,640	9,810		9,780		
^{1.} Question not asked in 2018. It is being asked	in alternate years from 2019.												

2. 2003-2008 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to J.

 Table 6: Adults with limited mobility

 Following changes to the Scottish Household survey, data for Table 6 is no longer collected - Please see TATIS 2011 for the most recently produced version of the

Table 7: [Travel to work] Employed adults not working from home - usual method of travel to work*, 2019

	Walking	Driver	Passenger	Bicycle	Bus	Rail	Other ¹	Sample size (=100%)	% Public / Active (Former National Indicator)
						row p	ercentages		
All	12.0	63.1	5.1	2.7	9.6	5.4	2.1	4,050	30
by gender:									
Men	10	65	3	4	10	5	3	1,840	29
vomen	14	62	/ **	1 **	9 **	5 **	2	2,210	30
Refused	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	-	**
Reidsed								-	
16 - 19	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	50	43
20 - 29	17	53	5	3	13	8	1	620	41
30 - 39	11	61	4	3	11	8	2	1,030	33
40 - 49	10	70	4	3	7	3	3	930	24
50 - 59	10	70	5	2	6	4	2	990	22
60 and over	15	65	1	2	8	2	2	440	27
Ethnicity			-			_			
White Scottish	11	65	5	2	9	5	2	3,110	28
White Polish	13	54	3 11	4	14	2	2	500 110	20
Other White	23	39	5	10	16	7	1	190	55
Asian, Asian Scottish or Asian British	12	48	7	0	24	7	1	80	43
Other ethnic groups	17	39	7	5	16	5	10	60	44
by current situation:									
Self employed	9	66	6	2	4	7	6	180	22
Employed full time	11	64	4	3	10	6	2	2,940	30
Employed part time	16	59	8	2	11	4	0	930	33
by annual net household income:	01	24	0	4	24	6	2	250	E 4
up to $\pm 15,000$ p.a.	21	34 53	9	4	24	5	3	350 460	54 30
over £20,000 - £25,000	10	59	5	4	14	4	2	480	33
over £25,000 - £30,000	14	62	6	2	10	5	1	430	31
over £30,000 - £40,000	11	66	7	3	8	4	2	860	26
over £40,000 - £50,000	10	68	3	2	10	5	2	630	28
over £50,000 p.a.	7	71	3	3	4	8	3	830	22
by Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation:						_			
1 - Most Deprived	15	52	9	2	16	5	2	700	37
2	13	59	5	2	8	6	2	840	32 29
4	10	72	3	3	6	5	2	910	23
5 - Least Deprived	9	68	2	4	8	6	2	770	28
by urban/rural:									
Large urban areas	15	50	4	5	17	7	2	1,260	44
Other urban	10	67	6	2	7	6	2	1,420	24
Small accessible towns	8	72	7	2	6	3	3	360	19
Small remote towns	28	20	6	2	0	2	2	250	37
Remote rural	14	75	4	1	2	4	2	350	20
by number of cars:			0	·	0	·	-	000	20
none	34	5	9	5	35	10	3	610	84
one	14	58	7	4	9	6	3	1,760	33
two +	4	83	3	1	3	3	2	1,670	12
Household type									
Single adult	16	54	4	3	15	6	3	940	40
Small adult	15	57	4	4	10	7	2	950	37
Single parent Small family	13	61 70	/ /	1 0	77	4	2	260	29
L arge family	o Q	65	4 8	2 4	7	5 4	2	280	22
Large adult	11	67	5	2	, 8	5	1	390	26
Older smaller	13	62	8	2	11	2	4	380	28

Those in full-time employment, part-time employment and self-employment only
 ** values based upon a sample size less than 50 have been suppressed
 ¹ Includes Edinburgh trams

Table 8: [Congestion] Effects of traffic congestion on travel to work journey, 2015-2019 (combined)

	Driver	Passenger	Bue	Othor	A II
	car/van	car/van	Dus	Other	
How often journey to work affected	by traffic congestion				
At least once a week	44.4	31.6	45.0	8.2	34.3
Less often	19.7	18.8	20.3	7.0	16.4
Never	35.9	49.6	34.8	84.8	49.4
Sample size (=100%)	12,860	1,100	2,430	5,360	21,750
How much extra time normally allow	ved for journey to work				
None	19.4	23.7	24.9	32.9	21.6
Less than 5 mins	9.3	12.7	7.9	13.1	9.5
5-10 mins	30.6	33.5	27.6	27.4	30.1
11-30 mins	31.3	25	28.4	18.5	29.5
31-60 mins	7.7	4.2	8.6	6.6	7.6
more than 1 hr	1.7	0.8	2.7	1.4	1.8
Sample size (=100%)	7,360	500	1,470	740	10.070

 Table 9: Journeys carried out on way to/from work

 Following changes to the Scottish Household survey, data for Table 9 is no longer collected - Please see TATIS 2011 for the most recently produced version

 of the table.

Table 10: [Travel to work] How random adult usually travelled to work a year ago by current main mode of travel, 2015-2019

			U	sual mode on	e year ago			
	Walking	Driver	Passenger	Bicycle	Bus	Rail	Other	All
Current usual mode							column p	percentages
Walking	87	1	2	4	5	2	1	12.0
Driver	6	98	7	6	6	8	6	63.7
Passenger	2	0	85	1	2	0	2	5.0
Bicycle	1	0	0	86	1	1	0	2.6
Bus	3	1	3	2	85	2	2	9.7
Rail	1	0	1	1	1	86	0	4.9
Other	1	0	2	0	0	1	88	2.0
Sample size (=100%)	2,380	11,920	840	410	1,830	870	410	18,650

This table can be used to establish the mode of travel people used in the previous year by their current mode.

Example: Of the people who currently walk to work, last year 87% walked, 1% drove, 2% were passengers, 4% used a bicycle, etc. Of the people who drove a car last year, 1% now walk, 98% still drive, etc.

Table 10a: [Travel to work] Reason for changing mode of transport for travel to work, 2012-2019 ¹

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015-19
								column pe	rcentages
Changed job	36	29	42	35	39	31	30	38	34.4
Moved home	24	23	21	25	20	19	24	21	22.3
Employer re-located	4	11	2	8	4	4	5	7	5.8
Bought a car	4	6	9	7	7	12	9	10	8.9
Sold car	3	2	2	2	0	1	2	1	1.2
Lost licence	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0.6
Public transport service added	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0.6
Public transport service withdrawn	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0.5
Changed working hours	2	1	3	4	4	3	4	2	3.4
Had a baby	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0.7
Passed driving test	3	2	3	6	4	4	3	5	4.4
Husband / wife / partner has more need for car	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	3	1
Fresh air / exercise	2	6	2	3	6	3	4	2	3.4
Other	29	26	22	16	18	25	24	20	20.4
Sample size(= 100%)	210	230	240	250	190	200	240	200	1 086

** denotes cell value supressed as based on fewer than 5 responses

1. Columns sum to more than 100% as multiple responses can be provided.

Table 11: [Car share] Car sharing journeys to work, 2015-2019¹

	2015-19
colum	n percentages
Whether involved in any car sharing arrangem	ent
Yes	9.3
No	90.7
Sample size (=100%)	6,259
How car sharing is organised	
Normally between ourselves	91
Through employer	8
Other	1
Sample size (=100%)	580

Reasons why not involved in a car share arrangement

Following changes to the Scottish Household survey, data for this section of Table 11 is no longer collected - Please see TATIS 2017 for the most recently produced version of this part of the table.

1. Full sample 2015, one third sample 2016-19

Table 12: Whether workplace has a travel plan Following changes to the Scottish Household survey, data for Table 12 is no longer collected - Please see TATIS 2011 for the most recently produced version of the table.

Table 13: [Travel to work] Employed adults method of travel to work and whether they could use public transport See Table 7 of this publication for latest method of travel to work. The question asking whether car/van commuters are able to use public transport is no longer in the survey. See 2018 edition for the most recent figures.

 Table 14: [Travel to work reasons] Reasons why public transport is not used for travel to work

 The questions asking whether car/van commuters are able to use public transport, and the reasons why public transport is not used are no longer in the survey. See 2018 edition for the most recent figures.

Table 15: [Travel to school	School children in full-time edu	cation, usual method of travel, 2019
-----------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------------

	Walking	Car or van	Bicycle	School bus*	Service bus	Rail (inc. Glas U/g)	All other modes	Sample size (=100%)
						row p	ercentages	
All people	51.8	25.1	1.9	14.3	5.0	0.3	1.7	1,920
by gender:								
Man/Boy	50	25	3	14	5	0	2	980
Woman/Girl	54	25	1	14	5	0	1	940
Identified in another way	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	-
Refused	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	-
by age:								
age 4-5	57	33	3	5	1	0	2	170
age 6-7	58	33	0	4	2	0	2	330
age 8-9	55	32	3	9	2	0	0	330
age 10-11	65	18	3	9	2	0	2	290
All 4-11	59	29	2	7	2	0	2	1,130
age 12-13	41	19	3	23	12	0	2	300
age 14-15	43	19	1	27	9	1	1	320
age 16-18	42	25	0	24	7	1	2	180
All 12-18	42	20	1	25	10	0	2	790
by annual net household income:								
Up to £15.000	66	14	1	9	7	0	3	140
£15.000 - £20.000	54	17	1	18	7	0	2	180
£20.000 - £25.000	63	14	1	13	6	0	2	200
£25,000 - £30,000	52	24	1	16	6	0	1	190
£30.000 - £40.000	44	31	2	16	5	1	2	380
£40,000 - £50,000	48	28	3	16	3	0	2	310
over £50,000 p.a.	51	30	2	13	4	0	1	490
by Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation:								
1 - Most Deprived	63	19	1	9	7	0	2	380
2	55	25	1	12	4	0	2	360
3	48	24	1	23	3	0	1	390
4	41	33	2	18	4	0	2	400
5 - Least Deprived	51	26	4	11	7	0	1	390
by urban/rural:								
Large urban areas	54	26	2	6	10	0	2	550
Other urban	60	24	2	11		0	1	690
Accessible small towns	55	20	- 1	19	1	0	3	170
Remote small towns	68	26	4	0	0	1	- 1	100
Accessible rural	29	27	2	32	5	0	4	220
Remote rural	27	27	- 1	41	2	1	0	180
by number of cars:					_		-	
None	74	5	1	7	10	0	3	290
One	56	24	1	12	.0	0	1	700
Two +	41	32	3	18	3	0	2	930
Household type		02	0	10	Ũ	0	-	000
Single parent	62	18	2	10	6	0	2	400
Small family	<u>4</u> 9	28	2	15	۵ ۵	0	- 1	900
Large family		26	2	15	+ 5	0	2	500
Large adult	39	25	0	29	4	1	2	90

*Includes school bus, private bus and works bus. ** denotes cell value suppressed as sample size is less than 5

 Table 16: [Travel to school reasons] Reasons for transport choice to children's full time education establishment, 2014-2018 (combined)

	Usi	ual method of	travel to scho	loc
	Walking	Car or van	School bus	Service bus
			cell	percentages*
Close / Nearby / Not far away	88	8	6	7
Most convenient	9	36	37	39
Travel with friends	5	1	4	4
Safest method	2	18	19	8
Quickest method	4	20	9	15
Only method available	2	9	19	23
Too far to walk	0	16	21	27
No public transport	1	4	3	0
Publ transp unsuitable (eg too infreq.)	0	4	2	0
Good exercise / fresh air	7	0	0	0
No car / transport	1	0	0	2
Cheapest method	0	1	1	1
It is free	1	0	17	1
On way to work	0	10	0	0
Too young to travel any other way	0	5	2	1
Relative meets child	0	1	0	0
Other reason(s)	1	3	3	2
Sample size (=100%)	4,590	2,330	1,370	500

*Percentages may total to more than 100% as respondents can give multiple answers. Table only includes those who have given a reason (question asked only of a sub-sample).

 Table 17: [Travel to school reasons] Reasons why public transport is not used by school children, 2012, 2014, 2016 and 2019 combined ¹

		Age	
	Primary:	Secondary:	
	4-11	12-18	All
By whether they could use public transport		cell p	percentages*
Yes	22	50	31
No	78	50	69
Sample size (=100%)	1,320	590	1,910
If they <u>could</u> use public transport, reasons for no	ot using it		
Too young to travel on own	58	12	34
Inconvenient	4	6	5
No service available	16	26	21
Too far to bus stop	3	5	4
Cost, too expensive	5	10	8
Too short a distance, not worth it	5	4	5
Prefer to use car	14	35	25
Others	8	14	11
Sample size (=100%)	290	290	580
If they <u>could not</u> use public transport, reasons w	hy they cannot		
Too young to travel on own	50	11	41
No service available	43	60	47
Inconvenient	6	11	7
Too far to bus stop	3	11	5
Cost, too expensive	0	2	1
Too short a distance, not worth it	11	6	10
Prefer to use car	4	6	4
Others	2	5	3
Sample size (=100%)	1,030	300	1,330

*Percentages may total to more than 100% as respondents can give multiple answers. Table only includes those who have given a reason (question asked only of a sub-sample). Figures may not sum due to rounding.

1. Question asked every other year until 2016. It was missed in 2018, and will be asked in alternate years from 2019.

		Bi	cycles tha	it can be us	ed by adul	ts:			Ca	rs / vans ¹	available fo	or private u	se:	
	None	One	Two	Three +	One +	Two +	Sample size (=100%)	None	One	Two	Three +	One+	Two+	Sample size (=100%)
			Row pe	ercentages	Cell pe	ercentages				Row pe	ercentages	Cell pe	rcentages	
All households	66.5	15.1	12.2	6.3	33.5	18.5	10,580	27.6	41.5	24.9	5.9	72.4	30.8	10,580
by household type:														
Single adult	74	21	4	2	26	6	1,770	48	46	5	1	52	6	1,770
Small adult	58	17	20	6	42	26	1,620	21	36	38	5	79	43	1,620
Single parent	74	16	6	4	26	9	520	46	46	7	1	54	8	520
Small family	49	18	23	10	51	33	1,350	9	39	48	4	91	52	1,350
Large family	41	16	22	21	59	43	570	10	30	43	18	90	61	570
Large adult	51	15	17	17	49	34	850	9	23	36	32	91	68	850
Older smaller	72	12	12	4	28	16	1,970	13	53	31	3	87	34	1,970
Single pensioner	91	7	1	1	9	2	1,940	52	46	2	0	48	2	1,940
by annual net household income:														
up to £10,000 p.a.	81	13	4	1	19	6	960	60	32	7	2	40	8	960
over £10,000 - £15,000	82	12	4	2	18	6	1,480	51	38	9	1	49	11	1,480
over £15,000 - £20,000	79	13	6	2	21	8	1,550	37	49	12	2	63	14	1,550
over £20,000 - £25,000	74	14	8	4	26	11	1,260	26	57	14	2	74	16	1,260
over £25,000 - £30,000	66	16	13	5	34	18	990	20	48	26	6	80	32	990
over £30,000 - £40,000	56	21	16	8	44	23	1,510	11	46	34	9	89	43	1,510
over £40,000 - £50,000	52	16	21	11	48	32	1,020	6	37	46	10	94	57	1,020
over £50,000 p.a.	38	17	27	18	62	45	1,370	3	26	56	15	97	71	1,370
by Scottish Index of Multiple Deprin	vation:													
1 - Most Deprived	79	13	5	2	21	8	1,960	48	38	12	2	52	14	1,970
2	73	14	9	4	27	13	2,150	34	43	18	5	66	23	2,150
3	67	14	13	6	33	19	2,280	24	44	26	6	76	32	2,280
4	57	17	18	8	43	26	2,250	17	41	34	8	83	42	2,250
5 - Least Deprived	55	17	17	11	45	28	1,940	13	42	36	8	87	44	1,940
by urban/rural classification:														
Large urban areas	71	15	9	5	29	14	3,170	38	40	18	3	62	21	3,170
Other urban	68	14	12	6	32	18	3,630	27	42	25	6	73	31	3,630
Small accessible towns	62	17	14	8	38	21	940	22	40	31	8	78	38	940
Small remote towns	65	14	15	6	35	21	610	24	45	26	5	76	31	610
Accessible rural	57	16	19	8	43	27	1,160	10	40	38	12	90	50	1,160
Remote rural	57	17	17	9	43	26	1,070	13	45	34	9	87	43	1,070

Table 18: [Car / Bicycle access] Households with bicycles cars / vans available for private use, 2019

1. From 2012 Q4 the question was amended to ask about access to cars / vans instead of just vans.

Table	19: Drivina	licence]	People aged	17+	that hold a	a full	driving licence	2019
Tuble	io.[Dirving	lineelineel	i copic ugeu		that noise i	a run	anving noonoo	, 2010

	17-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70-79	80+	AII 17+	Sample
	17-19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50-55	00-09	10-19	00+		aroup
						nero	entage of the	relevant s	ub-aroun*	<u> </u>
All people aged 17+:	39.0	60.3	71.8	82.3	81.3	76.3	70.1	43.2	71.2	9,720
by gender:										
Men	36	64	76	85	87	83	83	62	77	4,330
Women	43	57	67	79	76	71	60	29	66	5,390
Identified in another way	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	-
Refused	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	-
oy ethnicity:										
White Scottish	44	65	77	82	80	76	69	41	72	7,590
White other British	**	70	77	94	91	83	80	60	81	1,270
White Polish	**	**	57	**	**	**	**	**	50	150
Other white	**	32	54	78	**	**	**	**	53	400
Asian, Asian Scottish or Asian British	**	**	55	**	**	**	**	**	57	190
Other	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	54	130
by current situation:										
Self employed	**	**	87	89	96	95	**	**	90	630
Employed full time	**	73	80	90	89	88	**	**	83	3,210
Employed part time	**	59	73	82	81	79	**	**	75	1,050
Looking after the home or family	**	31	43	64	71	**	**	**	52	360
Permanently retired from work	**	**	**	**	83	78	69	43	67	3,280
Unemployed and seeking work	**	20	44	**	56	**	**	**	39	290
In further / higher education	43	48	**	**	**	**	**	**	47	270
Permanently sick or disabled	**	**	8	34	39	32	**	**	59	500
by annual net household income:										
up to £10,000 p.a.	**	33	36	58	57	69	55	33	50	900
over £10,000 - £15,000	**	36	47	40	55	64	65	36	52	1,400
over £15,000 - £20,000	**	46	51	62	73	70	70	35	59	1,470
over £20,000 - £25,000	**	58	65	74	77	73	73	50	67	1,190
over £25,000 - £30,000	**	72	69	77	84	76	77	73	75	900
over £30,000 - £40,000	**	70	73	89	85	87	77	**	79	1,370
over £40,000 - £50,000	**	81	84	92	93	91	**	**	86	900
over £50,000 p.a.	**	80	90	97	95	94	**	**	91	1,200
by Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation:										
1 - Most Deprived	**	41	55	64	56	49	44	19	49	1,810
2	**	60	66	75	75	69	57	34	65	1,990
3	**	64	74	83	83	80	75	42	73	2,090
4	**	69	84	93	92	86	79	53	82	2.050
5 - Least Deprived	**	73	87	94	96	91	88	63	86	1,790
by urban/rural:										
Large urban areas	25	53	64	76	76	70	62	37	63	2,920
Other urban	38	63	71	81	78	74	65	46	70	3,330
Small accessible towns	**	71	80	90	88	79	75	39	79	860
Small remote towns	**	58	77	80	82	75	78	33	72	570
Accessible rural	**	75	90	96	92	90	84	57	86	1.040
Remote rural	**	80	92	90	93	88	79	43	84	1,010
Sample size of age groups	140	1,020	1,490	1,380	1,680	1,690	1,510	820	9,720	9,720

* Percentage includes people for whom it was not known, or not recorded, what type of driving licence (if any) was held ** Percentages based on a denominator of 50 respondents or fewer are not shown.

Estimates based on smaller sample sizes may be subject to larger levels of variation and therefore may see relatively large fluctuations over time

Table 20: [Free	quency of driving	People aged 1	7+, frequency	/ of driving, 2019*
				U ,

	Every day	At least 3 times per week	1 - 2 times per week	At least 2 - 3 times per month	At least once a month	Less than once a month	Has licence but never drives	Does not have a full driving licence	sample size (=100%)
							row	percentages	
All people	43.0	15.0	6.4	0.9	0.4	1.1	4.4	28.8	9,720
by gender:									
Men	49	15	7	1	1	1	4	23	4,330
Women	38	15	6	1	0	1	4	34	5,390
Identified in another way	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	-
Refused	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	-
by age:							_		
17-19	22	6	1	1	2	2	5	61	140
20-29	38	10	4	1	0	1	5	40	1,020
30-39	49	13	5	0	0	1	3	28	1,490
40-49	57	15	5	1	0	1	4	18	1,380
50-59	53	15	/	1	0	1	5	19	1,680
60-69	39	21	9	1	1	1	5	24	1,690
70-79	30	22	9	1	1	2	5	30	1,510
80+	14	13	9	0	0	1	6	57	820
Ethnicity of random adult									
White Scottish	45	15	6	1	0	1	4	28	7,590
White other British	46	17	9	2	1	1	4	19	1,270
White Polish	37	6	1	1	0	2	6	47	150
Other white	23	13	6	0	1	1	1	50	400
Asian, Asian Scottish or Asian British	28	15	/	2	0	2	3	43	190
Other	29	13	5	2	0	1	5	46	130
by current situation:	00		0		0		0	10	
Self employed	66	14	6	1	0	1	2	10	630
Employed full time	60	13	5	1	0	1	3	17	3,210
Employed part time	47	18	5	0	0	1	3	25	1,050
Looking after the nome or family	27	13	10	0	1	1	3	48	360
Permanently retired from work	28	21	10	1	0	1	5	33	3,280
Unemployed and seeking work	10	10	4	0	1	2	/	52	290
In Turther / higher education	21	0	4	1	2	2	9	55	270
Permanently sick of disabled	1	4	0	1	0	2	11	00	500
by annual net nousenoid income:	10	10	0	1	1	2	0	50	000
$a_{10} = 10,000 \text{ p.a.}$	19	10	0	1	1	3	9	50	900
cvcr f 15,000 - f 10,000	22	10	0	1	0	1	7	40	1,400
cvcr f 20,000 - f 25,000	32	13	0	1	0	2	5	22	1,470
over £25,000 - £23,000	14	14	8	1	0	2	3	25	000
cver f 30,000 = f 40,000	54	14	6	1	0	1	3	20	1 370
$a_{\rm ver} = 40,000 - 250,000$	60	14	7	1	0	1	3	14	900
over £50,000 - 250,000	62	19	7	1	0	1	1	۰. م	1 200
	02	15	,		0			5	1,200
by Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation:		0			0		0	F 4	4.040
1 - Most Deprived	30	8	4	1	0	1	6	51	1,810
2	40	12	6	1	1	1	5	35	1,990
3	43	16	7	1	0	2	4	27	2,090
4 5 Jacob Danaira d	51	18	1	1	0	1	4	18	2,050
5 - Least Deprived	51	21	8	1	1	1	4	14	1,790
by urban/rurai:	22	40	7	4	4	0	7	27	0.000
Large urban areas	33	13	1	1	1	2	(37	2,920
	46	13	5	1	0	1	4	30	3,330
	50	18	/	1	0	1	3	21	860
	42	15	8	1	1	1	3	28	570
Remote rural	50 47	25	/ 8	2	0	0	2	14	1.040

*The frequency of driving is shown only for those who hold a full driving licence

 Table 21: Part driving/parking journeys, 2009 - 2015 and 2017

 Following changes to the Scottish Household survey data for Table 21 is no longer collected - Please see

 TATIS 2017 for the most recently produced version of the table.

Table 22: Mode of transport used in conjunction with driving by where parked Following changes to the Scottish Household survey data for Table 22 is no longer collected - Please see TATIS 2017 for the most recently produced version of the table.

 Table 23: Concerns with traffic growth

 Following changes to the Scottish Household survey data for Table 23 is no longer collected - Please see

 TATIS 2011 for the most recently produced version of the table.

 Table 24: Incidents of road rage directed at respondents in past year

 Following changes to the Scottish Household survey data for Table 24 is no longer collected - Please see

 TATIS 2011 for the most recently produced version of the table.

Table 25: [Walking] Frequency of walking in the previous seven days*, 2019¹

	Walkin	ig as a me	ans of tra	nsport	Walking	just for pl	easure / to	o keep fit	Sample
	None	1-2 days	3-5 days	6-7 days	None	1-2 days	3-5 days	6-7 days	size (=100%)
All	00 F	40.0	00 5	00.4	00.0	40.0	row pe	rcentages	0.010
All people:	33.5	18.0	26.5	22.1	38.0	18.9	19.0	24.1	9,610
by gender:	22	10	26	24	26	20	10	25	4 2 9 0
Fomale	32	10	20	24	30	20	19	20	4,200 5 220
Identified in another way	35 **	10	۲۲ **	20 **	40	10	19	23 **	5,330
Refused	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	-
by ago:									-
16 10	22	18	37	23	35	18	23	24	100
20.20	22	10	31	20	35	22	20	24	000
30-39	25	20	28	20	33	22	22	21	990 1 460
40-49	20	18	20	27	30	21	10	20	1,400
50-59	36	10	20	19	36	19	13	20	1,550
60-69	36	17	20	21	39	15	20	26	1,000
70-79	47	16	10	10	50	16	15	10	1,070
80+	60	14	14	12	68	a lo	11	10	800
by ethnicity:	00	14	14	12	00	0			000
White Scottish	35	18	26	21	39	18	19	24	7 520
White other British	36	16	20	24	35	20	18	27	1,020
White Polish	25	14	24	37	26	25	20	20	1,200
Other white	18	18	31	33	27	24	24	26	390
Asian Asian Scottish or Asian British	32	29	24	15	52	20	14	13	180
Other	23	18	34	25	41	21	20	18	130
by current situation:			•						
Self employed	34	19	21	26	26	22	21	30	620
Employed full time	30	19	28	23	33	23	20	25	3.160
Employed part time	25	20	34	22	31	19	23	27	1.030
Looking after the home/family	27	14	32	26	39	16	20	26	350
Permanently retired from work	45	16	21	19	48	14	17	22	3.240
Unemployed/seeking work	24	16	31	29	29	19	22	31	280
In further/higher education	18	17	33	32	34	23	25	18	270
Permanently sick or disabled	52	17	16	15	73	6	5	17	500
by annual net household income:									
up to £10,000 p.a.	32	16	24	28	45	15	18	22	880
over £10,000 - £15,000	36	17	27	20	46	18	15	21	1,390
over £15,000 - £20,000	39	17	25	19	48	16	16	19	1,450
over £20,000 - £25,000	34	18	26	21	43	18	18	22	1,170
over £25,000 - £30,000	36	16	28	20	41	17	20	22	900
over £30,000 - £40,000	34	18	27	21	35	21	19	25	1,350
over £30,000 - £40,000	30	21	27	23	32	20	22	26	900
over £50,000 p.a.	29	20	26	25	25	23	22	31	1,190
by Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation	on quintiles	:							
1 (20% most deprived)	32	16	30	21	49	17	16	19	1,770
2	34	19	27	20	42	18	19	21	1,970
3	37	16	25	22	36	19	19	25	2,070
4	36	18	25	21	33	19	20	28	2,040
5 (20% least deprived)	29	20	26	25	30	21	22	27	1,780
by urban/rural classification:									
Large urban areas	22	18	31	29	38	20	19	23	2,860
Other urban	36	20	26	19	41	19	18	23	3,290
Small accessible towns	34	20	27	19	35	19	19	26	850
Small remote towns	37	18	24	21	40	19	18	23	570
Accessible rural	47	15	20	17	33	17	20	30	1,030
Remote rural	55	11	15	19	37	16	20	27	1,010
by frequency of driving [†] :									
Every day	40	21	22	18	33	20	19	28	3,810
At least three times a week	29	21	29	21	31	21	24	24	1,570
Once or twice a week	31	15	32	22	36	19	25	20	660
Less often	30	12	25	34	47	18	12	24	240
Never, but holds full driving licence	27	13	30	31	39	20	17	24	440

*Only trips longer than a quarter of a mile are recorded.

[†]Only includes those with a full driving licence.

1. This question was asked in even years until 2016, but missed in 2018. Figures will be available in alternate years from 2019.

Table 25a: [Cycling] Frequency of cycling in the previous seven days*. 2019¹

	Cvcli	Cycling as a means of transport				just for pl	easure / to	keen fit	Sample
	None	1-2 davs	3-5 davs	6-7 davs	None	1-2 davs	3-5 davs	6-7 davs	size
		·		<u> </u>		j -	row p	ercentages	
All people:	95.1	1.8	2.2	1.0	94.3	3.3	1.7	0.7	9,750
by gender:									
Male	93	2	3	1	92	4	2	1	4,350
Female	97	1	1	1	96	2	1	0	5,400
Identified in another way	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	-
Refused	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	-
by age:									
16-19	89	4	3	3	89	5	3	3	200
20-29	93	2	3	2	94	3	2	1	1,010
30-39	95	2	2	1	95	3	2	0	1,490
40-49	93	3	3	2	90	7	2	1	1,380
50-59	96	1	2	1	94	4	2	1	1,670
60-69	97	1	1	0	96	2	1	0	1,680
70-79	98	1	1	0	97	1	1	0	1,510
80+	99	0	0	0	99	1	0	0	820
by ethnicity									
White Scottish	96	2	2	1	95	3	1	1	7,620
White other British	93	2	3	1	92	4	3	1	1,270
White Polish	95	0	4	1	95	2	3	0	150
Other white	86	3	6	4	88	6	4	2	400
Asian, Asian Scottish or Asian British	97	2	1	0	98	0	1	0	190
	93	3	Z	2	93	0	0	1	130
by current situation:	0.4	•	4	0	00	7		4	000
Self employed	94	3	1	2	90	1	1	1	2 200
Employed full time	93	2	3	1	93	4	2	1	3,200
Employed part line	90	1	2	1	94	ა 2	2	0	1,040
Pormanontly ratired from work	90	1	1	1	90	2	1	0	2 2 2 0 0
Lipomployod/socking work	90	ו ס	1	1	97	2	3	0	3,200
In further/higher education	80	2 5	2	2	90	2 5	1	1	290
Permanently sick or disabled	03	0	1	1	91	1		1	500
by annual net household income:	30	0	1		30	1	0		500
up to £10 000 p a	98	1	1	1	98	1	1	0	900
$a_{1} = 210,000 + 15,000$	96	1	1	2	97	2	1	1	1 410
over $f_{15} 000 - f_{20} 000$	96	1	2	1	95	2	2	1	1 470
over £20,000 - £25,000	97	1	1	1	97	2	1	1	1 190
over £25.000 - £30.000	95	1	2	2	94	2	2	1	910
over £30.000 - £40.000	95	1	2	1	94	4	2	0	1.370
over £40,000 - £50,000	94	3	3	1	92	5	2	1	910
over £50,000 p.a.	93	3	3	1	90	7	2	1	1.200
by Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivatio	n auintiles ² :								
1 (20% most deprived)	97	1	1	1	97	1	1	1	1.810
2	97	1	1	1	97	2	1	1	1,990
3	95	2	2	1	94	4	2	1	2,100
4	95	2	2	1	94	4	2	0	2,060
5 (20% least deprived)	92	3	4	2	90	5	3	1	1,790
by urban/rural classification:									
Large urban areas	94	2	3	1	94	3	2	1	2,910
Other urban	95	2	2	1	95	3	2	1	3,340
Small accessible towns	96	1	2	1	95	3	2	1	870
Small remote towns	97	1	1	1	96	2	1	1	570
Accessible rural	97	1	1	0	95	4	1	0	1,050
Remote rural	95	2	1	1	90	7	3	0	1,010
by frequency of driving [†] :									
Every day	97	2	1	0	95	4	1	0	3,840
At least three times a week	94	2	3	1	93	5	2	0	1,600
Once or twice a week	91	1	6	2	90	5	3	2	670
Less often	86	4	5	4	91	6	3	1	240
Never, but holds full driving licence	91	2	4	3	93	3	2	2	440

*Only includes the available in alternate years from 2018. 2016 is the most recent data available. Figures will be available in alternate years from 2019. 2. Figures are slightly different from those previously published as the SIMD16 classification is now being used rather than SIMD2012

Table 26: Reasons why do not cycle to work, 2009-2014

Following changes to the Scottish Household survey, options for this question have changed and are no longer comparable. See TATIS 2018 for the most recently produced version of the table with the previous options, and Table 26a (below) for current options.

Table 26a: [Cycling] Reasons why do not cycle to work, 2019

	2019
Reasons why do not cycle to work	cell percentages
Too far to cycle	40.4
Concerns about cycling in traffic	20.9
Weather too cold / wet / windy	20.6
It would be inconvenient	19.5
Concerns for personal safety on dark / lonely roads	12.3
No way to carry luggage / shopping	8.9
Nowhere at work to shower / change	6.6
Health or fitness reasons	4.0
Not enough safe places to lock bike	3.6
Can't ride a bike	1.3
Other	11.6
Sample size:	1,570

 Table 27: Households' bus availability

 Following changes to the Scottish Household survey data for Table 27 is no longer collected - Please see
 TATIS 2011 for the most recently produced version of the table.

Table 28: [Bus and train use] Adults use of local bus and train services, in the past month, 2019

			Бus			-			Train			
	Every day, or almost every day	2 or 3 times per week	About once a week	About once a fortnight, or about once a month	Not used in past month	1	Every day, or almost every day	2 or 3 times per week	About once a week	About once a fortnight, or about once a month	Not used in past month	Sample size (=100%)*
				row	/ percentages	;				row	percentages	
All people aged 16+	8.2	9.3	7.0	14.0) 61.5	5	2.4	2.5	4.0	20.8	70.2	9,780
by gender:												
Men	8	8	7	15	63	3	3	2	4	20	71	4,360
Women	9	10	7	13	60)	2	3	4	22	70	5,410
In another way	**	**	**	**	* **	k .	**	**	**	**	**	-
Refused	**	**	**	**	***	k .	**	**	**	**	**	-
by age:												
16-19	19	14	8	15	5 43	3	5	4	6	24	61	200
20-29	12	8	8	15	58	3	4	5	5	27	59	1,020
30-39	9	7	6	13	65	5	4	3	4	22	66	1,490
40-49	6	6	5	14	70)	2	2	3	22	70	1,380
50-59	5	6	5	13	5 71		2	2	5	25	67	1,680
60-69	1	14	10	1/	52	<u> </u>	0	2	4	18	76	1,690
70-79	/	16	8	13	5 56	j ,	0	1	3	12	84	1,510
80+	9	12	9	10	55	,	0	1	1	/	92	820
by ethnicity			-								70	7.040
White Scottish	8	9	1	14	62	2	3	2	4	21	70	7,640
White other British	6	8	5	14	68	5	2	2	5	23	67	1,280
White Polish	15	11	10	15	9 49	,	1	2	1	11	80	150
Asian Asian Casttinh an Asian British	13	10	0	14	04	•	2	4	5	10	71	400
Asian, Asian Scottish of Asian British	12	10	14	10	9 47 9 46		2	4	3	14	72	190
ouler eurnic group	13	10	13	12	40	,	2	3	4	20	12	130
Self employed	3	2	4	14	77	,	2	3	5	10	72	620
Employed	0		4	13			2	3	5	26	62	2 2 1 0
Employed part time	3		3	14	62	, ,	+ 2	3	3	20	68	1.050
Looking after the home or family	0	11	11	14	56	-	2	4	4	22	78	7,050
Permanently retired from work	7	15		14	54	,	0	1	3	10	81	2 280
I nemployed and seeking work	11	13	12	20	· 30	r 1	2	4	3	13	73	290
In further / higher education	16	16	6	16	46	,	6		6	28	56	280
Permanently sick or disabled	6	19	8	11	56	, }	0	1	1	11	87	500
by annual net household income:	0	15	0			,	0				01	000
up to £10,000 p a	11	16	12	13	40	9	1	2	4	15	77	900
over £10,000 - £15,000	12	16		14	50)	2	2	. 3	15	78	1 410
over £15,000 - £20,000	9	11	9 9	16	55	5	- 1	- 3	4	14	79	1 470
over £20,000 - £25,000	9	10	6	14	61		1	3	3	18	75	1,190
over £25,000 - £30,000	8	9	9	14	61		3	1	4	20	72	910
over £30.000 - £40.000	6	6	6	14	68	3	3	3	4	23	68	1.380
over £40.000 - £50.000	8	5	4	15	68	3	4	2	4	26	64	910
over £50,000 p.a.	4	5	5	13	73	3	4	4	6	30	57	1.210
by Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivati	on:											
1 - Most Deprived	13	14	9	13	51		2	3	4	20	71	1.750
2	9	9	8	14	60)	3	3	3	18	73	1,930
3	7	. 8	6	14	66	6	3	2	4	21	72	2,180
4	5	7	6	13	3 70)	2	2	4	21	71	2,150
5 - Least Deprived	8	9	7	16	60)	2	3	5	25	64	1,780
by urban/rural:												
Large urban areas	15	14	10	15	i 46	6	3	4	4	20	69	2,920
Other urban	6	8	7	14	65	5	3	2	5	25	66	3,350
Small accessible towns	4	. 7	6	16	67	,	1	2	4	23	70	870
Small remote towns	3	3	3	g	81		1	2	1	15	82	570
Accessible rural	3	4	4	12	2 78	3	1	2	3	18	76	1,050
Remote rural	2	3	3	12	80)	0	0	1	10	88	1,020
by frequency of driving [†] :												
Every day	1	2	4	14	79	9	2	1	4	25	68	3,860
At least three times a week	4	. 7	7	15	67	,	2	3	5	20	71	1,600
Once or twice a week	9	10	10	14	58	3	4	4	4	19	68	670
Less often	15	9	10	17	50)	6	3	5	20	66	250
Never, but holds full driving licence	20	18	9	11	42	2	4	4	5	18	69	440
by driving licence:												
Holds a full driving licence	4	5	6	14	71		2	2	4	23	69	6,820
Does NOT hold a full driving licence	10	10	11	14	38	2	3	4	4	17	73	2 960

* Sample size given is for train use as the bus use and train use numbers are comparable. ** Percentages based on a denominator of 50 respondents or fewer are not shown. *Only includes those with a full driving licence

52

	Strongly agree	Tend to agree	Total agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Tend to disagree	Strongly disagree	No opinion	Sample size (=100%)
						row pe	ercentages	
Buses run to timetable	27	48	75	7	10	6	2	3,770
Bus service is stable and not regularly changing	29	48	77	9	8	4	3	3,770
Buses are clean	30	51	81	9	6	4	1	3,770
Buses are environmentally friendly	17	40	56	22	9	4	9	3,770
Feel safe/secure on bus during the day	50	43	93	4	1	0	1	3,770
It is simple deciding what type of ticket I need	51	36	86	6	3	1	4	3,770
Finding out about routes and times is easy	42	42	84	8	5	2	2	3,770
Easy to change from buses to other forms of transport	32	39	70	13	5	2	10	3,770
Bus fares are good value	30	25	55	11	13	11	9	3,770
Feel safe/secure on bus during the evening	31	37	69	10	5	3	12	3,770

Table 29: [Users' views on local bus services] Adults (16+) who have used the bus in the previous month, views on their local bus services, 2019¹

1. This question will be asked in alternate years from 2019.

Table 30: [Users' views on local train services] Adults (16+) who have used the train in the previous month, views on their local train services, 2019¹

	Strongly agree	Tend to agree	Total agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Tend to disagree	Strongly disagree	No opinion	Sample size (=100%)
						row pe	ercentages	
Trains run to timetable	28	46	74	7	11	6	2	2,530
Train service is stable and not regularly changing	27	46	72	10	10	4	4	2,530
Trains are clean	28	55	83	8	7	1	1	2,530
Feel safe/secure on trains during the day	50	45	95	3	1	0	0	2,530
It is simple decide what type of ticket I need	44	43	87	5	4	2	1	2,530
Finding out about routes and times is easy	45	44	89	6	3	1	1	2,530
Easy to change from trains to other forms of transport	32	40	72	14	5	2	7	2,530
Train fares are good value	15	34	48	14	21	15	2	2,530
Feel safe/secure on trains during the evening	34	42	76	9	7	3	5	2,530

1. This question will be asked in alternate years from 2019.

 Table 31: [Concessionary fare pass] Possession of concessionary fare pass for all adults aged 16+, 2019¹

			How o	ften uses	free travel p	bass			
	Every day	Almost every day	2 or 3 times a week	Once a week	Once a fortnight	Once a month	Not used	No pass	Sample size (=100%)
							row pe	ercentages	
All adults aged 16+	0.8	2.1	5.7	3.1	2.4	3.2	11.8	71.0	9,780
16 - 39	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	98	2,710
40 - 49	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	97	1,380
50 - 59	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	95	1,680
60 - 64	2	6	16	9	9	10	28	20	830
65 - 69	3	5	18	10	9	11	34	9	860
70 - 74	2	6	17	8	7	11	42	7	850
75 - 79	2	6	19	11	5	9	41	8	650
80 +	2	7	14	9	5	8	46	9	820

1. This question is being asked in alternate years.

Table 32:	Concessionar	/ fare pass	Possession of concessionar	y fare i	pass for all adults a	ged 60+, 2019 ¹
-----------	--------------	-------------	----------------------------	----------	-----------------------	----------------------------

			How o	often uses	free travel p	ass			
	Every day	Almost every day	2 or 3 times a week	Once a week	Once a fortnight	Once a month	Not used	No pass	Sample size (=100%)
۵۱	23	60	16.7	9.2	7.3	10 1	37.3	11.3	4 010
by gender:	2.0	0.0	10.1	0.2	1.0	10.1	01.0	11.0	1,010
Men	2	5	16	9	7	q	38	13	1 730
Women	3	7	18	ğ	7	11	37	9	2 290
In another way	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	-
Refused	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	_
by current situation:									
Employed	3	6	10	9	8	10	36	18	610
Permanently retired	2	6	18	10	7	10	38	9	3 180
by ethnicity:	-	0					00	0	0,700
White Scottish	2	6	17	a	7	10	38	11	3 310
White other British	2	5	15	10	7	10	38	12	580
White Polish	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	10
Other white	5	4	22	8	4	10	27	20	70
Asian Asian Scottish or Asian British	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	30
Other ethnic group	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	10
by annual net household income:									10
up to £10,000 p a	1	8	24	7	5	7	31	13	550
ap (0.210,000 p.a.)	3	7	24	, 0	7	, 8	34	10	850
over $£15,000 - £10,000$	2	7	13	10	7	13	30	8	760
aver f 20,000 = f 25,000	2	1	16	0	0	11	30	10	560
aver f 25,000 = f 30,000	1	4	10	13	5	7	13	10	380
over £30,000 - £30,000	י 2		1/	13	0	11	40	10	680
by Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation quintiles:	2	5	14	0	5		40	15	000
1 Most Doprived	1	11	23	٥	6	7	30	11	630
	7	6	20	5	7	, 0	37	11	800
2	1	3	12	, 0	7	12	12	14	940
3	י 2	1	12	9	8	12	42	14	870
4 5 Loast Deprived	2		12	12	8	11	40	0	780
by urban/rural classification:	2	0	10	12	0			5	700
	1	10	20	12	0	0	10	0	1.050
Other urban	4	12	20	12	0	11	19	9	1,000
	2	1	14	7	0	10	40	9 14	290
Small remote towns	2	1	15	5	5	10	42	14	270
	1	2	0	7	5	14	19	10	270
Remete rural	1	2	9	6	7	12	40	10	400 520
	'	0	5	0	1	3		10	520
by frequency of ariving:	1	1	0	0	7	10	47	15	1 160
Every day	1	1	15	0	10	12	47	15	1,160
At least once a week	1	4	10	10	10	13	30	9	1,190
Less onen	3	12	23	10	1	c	30	11	330
by whether they hold a full driving licence			40	40	•	40	40	40	0.000
Holds a full driving licence	1	4	13	10	8	12	40	12	2,660
Does NOT note a full driving licence	5	11	24	8	5	6	31	10	1,350
by whether has a long term physical / mental health o	condition / II	iness	10	•	-		10	10	
No	2	5	16	8	/	9	42	12	2,090
Yes	3	- 1	17	10	8	11	33	11	1,910
IT yes, does it impact on ability to carry out day to o	ay activitie	s		-		_		10	000
	1	2	11	7	4	7	52	16	960
	2	/	20	10	8	10	34	9	110
INOT AT All	4	8	20	6	10	12	33	8	360

1. This question is being asked in alternate years.

[†]Only includes those with a full driving licence

Table 33: Access to services that respondents thought were very or fairly convenient: 2019

											Sample
	Post	Doctors	Small food	Cash			Hospital	Petrol	Public		size
	office	surgery	shopping	machine	Banking	Chemist	outpatients	station	transport	Dentist	(=100%)
All	83.6	83.6	93.6	89.1	71.8	89.4	60.3	76.7	82.4	77.0	9.640
by gender:											-,
Men	84	84	94	89	72	90	60	79	82	77	4.400
Women	83	84	93	89	72	89	61	75	83	78	5,240
by age:											,
16 - 39	86	82	95	92	75	91	60	76	86	77	2,720
40 - 49	84	84	93	89	72	89	64	81	80	79	1,510
50 - 59	82	84	94	90	72	90	62	82	80	79	1,620
60 +	81	85	92	85	68	87	57	72	80	74	3,780
by ethnicity:											
White Scottish	83	84	94	89	72	90	61	78	83	79	7,770
White other British	85	82	92	88	67	87	57	77	79	74	1,190
White Polish	89	86	97	95	82	94	67	76	85	74	130
Other white	83	75	96	88	73	88	54	65	86	63	290
Asian, Asian Scottish or Asian British	87	85	94	92	80	93	62	68	88	73	150
Other ethnic group	82	78	98	88	72	89	62	70	80	63	130
by urban/rural classification:											
Large urban areas	84	84	95	92	72	92	60	75	92	79	2,880
Other urban	83	84	95	92	79	91	66	81	86	81	3,280
Small accessible towns	88	88	95	94	70	94	54	76	78	82	930
Small remote towns	92	87	93	91	83	93	71	87	81	83	550
Accessible rural	77	76	87	75	56	80	52	67	60	63	1,050
Remote rural	81	83	87	74	55	71	46	75	56	53	960
by annual net household income:											
up to £10,000 p.a.	84	82	94	88	75	88	54	63	85	73	1,150
over £10,000 - £15,000	85	82	93	87	72	88	54	64	86	73	1,620
over £15,000 - £20,000	84	85	95	90	71	88	59	71	84	76	1,420
over £20,000 - £25,000	83	85	93	87	70	88	59	76	80	76	1,170
over £25,000 - £30,000	84	83	94	91	72	91	62	81	82	80	900
over £30,000 - £40,000	83	83	93	89	71	89	63	84	81	79	1,280
over £40,000 p.a.	84	85	93	90	72	92	66	87	80	81	1,760
by licence possession:											
Holds a full driving licence	84	85	94	90	72	90	63	87	80	79	6,530
Does NOT hold a full driving licence	83	80	93	88	71	88	55	55	87	73	3,110
by number of cars available:											
none	82	79	93	87	72	88	53	46	89	71	2,730
one +	84	85	94	90	72	90	63	86	80	79	6,910

1. Question is being asked in alternate years from 2019.

Table 34: How adults normally travel to a doctors surgery

Following changes to the Scottish Household survey data for Table 34 is no longer collected - Please see TATIS 2011 for the most recently produced version of the table.

Table 35: How adults normally travel to a hospital outpatients department

Following changes to the Scottish Household survey data for Table 35 is no longer collected - Please see TATIS 2011 for the most recently produced version of the table.

Table 36: How adults normally travel to a dentist

Following changes to the Scottish Household survey data for Table 36 is no longer collected - Please see TATIS 2011 for the most recently produced version of the table.

Table 37a: Flights in the last	12 months for leisure,	holidays, visiting	friends or family,	2009-2019 ^{1,2}

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
									(Column per	centages
Yes	46.9	44.4	43.4	45.9	46.7	46.2		50.0		51.0	53.4
No	53.1	55.6	56.6	54.1	53.3	53.8		50.0		49.0	46.6
Sample size (=100%)	12 510	12 420	12 880	9 890	9 920	9 790		9 640		9 690	9 760

2. Question asked in alternate years from 2014 to 2018, and will be asked in alternate years from 2019

Table 37b: Frequency of flying for leisure by destination in last 12 months, for those who have flown for leisure, 2009-2019 ¹

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 ²	2017	2018	2019
All leisure flights										Column per	centages
1 or 2	49.8	50.9	50.6	49.4	50.2	49.6		43.7		43.9	44.7
3 or 4	25.1	23.8	24.3	24.9	23.6	24.2		26.1		24.5	24.1
5 or 6	11.4	10.8	10.4	11.5	12.2	11.0		12.6		11.9	13.8
7 or 8	6.1	5.6	5.6	6.2	5.9	5.9		7.7		7.2	6.5
9 to 12	4.4	5.0	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.3		5.8		6.8	6.8
13 to 20	2.3	2.8	3.1	2.0	2.2	2.9		3.1		4.0	2.7
More than 20	0.9	1.2	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1		1.1		1.7	1.5
Lower decile	2	2	2	2	2	2		2		2	2
Lower quartile	2	2	2	2	2	2		2		2	2
Median	3	2	2	3	2	3		4		4	4
Upper quartile	5	5	5	6	6	6		6		6	6
Upper decile	8	8	8	8	8	8		8		10	10
Mean*	4.2	20.8	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.4		4.7		5.0	4.8
Of which:											
Flights within Scotland											
0	93	94	96	94	94	95		95		93	96
1 or 2	5	5	3	4	4	3		3		4	3
3 or 4	1	1	1	1	1	1		1		1	1
5 to 8	1	0	0	0	1	0		1		1	1
More than 8	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		1	1
Flights to rest of UK											
0	67	67	69	70	70	72		68		71	72
1 or 2	22	22	20	20	19	18		21		18	18
3 or 4	6	6	5	6	6	6		6		5	5
5 to 8	3	4	4	3	4	4		4		3	2
More than 8	1	2	2	1	2	1		2		2	2
Flights to other European	Countries										
0	27	30	28	26	26	24		23		21	21
1 or 2	50	48	49	49	49	49		46		46	46
3 or 4	15	14	15	17	16	16		19		19	18
5 to 8	7	6	6	7	8	8		10		11	11
More than 8	1	2	2	1	2	2		2		3	3
Flights to countries outside	e Europe										
o	. 68	64	66	67	70	69		69		69	68
1 or 2	25	29	27	27	24	24		24		23	24
3 or 4	4	5	5	4	4	4		5		6	5
5 to 8	2	2	2	2	2	2		2		2	2
More than 8	0	0	1	1	0	0		0		1	1
Sample size (=100%) ³	5,310	4,180	5,100	4,250	4,380	4,280		4,450		4,560	4,780

Ouestion asked in alternate years from 2014-2018.
 There were errors in initially published figures for 2016. They have now been corrected.
 Sample size is those who answered yes to previous question asking whether respondent had flown for leisure, holiidays and visiting friends or family in the last 12 months.

* Note mean value can be dragged up by a handful of respondents reporting making a large number of flights eg in 2010. The median is a generally considered a better measure of the average.

Table 38a: Elights in the last 12 months for work or business purposes	2000 - 2010 ^{1,2}
Table 30a. Flights in the last 12 months for work of business purposes,	2009-2019

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
N		7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0		7.0		Column per	centages
Yes	01.0	7.0	7.9	7.8	8.0	7.9		7.9		8.3	01.0
Sample size (-100%)	12 540	12 440	12 890	92.2	92.0	92.1		9640		9 690	91.9
 This table has been modified from those public 	shed up to 2017 to	now exclude the	small number of pe	ople who respond	ed 'don't know' or i	refused to answe	r. Percentages	now add up to		0,000	5,700
2. Question asked in alternate years from 2014 t	to 2018, and will be	e asked in alternat	e years from 2019				-				
Table 38b: Frequency of flying for bu	usiness by des	stination in las	t 12 months, fo	or those who h	ave flown for	business, 20	09-2019 ^{1,2,3}	3			
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 4	2017	2018	2019
All business flights										Column per	centages
1 or 2	33.8	31.0	28.7	31.5	27.8	31.4		34.8		31.7	29.9
3 or 4	15.9	15.6	18.1	14.0	17.2	15.2		16.8		17.7	18.5
5 0F 0 7 or 9	9.4	9.7	0.7	10.2	9.1	10.1		0.0		0.7	0.0
7 01 o 0 to 12	10.3	0.3	0.7	0.0 8.6	0.U 8 Q	5.9		0.4		10.8	9.1
13 to 20	7.3	9.6	9.4	9.5	8.4	8.5		7.0		7.6	8.9
More than 20	16.3	19.6	19.7	20.4	20.6	18.9		14.9		16.9	15.9
hidro aldi 20	10.0	10.0	10.1	20.1	20.0	10.0		1110		Flights pe	er person
Lower decile	2	2	2	2	2	2		2		2	2
Lower quartile	2	2	2	2	2	2		2		2	2
Median	5	6	6	6	6	6		4		6	6
Upper quartile	12	16	16	18	16	14		12		12	12
Upper decile	30	40	40	40	40	34		30		30	28
Mean	14.4	23.3	10.5	16.0	14.3	14.1		12.3		12.8	12.7
Of which:											
Flights within Scotland											
0	83	86	84	86	85	87		89		87	87
1 or 2	8	5	6	4	5	5		5		4	4
3 or 4	2	1	3	2	3	2		2		2	2
5 0 1 6	2	2	1	1	1	1		1		1	1
7 OF 8	1	1	2	1	1	1		0		1	1
13 to 20	1	1	1	2	1	1		1		1	1
More than 20	1	2	3	3	3	4		1		2	2
Flights to rest of UK		2	0	0	0	-				2	-
0	24	26	26	27	28	28		26		32	31
1 or 2	31	29	25	25	26	25		30		25	26
3 or 4	11	10	14	12	11	12		13		12	13
5 or 6	9	8	8	8	7	8		7		7	5
7 or 8	5	4	4	5	5	4		4		5	6
9 to 12	7	7	9	9	7	8		8		7	6
13 to 20	5	5	5	5	5	5		2		4	5
Nore than 20	8	11	9	10	12	10		10		9	8
A countries	66	64	65	68	64	67		64		63	65
1 or 2	17	18	14	12	16	13		15		17	17
3 or 4	5	6	6	6	8	7		9		7	7
5 or 6	4	3	3	3	2	4		3		4	3
7 or 8	1	1	2	2	3	3		2		2	2
9 to 12	4	4	3	4	2	3		3		3	3
13 to 20	2	2	2	2	2	1		2		2	3
More than 20	2	2	4	3	3	2		2		2	2
Flights to countries outside Europe											
0	78	77	79	76	81	77		81		80	80
1 or 2	11	10	10	12	9	11		9			9
3 or 4	4	3	3	4	3	4		3		4	5
5010 Zor 9	2	2	2	2	2	1		2		2	2
9 to 12	3	2	2	2	2	2		2		2	1
13 to 20	1	3	1	1	1	2		1		2	1
More than 20	1	2	1	2	1	1		1		0	1
Sample size (=100%)	980	690	930	740	740	710		680		720	700
1. Sample size is those who answered yes to pre-	evious question as	king whether resp	ondent had flown fo	or work or business	s purposes in the l	ast 12 months.					-
2. Question asked in alternate years from 2014 t	o 2018, and will be	asked in alternat	e years from 2019								
There are some very slight changes to figures	published up to 20	017 as those who	replied 'don't know	or refused to answ	wer have now bee	n excluded.					
4. There were errors in initially published figures	for 2016. They hav	e now been corre	cted.		_						
Note mean value can be dragged up by a hand	tul of respondents	reporting making	a large number of	llights eg in 2010.	The median is ger	nerally cosidered	a better measu	ure of the average	ge.		

Table 39: Reason for choosing flying within the UK over other forms of transport, 2009-2019 ^{1,2}

•											
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Quicker	80	83	83	83	83	85		84		79	79
Cheaper	28	28	25	28	23	22		24		30	32
Easy/convenient	4	2	1	2	2	1		1		4	4
Employer/someone else organised	3	1	1	1	2	1		1		2	2
Connecting flight/part of holiday	2	2	2	2	3	2		1		3	3
No alternative	2	1	2	1	1	1		1		1	2
Sample size (=100%)	1,590	1,510	1,150	2,010	2,050	1,920		2,030		2,080	1,980

Percentages will sum to more than 100% as multiple answers can be given.
 Question was not asked in 2015 and 2017

Table 40a: Frequency of use of ferry services: 2012-2013

Following changes to the Scottish Household survey data for Table 40a is no longer collected - Please see TATIS 2014 for the most recently produced version of the table.

Table 40b: Purpose of ferry use

Following changes to the Scottish Household survey data for Table 40b is no longer collected - Please see TATIS 2014 for the most recently produced version of the table.

Table 40c: Reason for choosing to travel by ferry

Following changes to the Scottish Household survey data for Table 40c is no longer collected - Please see TATIS 2014 for the most recently produced version of the table.

Tahlo 11. In general	What discourage	vou from using	n husas mora	often than y	20h uov	2012_2018
Table TI. III general,	what ulscoulages	you nom using	y buses more	Untern unan	you uo:,	2012-2010

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Nothing discourages	14.3	14.2	16.3		17.6		12.7	
Takes too long	16.5	13.2	15.6		19.0		9.7	
Inconvenient	10.8	9.1	7.5		9.9		10.1	
No direct route	12.4	10.6	10.1		11.5		6.9	
Use my own car	23.8	20.6	18.9		19.3		26.3	
Need a car for / at work	6.2	6.7	4.6		5.4		3.1	
Cost	9.4	9.2	8.2		7.6		6.7	
Work unsocial / unusual hours	2.1	2.4	1.6		1.8		1.2	
Public transport unreliable	2.9	3.6	2.6		2.9		3.9	
Lack of service	11.3	11.6	10.1		9.7		7.7	
Too infrequent	5.2	4.4	4.5		4.6		5.6	
Health reasons	9.4	8.7	8.1		7.9		6.8	
Difficult access,on-off steps	1.3	1.6	1.1		1.5		1.1	
Too much to carry,awkward	3.2	2.8	2.1		2.2		1.6	
Uncomfortable	1.7	1.6	1.4		1.4		1.2	
No need	16.0	19.0	20.2		19.9		19.8	
Prefer to walk/cycle	4.1	5.0	3.9		4.0		4.4	
Dislike waiting about	2.6	2.4	1.7		2.5		1.8	
Long walk to bus stop	3.3	2.7	2.3		3.2		2.4	
Lives centrally, within walking distance	2.5	2.6	2.7		1.4		3.3	
Sample size (=100%)	7,900	7,700	7,760		7,700		7,560	

1. Question asked in alternate years from 2014. Question not asked in 2019.

Table 42: In general, What discourages you from using the train more often than you do? (2012-2014, 2016, 2019) $^{\rm 12}$

2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 °	2017	2018	2019
57	56	56		54			37
4	5	6		8			13
1	1	1		1			1
3	3	1		2			4
2	2	2		2			2
6	3	2		3			5
0	1	1		1			1
17	17	12		11			15
0	0	0		0			0
1	1	1		1			6
2	1	1		2			2
1	0	1		1			1
0	1	0		1			1
0	1	0		0			1
0	0	0		0			0
0	0	0		0			1
8	10	17		15			20
0	0	0		0			0
0	0	0		0			1
0	0	0		0			0
0	0	1		1			1
0	0	0		0			0
0	0	0		0			0
0	0	0		0			0
1	1	1		2			3
0	1	0		0			0
0	0	0		0			0
3	2	2		3			4
2,060	2,110	2,300		2,210			2,150
	2012 57 4 1 3 2 6 0 0 17 1 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

This question is now also asked of people who did not use a train at all in the previous month; results for these
respondents are provided in Table 42a. This table continues the series on the same basis as previous years, excluding
2. Question was not asked in 2015, 2017 and 2018. It is now being asked in alternate years from 2019.
3. Some of the initially published figures for 2016 were incorrect. They have been corrected here.

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Nothing	51	60	57		54			55
Takes too long	4	4	5		6			4
Health reasons / unable to walk far	16	16	15		16			16
Weather	20	11	15		18			13
Not safe	1	1	1		1			1
Lack of walking paths	1	1	0		1			1
Poor quality paths	0	1	1		1			1
Inconvenient	0	1	0		1			1
Too much to carry/awkward	1	0	0		1			0
Travelling with others	0	0	0		0			0
No need	3	2	2		2			4
Live too far away	0	1	0		1			0
Prefer to use other modes - car/bus/train	1	1	0		0			1
Given lifts	0	0	0		0			0
Laziness	4	5	4		4			4
Other	4	3	3		4			5
Sample size (=100%)	9,890	9,920	9,800		9,640			9,780

1. Question was not asked in 2015, 2017 and 2018. It is now being asked in alternate years from 2019.

Table 42a: In general, What discourages you from using the train? (only those who did not take the train at all in the past month) (2014, 2016, 2019) ¹

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Nothing	39		34			22
No nearby station	16		19			24
Takes too long	1		1			1
Inconvenient	2		3			2
No direct route	3		3			3
Use my own car	4		4			7
Need a car for/at work	1		1			1
Cost	10		9			9
Work unsocial/unusual hours	0		0			0
Unreliable	0		0			1
Lack of service	2		2			1
Too infrequent	0		0			0
Health reasons	5		5			5
Difficult to access	0		1			1
Too much to carry/awkward	0		0			0
Uncomfortable	0		0			0
No need	23		24			34
Prefer to walk	0		0			0
Dislike waiting	0		0			0
Live centrally/within walking distance	0		0			0
Use other things - bus/underground/taxi	1		1			1
Smoking policy	0		0			0
Dirty/filthy	0		0			0
Given lifts	0		0			0
Too crowded	0		0			1
Not safe	0		0			0
Laziness	0		0			0
Other	2		3			2
Sample size (=100%)	7,160		7,080			7,240

2. The initially published figure for 'other' for 2016 was incorrect. It has been corrected here.

Table 44: Journey purpose for train journeys: 2012-2019¹

							aa. (a 2	
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 -	2019
Travel:								
To place of work	14	11	11	12	12	14	15	14
In the course of work	10	12	12	9	10	8	11	11
For education	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	3
For shopping	33	34	33	39	35	37	33	31
To hospital, doctor or other health service	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	2
To visit friends or relatives	26	25	25	26	25	27	26	28
For holiday / day trip	13	13	13	16	16	16	14	16
For other recreational activity	18	20	20	21	20	22	23	27
Sample size (=100%)	2,440	2,480	2,640	2,500	2,560	2,610	2,540	2,530

¹ This question is asked of anyone who has used the train in the last month. Not asked of those who used the bus but not the train.

² There were slight errors in previously published 2018 figures. These have now been amended.

Table 45: Difficulties experienced when changing between Public Transport: 2012, 2014, 2016, 2019^{1,2}

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
None	86		87		84			83
Not enough time to change modes	3		3		4			3
Long wait between journeys	6		6		6			6
Lack of information about connecting modes	2		3		3			4
Lack of signposting to connecting modes	1		1		1			1
Unable to use one ticket/ travel pass for all journeys/ modes	1		1		1			2
Different prices for different operators	0		1		1			1
Stops/stations not close enough to each other	2		2		2			2
Accessibility between stops/stations	1		1		2			2
Other	3		2		3			3
Sample Size (=100%)	3,850		3,940		3,860			3,680

¹ This question is asked of those who use public transport at least once a month.

 2 Question asked in alternate years to 2016. It was not asked in 2017 and 2018. It is being asked in alternate years from 2019.

Table 46: Awareness of sustainable tra	ansport policies, 2019
--	------------------------

	Aware of -	Aware of -			
	car clubs or	fuel efficient	Aware of -	Aware of -	
	formal cor	driver	oloctric	ovele hire	Sampla aiza
	formal car	training	vehicles?	cycle fille	Sample Size
	snaring	training	venicies ?	schemes :	(=100%)
All neonle:	24.2	13.1	69 1	36 7	9 780
hy gender:	27.2	10.1	00.1	00.1	0,700
Male	20	17	73	40	1 260
Fomalo	29	17	75	40	4 ,300 5 410
remale	20	9	05	J4 **	5,410
Defined in another way	**	**	++	**	-
Refused					-
by age:					
16-19	10	9	58	30	200
20-29	18	11	66	38	1,020
30-39	26	13	71	42	1,490
40-49	32	17	76	43	1,380
50-59	32	18	78	43	1.680
60-69	26	12	71	35	1 690
70-79	17	. <u>-</u> 0	62	24	1,000
90±	10	3	02	24	1,010
	10	1	44	14	820
by ethnicity:		10			
White Scottish	23	13	69	36	7,640
White other British	36	18	79	49	1,280
White Polish	11	8	49	18	150
Other white	21	6	65	32	400
Asian. Asian Scottish or Asian British	9	8	49	27	190
Other	16	3	49	26	130
by current situation:	10	Ŭ	-10	20	100
Self employed	34	20	80	46	620
	34	20	00 70	40	2 2 4 0
Employed full time	30	17	78	45	3,210
Employed part time	25	12	72	39	1,050
Looking after the home/family	17	9	58	29	360
Permanently retired from work	20	10	63	26	3,280
Unemployed/seeking work	14	6	49	27	290
In further/higher education	14	10	65	39	280
Permanently sick or disabled	9	5	41	21	500
by annual net household income:	-	-			
μ in to f10 000 n a	15	8	55	28	000
ap to 210,000 p.a.	10	0	55	20	1 410
over £10,000 - £15,000	14	0	54	20	1,410
over £15,000 - £20,000	18	10	60	28	1,470
over £20,000 - £25,000	20	11	67	33	1,190
over £25,000 - £30,000	24	13	67	34	910
over £30,000 - £40,000	28	16	76	42	1,380
over £40,000 - £50,000	32	18	80	46	910
over £50,000 p.a.	37	18	85	51	1.210
by Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation	auintiles:				,
1 (20% most deprived)	14	9	52	30	1 820
2	10	13	62	30	1,020
2	19	13	02	32	1,990
3	22	13	70	30	2,100
4	28	14	79	40	2,060
5 (20% least deprived)	37	16	81	46	1,800
by urban/rural classification:					
Large urban areas	25	9	65	41	2,920
Other urban	22	15	68	35	3,350
Small accessible towns	25	17	71	36	870
Small remote towns	19	11	73	24	570
	31	18	78	30	1 050
Remote rural	31	10	70	39	1,000
	22	12	11	21	1,020
by frequency of driving ':					
Every day	31	18	82	45	3,860
At least three times a week	29	14	80	38	1,600
Once or twice a week	31	14	78	41	710
Less often	30	17	75	45	270
Never, but holds full driving licence	18	9	57	37	700

[†]Only includes those with a full driving licence

** values based upon a sample size less than 50 have been suppressed

Table 47: Untake of sustainable trans	nort nolicies (of those who were	aware of the policy):	$2018 \text{ or } 2010^{1}$
Table 41. Optake of Sustainable frame	port policies (of those who were a	aware of the policy).	2010 01 2019

	Member of a car					
	club or formal	Sample	Attended a fuel	Sample	Used a cycle hire	Sample
	scheme	(=100%)	training course	(=100%)	last 12 months	size (=100%)
					cell percentages	
All people:	3.4	1,970	10.1	910	4.2	3,270
by gender ² :						
Male	4	1,070	12	560	5	1,570
Female	2	900	7	350	3	1,700
In another way	**	-	**	-	**	-
Refused	**	-	**	-	**	-
by age:						
16-19	**	10	**	10	7	60
20-29	4	140	2	70	8	360
30-39	3	350	7	150	6	600
40-49	5	370	13	150	3	580
50-59	4	420	11	200	2	660
60-69	1	380	19	160	1	570
70-79	2	240	3	130	2	330
80+	1	60	1	50	1	110
by ethnicity:						
White Scottish	3	1,480	10	790	4	2,470
White other British	4	360	8	160	3	560
White Polish	**	10	**	10	**	30
Other white	7	90	**	40	6	130
Asian, Asian Scottish or Asian British	**	20	**	-	**	50
Other	**	10	**	10	**	40
by current situation:						
Self employed	3	170	8	90	5	-
Employed full time	4	900	12	450	4	-
Employed part time	3	210	3	100	3	-
Looking after the home/family	**	50	**	20	2	-
Permanently retired from work	2	540	8	275	2	-
Unemployed/seeking work	**	30	**	20	8	-
In further/higher education	**	40	**	20	9	100
Permanently sick or disabled	**	20	**	10	2	100
by annual net household income:						
up to £15,000 p.a.	1	250	8	140	7	530
over £15,000 - £20,000	1	220	5	150	3	390
over £20,000 - £25,000	3	210	12	120	2	370
over £25,000 - £30,000	2	200	6	100	4	310
over £30,000 - £40,000	1	350	16	180	3	560
over £4,000 - £5,000	4	290	16	130	4	410
over £50,000 p.a.	6	400	7	170	5	620
by Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation	quintiles:					
1 (20% most deprived)	3	170	17	110	7	490
2'	3	300	14	180	4	570
3'	4	430	5	230	3	640
4'	3	530	7	260	4	750
5 (20% least deprived)	3	560	12	230	4	810
by urban/rural classification:						
Large urban areas	4	640	9	240	6	1,150
Other urban	4	600	11	350	4	1,090
Small accessible towns	2	210	11	110	2	300
Small remote towns	5	80	**	50	6	120
Accessible rural	1	280	11	170	3	390
Remote rural	3	170	5	90	2	230
by frequency of driving ^T :						
Every day	3	1,120	11	610	3	1,670
At least three times a week	3	440	14	200	5	560
Once or twice a week	4	190	5	90	4	250
Less often	9	90	3	30	6	110
Never, but holds full driving licence	5	120	1	70	6	240

1. Car club and fuel efficiency figures are for 2018. Cycle hire figures are for 2019. The question is asked in alternate years for each category

2. The gender category was changed in 2018 to include the options 'In another way' and 'Refused' ** value supressed as sample contains fewer than 50 responses

Table 48: Annual car mileage (those who own a car which they use for transport) Following changes to the Scottish Household survey data for Table 48 is no longer collected. Please see TATIS 2015 for the most recently produced

Table 49: [Sustainable travel] Would you consider buying a plug-in electric car or van? (2016-2019)¹

	2016	2017	2018	2019
I already own an electric car or van	0.3	0.7	1.8	1.6
I am thinking about buying an electric car or van quite soon	1.0	2.1	2.4	2.4
I would consider buying an electric car or van in the future	35.9	41.0	44.3	47.6
I would not consider buying an electric car or van	48.7	42.8	38.3	36.6
I don't drive/don't need a car	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0
No opinion	11.0	10.3	10.1	8.7
Sample Size (=100%)	4,440	5,200	5,310	5,560

¹ This question is asked of those with either a full or a provisional driving licence.

Table 50: [Sustainable travel] Reasons for having bought or would consider buying a plug-in electric car or van (2016-2019)¹

	2016	2017	2018	2019
Cost of vehicle purchase	23	20	23	22
Fuel or running costs	64	63	58	51
Battery: distance travelled on charge	19	21	23	20
Availability or convienience of recharging	22	23	23	21
Vehicle resale value	5	6	6	5
Vehicle performance, size, practicallity or looks	13	15	13	12
Availability of different models	5	5	5	4
Environmentally friendly	68	70	68	70
Reliability	16	14	12	12
Opinion of friends and family	4	4	4	4
Don't know	1	1	1	1
Other	2	3	3	3
Sample Size (=100%)	1,550	2,190	2,460	2,690
1				

^{1.} This question is asked of those in table 49 who own an electric car or van, are thinking of buying one or

would consider one in the future.

Table 51: [Sustainable travel] Reasons for not considering to buy a plug-in electric car or van (2016-2019)¹

	2010	2017	2010	2019
Limited choice (not many vehicles to choose from)	16	17	14	11
Lack of knowledge about electric vehicles	27	25	20	15
Running costs (maintenance and fuel)	6	8	8	7
Cost of vehicle purchase	26	31	33	36
Battery: distance travelled on charge	46	45	46	46
Availability or convenience of charging points	45	44	41	40
Vehicle resale value	6	6	4	4
Vehicle performance, size, practicality or looks	15	15	12	9
Technology - doesn't work or not proven	10	12	14	15
Opinions of friends or family	2	3	2	1
No intention to buy a car of any kind	8	12	12	12
Other	5	4	5	7
Don't know	1	1	1	1
Sample Size (=100%)	2,270	2,320	2,190	2,230

^{1.} This question is asked of those in table 49 who would not consider buying an electric car or van.

Table TD1: [Travel on previous day] Percentage of adults travelling on previous day 2009-2019

												2019
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	sample
										cell p	ercentages	
All	76.6	73.9	73.2	73.4	75.6	76.9	76.6	75.0	73.4	72.7	73.6	9,780
Gender												
Male	78	76	75	74	77	79	78	76	75	74	74	4,360
Female	75	72	71	72	74	75	75	74	72	72	73	5,410
Indentified in another way										**	**	**
Refused										**	**	**
Age												
16 - 19	75	76	76	78	76	80	82	79	76	69	75	200
20 - 29	80	78	74	76	80	80	79	77	77	76	75	1,020
30 - 39	81	80	77	77	78	80	80	78	77	78	78	1,490
40 - 49	79	80	79	78	80	82	82	78	78	76	78	1,380
50 - 59	80	75	76	75	80	79	76	77	75	74	76	1,680
60 - 69	77	71	70	72	74	76	75	75	72	72	72	1,690
70 - 79	65	63	64	64	64	68	68	65	67	65	67	1.510
80 and over	51	39	49	40	47	46	51	51	46	51	50	820
Sample size	9.300	8.590	9.240	9.890	9.920	9.800	9.410	9.640	9.810	9.700	9.780	

** Figures for gender categories 'Identified in another way' and 'Refused' were not collected before 2018. In 2018, as the sample size was less than 5, they have been suppressed.

1. 2000 to 2008 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to J.

Table TD2: [Main mode] Percentage of journeys made by main mode¹ of travel 2008-2019²

	2009	2010	2011	2012 ³	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
			i							column	percentages
Walking	21.8	22.0	22.1	26.0	23.3	25.0	21.6	23.5	21.3	19.8	22.1
Driver car/van	51.0	51.1	49.9	48.3	50.0	48.1	50.7	50.7	52.1	52.9	52.9
Passenger car/van	13.3	14.3	13.1	12.7	13.6	13.0	13.3	13.1	12.5	12.8	12.3
Bicycle	0.9	0.8	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.2
Bus	8.6	8.7	9.1	8.1	8.5	8.6	9.5	7.7	8.2	8.0	7.0
Taxi/minicab	1.4	0.8	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.2	1.3	0.9	1.3	1.4	1.2
Rail	1.9	1.4	2.0	1.8	1.7	2.1	1.7	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.3
Other	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.7	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.5	1.0	1.0
Sample size (=100%)	18,680	16,300	17,590	19,740	20,180	19,930	18,710	19,050	18,320	17,790	18,450

 Sample size (=100%)
 18,680
 16,300
 17,590
 19,740
 20,180
 19,930
 18,710
 19,050
 18,320
 17

 1. Where a journey involves more than one mode of transport (e.g. a bus, then a train), the main mode is defined as the one used for the longest (in distance) stage.
 2. 1999 to 2000 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to J.
 3. The questionnaire was changed in 2012 and as a result more walking journeys are recorded so there is a break in the time series between 2011 and 2012.

Table TD2a: [Main mode by distance] Percentage of journeys by main mode by road network distance 2019

	Walking	Driver car/van	Passenger car/van	Bicycle	Bus	Taxi/ minicab	Rail	Other	Sample size			
							row	percentages				
All	22.1	52.9	12.3	1.2	7.0	1.2	2.3	1.0	18,450			
by distance:												
Under 1 km	68	24	4	1	1	1	0	1	3,450			
1 to under 2km	42	40	10	2	4	1	0	0	2,740			
2 to under 3km	26	49	12	2	9	2	0	0	1,810			
3 to under 5km	10	59	14	2	10	2	1	1	2,240			
5 to under 10km	3	62	17	1	12	1	2	1	2,800			
10 to under 15km	2	70	15	0	8	2	3	0	1,570			
15 to 20km	1	71	12	0	9	1	5	1	940			
20 to 40km	1	69	13	1	8	0	7	0	1,660			
40km and over	1	68	17	0	5	1	7	1	1,080			

1. Straight line distance tables are available in online annex A. More details on the differences between the straight line and road network distance can be found in TATIS Appendix A.

Table TD2b: [Stage mode] Percentage of stages¹ by mode of travel 2008-2018²

	2009	2010	2011	2012 ³	2013	2014	2015 ⁴	2016	2017	2018 ⁵	2019
Walking	21.6	21.7	21.8	26.7	24.1	25.9	22.8	24.8	22.8	21.0	23.5
Driver car/van	50.9	50.8	49.8	47.4	49.2	47.1	49.6	49.4	50.7	51.3	51.3
Passenger car/van	13.3	14.3	13.1	12.7	13.5	12.8	13.1	12.9	12.2	12.6	12.0
Bicycle	0.9	0.8	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.2
Bus	8.7	8.8	9.3	8.1	8.5	8.7	9.4	7.7	8.1	8.0	6.9
Taxi/minicab	1.4	1.0	1.4	1.3	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.0	1.4	1.5	1.4
Rail	2.1	1.5	2.1	1.8	1.7	2.1	1.7	2.2	2.7	2.6	2.3
Other	1.2	1.2	1.3	0.7	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.6	1.4	1.2
Sample size (=100%)	18,930	16,550	17,810	20,310	20,780	20,500	19,110	19,770	19,040	18,610	19,200

Sample size (= 100%)
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 10,500
 <li

5. 2018 values have been revised

Table TD3: [Purpose] Percentage of journeys made by purpose of travel 2012-2018¹

	2009	2010	2011	2012 ²	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Commuting	23.8	26.5	25.8	23.4	22.5	23.0	22.4	23.4	24.7	24.3	23.3
Business	1.2	0.9	0.7	1.9	2.5	2.3	2.2	1.9	1.9	2.7	2.4
Education	3.7	3.5	3.6	6.2	6.5	6.6	6.8	6.6	6.6	6.0	5.6
Shopping	23.1	23.3	21.1	23.1	23.1	22.6	23.8	23.4	23.3	23.0	23.6
Visit Hospital or other health	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.2
Other personal business	6.9	6.4	6.9	3.4	4.3	3.4	4.4	4.3	3.3	5.3	4.6
Visiting friends or relatives	11.2	10.8	11.9	11.3	12.1	10.6	11.3	10.9	10.0	10.1	10.3
Eating/Drinking	4.1	3.7	4.1	2.8	3.2	3.0	3.6	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2
Sport/Entertainment	7.9	6.8	7.6	5.3	5.4	5.5	6.1	5.9	6.2	6.3	6.0
Holiday/daytrip	2.3	1.9	1.8	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.2
Other Journey	0.5	0.4	0.3	4.8	3.0	4.9	1.5	2.4	3.1	1.1	0.9
Escort	6.7	7.3	7.5	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.9	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.2
Go Home	3.2	2.7	3.4	8.0	7.3	6.9	7.8	7.0	6.9	7.0	7.4
Go for a walk	2.9	3.2	3.0	5.9	5.7	6.3	4.8	6.1	5.1	5.2	7.0
Sample size (=100%)	18,680	16,300	17,590	19,740	20,180	19,930	18,710	19,050	18,330	17,790	18,450

1, 1999 to 2007 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to J.

2. Changes to the questionaire design led to a change in how journeys were recorded, including an increase in those recorded as 'go home'. This creates a discontinuity in the time series between 2011 and 2012.

Table TD2c:	[Multi stage	iournevs	l Percentage of	iournevs b	v number of stac	les 2015-2019 combined

	Nu	mber of	stages in		Sample size	Average (mean)	
-	1	2	3	4	5	(=100%)	number of stages
				row perce	entages		
All journeys 2015-2019	97.2	2.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	152,160	1.04
Survey year							
2007	99.2	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	20,500	1.01
2008	99.3	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	20,420	1.01
2009	99.0	0.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	18,650	1.01
2010	98.8	1.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	16,290	1.01
2011	98.7	1.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	17,590	1.01
2012 ¹	97.6	1.8	0.5	0.0	0.0	19,740	1.03
2013	97.7	1.7	0.5	0.0	0.0	20,180	1.03
2014	97.7	1.8	0.5	0.0	0.0	19,930	1.03
2015	98.2	1.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	18,710	1.02
2016	97.3	2.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	19,050	1.03
2017	97.0	2.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	18,320	1.04
2018	96.7	2.3	0.8	0.1	0.1	17,790	1.04
2019	96.8	2.2	0.9	0.0	0.0	18,450	1.04
Main mode of Transport 2015-2019							
Walking	99	1	0	0	0	20,760	1.01
Driver car/van	99	1	0	0	0	48,200	1.01
Passenger car/van	99	1	0	0	0	11,120	1.02
Motorcycle/moped	99	0	0	1	0	140	1.02
Bicycle	99	1	0	0	0	1,070	1.02
School Bus	94	3	3	0	0	110	1.10
Works Bus	89	11	0	0	0	180	1.11
Service Bus	91	7	2	0	0	7,260	1.11
Taxi/minicab	97	2	1	0	0	1,160	1.05
Rail	57	25	16	1	0	1,660	1.62
Underground	80	14	6	0	0	110	1.27
Ferry	43	25	24	9	0	70	1.99
Aeroplane	45	22	24	5	4	110	2.00
Other	82	13	3	1	2	360	1.28

1 The survey methodology used for the travel diary changed in 2012, which is likely to have led to an increase in the reporting of multi-stage journeys

Table TD4: [Distance] Percentage of journeys made by road distance distance¹ travelled, 2012-2019²

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
-					column pe	ercentages		
Under 1 km	24.2	16.3	17.3	18.8	19.3	18.1	15.6	17.0
1 to under 2km	13.7	15.1	14.8	13.0	13.8	13.5	14.0	14.2
2 to under 3km	8.8	9.7	9.7	9.9	9.7	9.4	10.6	9.8
3 to under 5km	12.4	13.5	13.2	13.1	12.4	13.5	12.9	13.2
5 to under 10km	14.6	16.4	16.6	16.8	15.8	16.4	16.6	15.9
10 to under 15km	8.4	9.4	8.7	8.5	8.2	8.1	9.0	8.9
15 to 20km	4.2	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.5	5.3	5.1
20 to 40km	8.4	8.8	9.5	9.2	10.1	9.9	9.9	9.7
40km and over	5.4	6.0	5.3	6.1	5.9	6.7	6.1	6.3
Sample size (-100%)	10 200	10 080	10 700	18 300	18 700	18 030	17 640	18 200

¹A version of this table using the straight line distance is included in Annex A of the web tables. More details on the differences between the straight line and road network distance can be found in TATIS Appendix A. 2. Distance figures for some years were revised in 2017 and 2018. More information is contained in the notes.

Table TD4a: [Distance by main mode] Percentage of journeys by road network distance ¹ by main mode, 2019

	Under 1 km	1 to under 2km	2 to under 3km	3 to under 5km	5 to under 10km	10 to under 15km	15 to 20km	20 to 40km	40km and over	Sample size (=100%)
								row	percentages	
All	17.0	14.2	9.8	13.2	15.9	8.9	5.1	9.7	6.3	18,290
by mainmode:										
Walking	52	27	11	6	2	1	0	1	0	4,230
Driver car	8	11	9	15	19	12	7	12	8	9,380
Driver van	11	6	6	16	14	10	8	17	12	290
Passenger car	6	11	10	16	23	11	5	10	9	2,030
Passenger van	13	14	5	11	21	7	8	14	7	70
Bicycle	16	21	17	23	15	2	2	4	1	220
Bus	2	8	12	19	27	10	7	11	4	1,360
Taxi/minicab	9	17	15	20	18	13	3	2	3	230
Rail	2	0	1	8	15	10	11	32	21	310
Other	21	8	6	20	24	4	5	3	q	170

A version of this table using the straight line distance is included in Annex A of the web tables. More details on the differences between the straight line and road network distance can be found in TATIS Appendix A. ** value suppressed as cell contains fewer than 5 responses

Table TD4b: [Distance to work by main mode] Percentage of journeys to work by road network distance by main mode, 2019

	Under 1 km	1 to under 2km	2 to under 3km	3 to under 5km	5 to under 10km	10 to under 15km	15 to 20km	20 to 40km	40km and over	Sample size (=100%)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · ·			row	percentages	
All	8.6	8.0	8.2	13.0	19.4	13.1	8.2	14.9	6.6	3,860
by mainmode:										
Walking	39	27	14	14	2	2	0	2	0	490
Driver car	4	5	7	11	22	16	10	18	8	2,320
Driver van	16	4	5	12	13	10	7	22	11	160
Passenger car	5	13	11	18	30	11	4	4	4	210
Passenger van	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	30
Bicycle	7	16	24	40	10	2	2	0	0	80
Bus	1	3	9	16	27	16	10	15	2	380
Taxi/minicab	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	20
Rail	1	1	0	2	17	12	15	32	20	150
Other	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	30

Table 4c: [Distance 2 miles by main mode] Percentage of journeys under 2 miles by road network distance by main mode, 2012-2019

		Main Mode of Transport Walking Driver car/van Passenger car/van Bicycle Bus Taxi/ minicab Rail Other 48.5 33.4 9.3 1.5 5.0 1.6 0.3 0.5 47.3 34.2 10.1 1.2 4.8 1.9 0.2 0.2 51.3 32.2 8.2 1.5 4.8 1.6 0.1 0.3 45.1 36.7 8.7 1.4 6.0 1.6 0.1 0.5 47.8 35.4 8.7 1.8 4.4 1.1 0.1 0.6								
	Walking	Driver car/van	Passenger car/van	Bicycle	Bus	Taxi/ minicab	Rail	Other	Sample size	
Survey year							row	percentages		
2012	48.5	33.4	9.3	1.5	5.0	1.6	0.3	0.5	9,870	
2013	47.3	34.2	10.1	1.2	4.8	1.9	0.2	0.2	9,220	
2014	51.3	32.2	8.2	1.5	4.8	1.6	0.1	0.3	9,130	
2015	45.1	36.7	8.7	1.4	6.0	1.6	0.1	0.5	8,370	
2016	47.8	35.4	8.7	1.8	4.4	1.1	0.1	0.6	8,910	
2017	45.3	36.5	9.5	2.0	4.8	1.4	0.1	0.4	8,100	
2018	43.0	38.3	9.3	2.0	5.1	1.3	0.2	0.7	7,830	
2019	47.6	36.4	8.2	1.6	4.0	1.2	0.2	0.7	8,310	

Table 4d: [Distance 5 miles by main mode] Percentage of journeys under 5 miles by road network distance by main mode, 2012-2019

				Main Mode of	Transport				
	Walking	Driver car/van	Passenger car/van	Bicycle	Bus	Taxi/ minicab	Rail	Other	Sample size
Survey year							row	percentages	
2012	36.7	40.1	11.0	1.5	8.1	1.5	0.6	0.5	13,560
2013	34.3	41.3	11.6	1.2	8.9	2.0	0.4	0.2	13,570
2014	36.6	39.9	11.0	1.8	8.5	1.5	0.4	0.4	13,400
2015	32.0	43.0	10.9	1.5	9.6	1.6	0.6	0.8	12,280
2016	34.7	42.5	11.1	1.6	7.7	1.2	0.6	0.7	12,740
2017	31.4	44.0	11.1	1.8	8.5	1.5	1.0	0.5	11,960
2018	30.3	44.5	11.2	1.8	8.7	1.6	0.9	0.9	11,530
2019	33.4	44.3	10.9	1.7	6.5	1.4	0.9	0.9	12,170

Table TD5: [Distance] Distance¹ (km) summary statistics 2012-2019²

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Lower Decile	0.2	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6
Lower Quartile	1.0	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.5
Median	3.4	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.5	4.3
Upper Quartile	10.7	11.7	11.8	11.9	12.1	12.3	12.6	12.4
Upper Decile	26.1	27.0	26.7	27.9	27.8	29.4	28.3	28.6
Mean	10.5	11.2	11.0	11.4	11.1	12.2	11.4	11.7
Sample size ³	19,290	19,980	19,700	18,300	18,790	18,030	17,640	18,290
By Gender:								
Men:								
Lower Decile	0.2	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6
Lower Quartile	1.0	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.6
Median	3.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.7
Upper Quartile	11.7	12.9	13.7	13.4	14.2	14.2	14.5	14.1
Upper Decile	29.0	29.5	29.9	32.2	31.1	34.5	33.4	32.5
Mean	11.6	12.2	12.3	12.9	12.6	13.6	12.9	13.0
Sample size	8,570	9,100	8,980	8,270	8,500	8,490	7,840	8,120
Women:								
Lower Decile	0.2	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6
Lower Quartile	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.4
Median	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.8	4.3	4.0
Upper Quartile	9.5	10.5	10.1	10.1	10.5	10.8	11.2	11.0
Upper Decile	22.7	25.1	23.4	24.9	25.0	25.4	24.5	25.8
Mean	9.4	10.2	9.8	10.0	9.7	10.8	10.1	10.4
Sample size	10,720	10,880	10,730	10,030	10,290	9,540	9,800	10,160
Identified in another way:								
Lower Decile							**	**
Lower Quartile							**	**
Median							**	**
Upper Quartile							**	**
Upper Decile							**	**
Mean							**	**
Sample size							10	10
Refused:								
Lower Decile							**	**
Lower Quartile							**	**
Median							**	**
Upper Quartile							**	**
Upper Decile							**	**
Mean							**	**
Sample size							10	-

Table TD5a: [Distance] Distance¹ (km) summary statistics by mode of transport, 2019

				Main Mode of	Transport				
	Walking	Driver car/van	Passenger car/van	Bicycle	Bus	Taxi/ minicab	Rail	Other	All modes
All people:									
Lower Decile	0.0	1.2	1.4	0.4	2.0	1.1	4.9	0.0	0.6
Lower Quartile	0.4	2.7	2.8	1.5	3.3	1.9	8.6	1.4	1.5
Median	1.0	6.8	6.4	2.7	6.2	4.1	20.8	4.7	4.3
Upper Quartile	1.8	16.8	14.6	4.8	13.2	7.8	34.5	8.2	12.4
Upper Decile	3.0	35.1	34.1	9.3	25.2	14.6	56.7	26.9	28.6
Mean	1.7	14.5	14.6	4.8	11.8	6.8	28.2	28.2	11.7
Sample size ²	4,230	170	220	1,360	230	310	9,670	2,110	18,290
By gender:									
Men:									
Lower Decile	0.0	1.4	1.3	0.4	2.4	1.3	3.9	0.0	0.6
Lower Quartile	0.5	2.9	3.4	1.5	3.9	1.8	6.6	2.1	1.6
Median	1.0	7.6	7.4	2.8	7.8	4.1	18.8	4.8	4.7
Upper Quartile	1.9	19.5	15.7	5.0	16.8	6.9	33.6	7.2	14.1
Upper Decile	3.1	40.6	34.3	11.5	26.0	14.3	58.5	16.0	32.5
Mean	1.7	16.6	14.9	5.4	13.0	6.3	29.3	17.3	13.0
Sample size	1,850	4,720	500	150	590	80	160	80	8,120
Women:									
Lower Decile	0.0	1.1	1.5	1.1	1.8	0.7	5.0	0.5	0.6
Lower Quartile	0.4	2.5	2.6	1.5	2.9	1.9	12.5	1.2	1.4
Median	0.9	5.9	5.8	2.5	5.5	4.1	24.5	4.3	4.0
Upper Quartile	1.7	14.7	14.3	4.3	9.7	10.3	36.4	14.6	11.0
Upper Decile	3.0	28.6	34.0	7.5	23.2	14.7	51.5	70.5	25.8
Mean	1.7	12.0	14.5	3.4	10.8	7.2	27.3	40.7	10.4
Sample size	2,380	4,960	1,610	70	760	150	150	90	10, 160
Identified in another way:									
Lower Decile									**
Lower Quartile									**
Median									**
Upper Quartile									**
Upper Decile									**
Mean									**
Sample size									10
Refused:									
Lower Decile									**
Lower Quartile									**
Median									**
Upper Quartile									**
Upper Decile									**
Mean									**
Sample size									_
									-

1. Distances are calculated using the road network distance. A version of this table using the straight line distance is included in Annex A of the web tables. More details on the differences between the straight line and road network distance can be found in TATIS Appendix A.
 2. In previous years, the sample size figure had wrongly included journeys for which there was no distance recorded and which are not included in the statistics. It has now been amended to only include the sample for which distances are recorded.
 ** Figures for the gender categories 'Identified in another way' and 'Refused' were not collected before 2018. Since 2018, sample sizes have been less than 50 and figures have been suppressed.

Table TD6: [Duration] Percentage of journeys made by duration of journey, 2009-2019¹

	2009	2010	2011	2012 ²	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
			l							column pe	rcentages
Less than 5 min	6.3	5.5	5.1	4.5	4.1	3.7	3.9	4.1	3.7	3.5	3.1
5 to 10 min	38.4	36.4	37.7	40.1	38.3	38.1	38.4	37.1	37.2	36.4	37.5
11 to 20 min	25.9	26.9	26.4	26.9	28.1	28.3	28.0	27.4	27.2	27.4	27.4
21 to 30 min	12.8	13.5	14.2	13.4	14.2	13.9	13.2	14.4	14.9	14.2	14.1
31 to 60 min	10.8	11.5	11.1	10.8	10.9	11.8	11.9	12.2	12.4	13.2	12.5
61 to 120 min	3.7	4.1	3.7	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.9
121 to 179 min	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.5
180 min and over	1.5	1.4	1.2	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.0
Sample size (=100%)	18,680	16,300	17,590	19,740	20,180	19,930	18,710	19,050	18,330	17,790	18,450

1. 1999 to 2008 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to K.
 2. The questionnaire was changed in 2012 and as a result more walking journeys are recorded so there is a break in the time series between 2011 and 2012.

Table TD6a: [Duration] Percentage of journeys to work by duration of journey, 2012-2019

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.5	0.4	0.7
Less than 5 min	2.0	2.3	2.9	2.0	3.3	2.5	Z.4	2.7
5 to 10 min	29.4	27.6	28.6	27.7	23.4	26.6	24.5	28.9
11 to 20 min	28.7	30.4	28.2	29.6	29.6	27.9	27.6	26.6
21 to 30 min	17.8	18.6	17.5	15.7	19.7	18.7	18.3	17.3
31 to 60 min	17.4	16.6	18.1	19.4	18.9	19.4	21.6	19.0
61 to 120 min	3.6	4.0	3.8	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.4	4.9
121 to 179 min	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.4
180 min and over	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.9	0.2
Sample size (=100%)	4,090	4,020	4,170	3,920	4,050	4,080	3,820	3,870

Table TD7: [Start time] Percentage of journeys made by start time of journey, 2009-2019¹

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weekdays										column pe	rcentages
Before 7am	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.7	3.9	3.7	4.2	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.0
7am to 9:30am	20.2	19.9	20.5	18.8	19.3	19.5	19.5	19.4	19.0	20.0	19.7
After 9:30am to before 12noon	13.6	13.3	12.7	13.1	12.6	13.2	13.1	13.1	12.9	12.7	12.7
12noon to 2 pm	15.2	15.5	14.6	15.2	15.1	14.8	15.5	14.5	14.8	15.3	15.9
After 2pm to before 4:30pm	15.9	15.8	16.5	17.9	17.4	17.1	17.7	17.3	18.0	17.4	17.7
4:30pm to before 6:30pm	15.4	15.8	16.3	16.6	16.5	16.3	15.4	16.3	16.9	16.8	15.9
6:30pm onwards	15.7	15.5	15.3	14.8	15.2	15.5	14.7	15.7	14.6	13.8	14.2
Sample size (=100%)	15,000	12,830	13,940	15,410	15,890	15,550	14,640	15,050	14,480	13,820	14,230
Weekends											
Before 9:30am	9.8	9.8	10.3	9.8	8.4	8.9	7.7	9.2	9.0	10.8	9.3
9:30am to before 12noon	19.4	20.4	19.1	18.5	18.5	20.4	19.4	19.9	19.1	18.6	20.6
12noon to 2 pm	23.2	22.7	23.9	23.6	24.7	25.1	24.9	24.2	24.5	22.8	23.8
After 2pm to before 4:30pm	16.9	18.2	18.1	18.4	19.0	18.9	18.5	19.6	17.2	18.8	18.6
4:30pm to before 6:30pm	14.9	14.2	13.5	14.1	13.6	13.3	14.1	13.4	14.6	13.7	14.2
6:30pm onwards	15.8	14.7	15.1	15.7	15.8	13.4	15.4	13.8	15.7	15.3	13.6
Sample size (=100%)	3,680	3,470	3,650	4,330	4,290	4,380	4,070	4,000	3,850	3,970	4,220

1. 1999 to 2008 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to K.

Table TD8: [Travel Day] Percentage of journeys made by day of travel, 2009-2019¹

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
										column pe	rcentages
Monday	14.0	13.9	14.9	14.6	14.0	14.1	14.6	14.2	14.8	14.3	13.9
Tuesday	14.5	14.9	15.2	15.7	15.3	14.7	14.4	15.0	15.4	14.2	15.1
Wednesday	14.9	14.8	14.6	15.5	15.1	15.1	14.8	15.3	15.6	14.9	14.2
Thursday	14.8	15.2	15.3	15.3	15.9	15.4	15.0	15.3	14.6	15.1	14.7
Friday	14.3	15.9	15.5	15.1	15.2	16.5	15.7	15.3	16.2	15.7	15.6
Saturday	13.9	13.2	12.8	12.5	12.6	12.7	13.9	12.9	12.2	13.7	13.3
Sunday	13.7	12.0	11.7	11.4	11.9	11.6	11.6	12.0	11.2	12.1	12.1
Sample size (=100%)	18,680	16,300	17,590	19,740	20,180	19,930	18,710	19,050	18,330	17,790	18,450

1. 1999 to 2008 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to K.

Table TD9: [Car Occupancy] Percentage of car stages¹ by car occupancy, 2009-2019^{2,3}

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
							CO	umn perce	entages		
One	60.5	61.5	63.4	64.0	65.3	64.5	64.7	66.4	65.6	65.9	65.0
Two	25.8	25.8	25.6	25.4	23.6	24.7	25.0	23.6	23.7	24.0	24.0
Three	8.3	8.1	6.8	6.9	7.1	6.9	6.7	6.2	7.0	6.1	7.0
Four	4.3	3.2	3.4	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.1	3.1
Five or More	1.1	1.3	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.8	0.9	1.0	0.8
Average occupancy	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Sample size (=100%)	9,660	8,330	8,880	9,830	10,200	9,820	9,320	9,410	9,620	9,110	9,580

1. A journey can consist of one or more stages. A new stage is defined when there is a change in the form of transport or when there is a change of vehicle requiring a separate ticket. 2. Based on drivers who responded to the question on car occupancy. Respondents asked for all car stages.

3. 1999 to 2008 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to K.

Table TD10: [Congestion] Percentage of car / van stages¹ delayed by traffic congestion, 2009-2019²

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 ³	2016	2017	2018	2019
Driver car/van	11.0	10.5	11.2	9.9	9.7	11.7	12.4	11.7	12.8	13.0	11.9
Sample size (=100%)	8,680	7,580	8,320	9,830	10,200	9,820	9,690	9,810	9,960	9,390	9,880
1 A journey can consist of one or more stages	A new stage is defi	ned when th	nere is a cha	nge in the fo	orm of transpo	ort or when th	nere is a cha	nge of vehic	le requiring	a senarate	ticket

2. 2003 to 2009 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to K.

3. Data published in 2015 erroneously included a value of 12.5 because of the exclusion of vans; this table contains the revised data.

Table TD10a: [Congestion - reason] Reason for congestion for car / van stages, 2012-2019¹

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Volume of traffic	73	80	82	76	79	81	78	79
Road Maintenance	26	18	19	28	29	30	25	22
Road accident	1	2	2	1	2	2	3	2
Broken down car	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
Traffic lights/signals not working	3	3	2	2	1	2	3	2
Lane blocked by parked cars	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Bad weather	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	1
Other	3	3	1	1	2	1	4	4
Don't know	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
Sample size (=100%)	810	780	930	1,020	930	1,070	1,040	1,000
1. Despendents can provide more than one recease as percentance will not odd up to 1000/								

1. Respondents can provide more than one reason so percentages will not add up to 100% ** Less than 1% and supressed as based on fewer than 5 responses

Table TD11: [Bus Delays] Percentage of bus stages¹ where passenger experienced delay, 2009-2019¹

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Service bus	9.9	12.3	10.5	11.1	10.2	10.7	9.9	10.0	12.5	10.5	12.5
Sample size (=100%)	1,460	1,310	1,440	1,540	1,690	1,630	1,690	1,480	1,480	1,510	1,350
1 2002 to 2000 requite see he viewed by unbiding on	umma Dia K										

1. 2003 to 2009 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to K.

Table TD12: [Congestion delays] Percentage of driver stages	' where congestion delays were experienced by amount
of time delayed, 2017-2019 (combined) ^{2,3}	

	Not delayed	0-2 minutes	about 5 mins	about 10 mins	about 15 mins	20 to 30 mins	over 30	Unknown time	Delayed⁴	Sample size (=100%)
All driver stages	87.4	0.6	4.4	3.5	1.5	2.0	0.5	0.2	12.6	29,230
by purpose of journey:										
Commuting	78	1	7	6	3	4	1	0	22	7.700
Business	83	0	5	5	2	3	1	0	17	1.010
Education	87	1	5	5	1	1	0	0	13	1,180
Shopping	93	1	3	2	0	1	0	0	7	6.820
Visit hospital/other health	90	1	4	2	1	1	0	0	10	730
Other personal business	92	1	3	2	1	1	0	0	8	1.450
Visiting friends/relatives	91	1	3	2	1	1	0	0	9	3,340
Eating/drinking	92	1	4	2	0	1	0	0	8	520
Entertainment	90	0	2	2	3	2	0	1	10	430
Sport	92	0	4	2	0	1	0	0	8	1,390
Holiday/day trip	90	0	2	3	2	2	1	1	10	360
Other	92	0	2	4	2	1	0	0	8	500
Escort	90	1	5	3	1	0	0	0	10	850
Go home	90	1	3	3	1	1	0	0	10	2,300
Just go for a walk	96	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	540
by day of the week:										
Monday	87	1	4	3	1	2	0	0	13	5,200
Tuesday	84	1	5	4	2	2	1	0	16	5,050
Wednesday	85	1	5	4	2	3	1	0	15	5,160
Thursday	86	1	5	4	2	2	1	0	14	4,170
Friday	86	1	5	4	1	2	0	0	14	3,680
Saturday	93	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	7	2,140
Sunday	95	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	5	3,840
Weekday journeys - by start time:										
Before 7 a.m.	87	0	3	4	1	2	1	1	13	940
7:00 to 7:59 a.m.	74	1	7	7	4	6	2	0	26	1,470
8:00 to 8:59 a.m.	76	1	9	7	3	3	0	0	24	2,050
9:00 to 9:59 a.m.	91	1	3	3	1	1	0	0	9	1,300
10:00 to 10:59 a.m.	93	1	3	2	1	1	0	0	7	1,400
11:00 to 11:59 a.m.	93	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	7	1,570
noon to 12:59 p.m.	90	1	4	3	1	1	0	0	10	1,430
1:00 to 1:59pm	92	1	3	2	1	1	0	0	8	1,360
2:00 to 2:59pm	92	0	3	2	1	1	0	0	8	1,670
3:00 to 3:59pm	88	0	5	4	1	2	0	0	12	1,900
4:00 to 4:59pm	76	1	7	6	4	4	1	0	24	2,210
5:00 to 5:59pm	73	1	8	9	3	6	1	0	27	2,090
6:00 to 6:59pm	89	1	4	3	2	1	0	0	11	1,380
7:00 to 7:59pm	97	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	910
8:00 to 8:59pm	97	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	620
9:00 to 9:59pm	98	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	510
After 10pm	98	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	420
Weekend journeys - by start time:										
Before 9:30am	94	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	6	620
9:30am to before 12noon	95	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	5	1,190
12noon to 2 pm	95	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	5	1.480
After 2pm to before 4:30pm	94	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	6	1,130
4:30pm to before 6:30pm	89	1	6	3	1	1	0	0	11	870
6:30pm onwards	98	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	680
by urban/rural classification:										
Large urban areas	84	0	5	5	2	3	0	0	16	6,730
Other urban areas	87	1	5	4	1	2	1	0	13	10,200
"Accessible" small towns	88	0	4	4	1	2	0	0	12	2,830
"Remote" small towns	93	0	3	1	1	1	0	0	7	1,820
"Accessible" rural areas	90	0	3	3	2	2	0	0	10	3,870
"Remote" rural areas	93	0	3	1	1	1	0	0	7	3,780

** Cell values supressed as percentage figure based on less than 5 responses ' A journey can consist of one or more stages. A new stage is defined when there is a change in the form of transport or when there is a change of vehicle requiring a separate ticket.

² Car drivers were asked "was this part of your trip delayed due to traffic congestion?". No definition of "traffic congestion" is given, so respondents can interpret the term as they wish. Those drivers who said that they had been delayed by traffic congestion were asked "how much time do you think they had been delayed by traffic congestion were asked "how much time do you think they had been delayed by traffic congestion." was lost due to traffic congestion?". ^{3.} Three years' data are combined, whereas in previous year just one year's data was given. There was little change over the years, and combining

gives fewer suppressed values.

^{4.} These figures differ from those used for the national indicator in TD10 as they do not remove "don't know" responses

Table TD13: [Council travel - destination]]Percentage of journeys originating in each council grouping by destination council grouping, 2015-2019 (combined)

							Council an	rea of Dest	ination							
	Highland / Islands	Grampian	Tayside	Central	Fife	Edinburgh	Lothians	Glasgow	Dunbartonshire / Argyll & Bute	Renfrewshire / Inverclyde	North Lanarkshire	South Lanarkshire	Ayrshire	Borders / Dumfries & Galloway	Not Known	Sample size (=100%)
Journey Origin (Council Grouping)																
Highland / Islands	96.8	1.6	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	11,610
Grampian	0.9	97.2	1.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	8,400
Tayside	0.1	1.2	93.1	0.7	2.9	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	7,660
Central	0.1	0.0	1.2	85.5	1.5	1.9	2.6	2.8	1.0	0.4	1.9	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	7,030
Fife	0.1	0.1	4.1	1.5	88.3	3.3	1.1	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.4	4,310
Edinburgh	0.1	0.2	0.6	1.1	2.1	82.6	9.6	1.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.1	0.8	0.3	7,910
Lothians	0.0	0.1	0.5	2.6	1.0	15.8	75.5	0.7	0.3	0.2	1.1	0.6	0.1	0.9	0.6	6,420
Glasgow	0.1	0.0	0.2	1.3	0.3	0.9	0.3	72.1	5.9	7.7	4.0	4.6	2.1	0.1	0.1	8,940
Dunbartonshire / Argyll & Bute	0.0	0.1	0.2	1.2	0.1	0.4	0.5	15.7	75.3	2.9	2.3	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.2	6,000
Renfrewshire / Inverclyde	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	16.0	2.4	72.7	0.9	2.5	3.4	0.1	0.4	6,330
North Lanarkshire	0.1	0.0	0.1	2.2	0.2	1.1	1.5	10.0	2.1	1.0	73.0	8.0	0.4	0.2	0.3	3,350
South Lanarkshire	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.6	0.1	1.1	0.9	11.7	0.7	3.0	8.4	71.0	1.3	0.8	0.1	3,250
Ayrshire	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	4.4	0.4	3.3	0.4	1.1	88.9	0.6	0.3	6,120
Borders / Dumfries & Galloway	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	1.9	1.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.8	0.8	91.0	2.8	4,290
Not Known	4.2	3.7	6.2	6.6	4.8	9.9	8.5	6.2	3.6	6.1	1.8	1.5	3.7	17.0	16.1	700
All journeys reported	11,630	8,400	7,710	7,080	4,300	7,960	6,480	8,960	6,040	6,360	3,360	3,250	6,130	4,290	400	92,330

All journeys reported 11,630 8,400 /,710 /,080 4,300 /,900 0

¹ Councils in each grouping:	
Highlands/Islands	Comhairle nan Eilean Siar, Highland, Orkney Islands, Shetland Islands
Grampian	Aberdeen City, Aberdeenshire, Moray
Tayside	Angus, Dundee City, Perth and Kinross
Central	Clackmannanshire, Falkirk, Stirling
Fife	Fife
Edinburgh	City of Edinburgh
Lothians	East Lothian, Midlothian, West Lothian
Glasgow	Glasgow City
Dunbartonshire / Argyle and Bute	Argyle and Bute, East Dunbartonshire, West Dunbartonshire
Renfrewshire / Inverclyde	Renfrewshire, Inverclyde
North Lanarkshire	North Lanarkshire
South Lanarkshire	South Lanarkshire
Ayrshire	East Ayrshire, North Ayrshire, South Ayrshire
Borders / Dumfries & Galloway	Dumfries and Galloway, Scottish Borders

Table TD14: [Council travel - origin] Percentage of journeys ending in each council grouping by area of origin, 2015-2019 (combined) Council area of origin Council area of origin

	Highland / Islands	Grampian	Tayside	Central	Fife	Edinburgh	Lothians	Glasgow	Dunbartonshire / Argyll & Bute	Renfrewshire / Inverciyde	North Lanarkshire	South Lanarkshire	Ayrshire	Borders / Dumfries & Galloway	Not Known	Sample size (=100%)
Journey Destination (Council grouping)															Row pe	rcentages
Highland / Islands	97.2	1.5	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	11,630
Grampian	1.0	97.2	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	8,400
Tayside	0.1	1.4	92.3	0.8	2.9	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.6	7,710
Central	0.1	0.1	1.1	84.6	1.7	1.9	2.8	2.7	0.9	0.4	1.8	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.9	7,080
Fife	0.2	0.1	3.9	1.3	88.4	3.4	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.6	4,300
Edinburgh	0.1	0.2	0.6	1.1	2.1	82.1	9.7	1.1	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.9	0.8	7,960
Lothians	0.1	0.1	0.4	2.4	1.2	15.6	75.2	0.7	0.3	0.3	1.1	0.6	0.1	1.0	1.1	6,480
Glasgow	0.1	0.1	0.2	1.4	0.2	0.9	0.4	71.9	5.9	7.7	4.0	4.6	2.1	0.2	0.4	8,960
Dunbartonshire / Argyll & Bute	0.1	0.1	0.2	1.3	0.1	0.3	0.4	15.5	75.0	3.1	2.2	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.6	6,040
Renfrewshire / Inverclyde	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	16.1	2.3	72.4	0.8	2.5	3.2	0.1	0.8	6,360
North Lanarkshire	0.1	0.1	0.1	2.3	0.2	0.9	1.5	10.0	2.1	1.0	72.7	8.2	0.5	0.1	0.3	3,360
South Lanarkshire	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.1	1.3	0.8	11.7	0.6	3.0	8.2	71.0	1.3	0.8	0.3	3,250
Ayrshire	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	4.4	0.3	3.4	0.4	1.0	88.6	0.6	0.5	6,130
Borders / Dumfries & Galloway	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.0	1.8	1.2	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.8	91.3	2.9	4,290
Not Known	4.6	2.7	2.6	2.4	5.2	5.8	7.1	3.4	2.0	5.0	2.6	0.9	3.6	26.2	25.8	400
All journeys reported	7	11	9	6	7	11	7	12	5	6	5	5	6	5	1	92,330

Islands

** denotes cells with values supressed as they contain fewer than 5 respondents. This table can be used to establish the percentage of journeys ending in a given council area that originated in that and other council areas.

For example, the percentage of journeys ending in Fife that started in Edinburgh can be found by locating the horizontal row labelled Fife beneath Journey Destination and looking across to the figure appearing in the vertical column labelled Edinburgh. In this case 2% of journeys ending in Fife originated in Edinburgh.

Note: In publications prior to 2011 this table has been orientated the opposite way to the above - with the origin council area forming the rows and the destination council area forming the columns.

¹Councils in each grouping:

Highlands/Islands	Comhairle nan Eilean Siar, Highland, Orkney Islands, Shetland
Grampian	Aberdeen City, Aberdeenshire, Moray
Tayside	Angus, Dundee City, Perth and Kinross
Central	Clackmannanshire, Falkirk, Stirling
Fife	Fife
Edinburgh	City of Edinburgh
Lothians	East Lothian, Midlothian, West Lothian
Glasgow	Glasgow City
Dunbartonshire / Argyle and Bute	Argyle and Bute, East Dunbartonshire, West Dunbartonshire
Renfrewshire / Inverclyde	Renfrewshire, Inverclyde
North Lanarkshire	North Lanarkshire
South Lanarkshire	South Lanarkshire
Ayrshire	East Ayrshire, North Ayrshire, South Ayrshire
Borders / Dumfries & Galloway	Dumfries and Galloway, Scottish Borders
Table TD15: [Council travel to work - workplace] Percentage of employed people (who do not work at home) resident in each council grouping¹ by council grouping of workplace 2015-2019 (combined)

	Highlands / Islands	Grampian	Tayside	Central	Fife	Edinburgh	Lothians	Glasgow	Dunbartonshire / Argyll & Bute	Renfrewshire / Inverclyde	North Lanarkshire	South Lanarkshir	Ayrshire	Dumfries & Galloway	Not Known	Sample size (=100%)
Council area of residence																
Highlands / Islands	81.5	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	2,410
Grampian	0.9	78.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.2	1,910
Tayside	0.1	2.2	79.5	1.6	2.4	0.9	0.1	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	11.6	1,330
Central	0.1	0.3	0.9	60.1	1.4	6.4	3.7	5.2	0.9	0.1	2.3	0.7	0.1	0.1	17.5	1,470
Fife	0.2	0.2	5.5	2.3	63.4	9.9	2.4	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.2	920
Edinburgh	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.9	71.2	5.9	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.2	19.1	1,640
Lothians	0.0	0.2	0.2	1.7	0.8	29.9	44.5	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.3	19.0	1,640
Glasgow	0.0	0.1	0.1	1.1	0.1	1.0	0.4	59.7	4.1	5.5	3.7	2.9	1.2	0.1	20.1	1,780
Dunbartonshire / Argyll & Bute	0.3	0.2	0.0	1.1	0.1	0.5	0.2	26.5	48.5	3.5	2.7	0.8	0.3	0.1	15.2	1,400
Renfrewshire / Inverclyde	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.4	1.0	0.2	26.5	2.8	46.4	1.0	3.5	1.8	0.0	16.0	1,540
North Lanarkshire	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.4	1.8	3.9	17.2	1.9	1.5	40.8	7.3	0.7	0.0	21.8	960
South Lanarkshire	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.2	1.5	2.2	18.8	1.4	3.2	11.1	37.9	0.5	0.5	21.5	880
Ayrshire	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	10.8	0.9	4.3	0.6	1.6	63.4	0.4	17.4	1,260
Borders / Dumfries & Galloway	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.2	0.0	3.9	2.1	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.4	73.5	17.9	810
Scotland	4.9	9.5	6.4	4.3	4.6	11.0	4.7	13.4	3.4	4.4	4.3	3.6	4.1	3.3	18.1	19,950

Scottand 4.9 9.5 6.4 4.3 4.6 11.0 4.7 13.4 3.4 4.4 4.3 3.6 4.1 3.3 18.1 19.950 ** denotes cells with values supressed as they contain fewer than 5 respondents. This table can be used to establish the percentage of employed adults in a given council area who work in that and other council areas For example, the percentage of employed adults living in Fife who work in Edinburgh can be found by locating the horizontal row labelled Fife under Council area of residence and looking across to the figure appearing in the vertical

'Councils in each grouping:	
Highlands/Islands	Comhairle nan Eilean Siar, Highland, Orkney Islands, Shetland Islands
Grampian	Aberdeen City, Aberdeenshire, Moray
Tayside	Angus, Dundee City, Perth and Kinross
Central	Clackmannanshire, Falkirk, Stirling
Fife	Fife
Edinburgh	City of Edinburgh
Lothians	East Lothian, Midlothian, West Lothian
Glasgow	Glasgow City
Dunbartonshire / Argyle and Bute	Argyle and Bute, East Dunbartonshire, West Dunbartonshire
Renfrewshire / Inverclyde	Renfrewshire, Inverclyde
North Lanarkshire	North Lanarkshire
South Lanarkshire	South Lanarkshire
Ayrshire	East Ayrshire, North Ayrshire, South Ayrshire
Borders / Dumfries & Galloway	Dumfries and Galloway, Scottish Borders

Table TD16: [Council travel to work - residence] Percentage of those working (other than from home) in each council grouping by council grouping¹ of residence 2015-2019 (combined)

	Highlands / Islands	Grampian	Tayside	Central	Fife	Edinburgh	Lothians	Glasgow	Dunbartonshire / Argyll & Bute	Renfrewshire / Inverciyde	North Lanarkshire	South Lanarkshire	Ayrshire	Dumfries & Galloway	Sample size (=100%)
Council area of workplace															
Highlands / Islands	96.8	2.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	2,020
Grampian	0.4	96.7	1.7	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	1,550
Tayside	0.2	0.7	91.5	0.8	5.7	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	1,160
Central	0.1	0.0	2.8	79.1	3.4	1.1	2.9	3.0	1.2	0.4	3.9	1.8	0.1	0.2	1,050
Fife	0.3	0.0	3.8	1.7	89.3	2.0	1.3	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	680
Edinburgh	0.1	0.0	0.6	3.3	5.9	64.9	19.8	1.0	0.2	0.6	1.1	0.8	0.1	1.6	2,010
Lothians	0.0	0.0	0.1	4.3	3.2	12.5	68.1	1.0	0.2	0.3	5.4	2.8	0.1	1.9	920
Glasgow	0.0	0.0	0.3	2.2	0.1	0.7	0.9	51.2	9.6	13.0	8.4	8.5	4.7	0.2	2,410
Dunbartonshire / Argyll & Bute	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.2	0.3	0.0	14.1	70.4	5.5	3.8	2.6	1.6	0.0	870
Renfrewshire / Inverclyde	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	14.3	3.9	69.0	2.3	4.4	5.8	0.1	930
North Lanarkshire	0.2	0.0	0.5	3.0	1.0	1.1	1.6	9.9	3.0	1.6	61.5	15.6	0.8	0.3	680
South Lanarkshire	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.2	0.0	0.2	1.4	9.3	1.1	6.3	13.2	63.6	2.7	0.5	570
Ayrshire	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	3.3	0.3	2.9	1.1	0.8	90.8	0.4	910
Borders / Dumfries & Galloway	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.2	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.8	96.0	620
Outside Scotland	5.5	13.0	4.7	5.4	5.5	10.5	7.6	12.8	4.1	5.8	7.9	7.2	5.6	4.3	3.570
All working respondents (other than from home)	5.9	11.7	7.3	5.6	6.5	10.0	7.2	11.5	4.9	6.6	6.5	6.1	5.9	4.4	19,950

All working respondents (other than from home) 5.9 11.7 7.3 5.6 6.5 10.0 7.2 11.5 4.9 6.6 6.5 6.1 5.9 4.4 79. ** denotes cells with values supressed as they contain fewer than 5 respondents. This table can be used to establish the percentage of employed adults working in a given council area who reside in that or other council areas. For example, the percentage of employed adults working in Fife who live in Edinburgh can be found by locating the horizontal row labelled Fife beneath Council area of workplace and looking across to the figure Notes: In publications prior to 2011 this table has been orientated the opposite way to the above - with the council area of residence forming the rows and the council area of workplace forming the columns. *Councils in each grouping:

Councils in each grouping:	
Highlands/Islands	Comhairle nan Eilean Siar, Highland, Orkney Islands, Shetland Islands
Grampian	Aberdeen City, Aberdeenshire, Moray
Tayside	Angus, Dundee City, Perth and Kinross
Central	Clackmannanshire, Falkirk, Stirling
Fife	Fife
Edinburgh	City of Edinburgh
Lothians	East Lothian, Midlothian, West Lothian
Glasgow	Glasgow City
Dunbartonshire / Argyle and Bute	Argyle and Bute, East Dunbartonshire, West Dunbartonshire
Renfrewshire / Inverclyde	Renfrewshire, Inverclyde
North Lanarkshire	North Lanarkshire
South Lanarkshire	South Lanarkshire
Ayrshire	East Ayrshire, North Ayrshire, South Ayrshire
Borders / Dumfries & Galloway	Dumfries and Galloway, Scottish Borders

Table TD17: Use of ordering services the previous day, 2019

							Did this red number of t made yest	Did this reduce the number of trips you made yesterday ¹		
	Supermarket home deliverv	Internet shopping	Mail order	Ordered goods by phone	Ordered takeaway food delivery	Sample size (=100%)	No	Yes		
							cell pe	ercentages		
All people:	0.9	7.0	0.3	0.5	2.7	6,990	52.4	47.6	680	
by gender:										
Male	1	7	0	1	3	3,150	52	48	310	
Female	1	7	0	0	3	3,840	53	47	370	
In another way	**	**	**	**	**	-	**	**	0	
Refused	**	**	**	**	**	-	**	**	0	
by age:										
16-19	0	6	0	1	4	150	**	**	20	
20-29	1	8	0	0	5	760	55	45	100	
30-39	1	9	0	1	4	1,160	54	40	100	
40-49	2	9	1	0	3	1,070	02	38	140	
50-59	1	1	0	1	1	1,200	41	59	120	
70 70	1	5	0	1	1	1,200	43	37	90	
80+	2	2	0	0	0	390	**	**	40	
by ethnicity	2	2	0	0	0	550			10	
White Scottish	1	7	0	1	3	5 460	54	46	540	
White other British	1	7	0	1	1	940	41	59	90	
White Polish	1	4	0	0	3	90	**	**	10	
Other white	1	. 9	Ő	õ	3	290	**	**	40	
Asian, Asian Scottish or Asian British	0	3	1	1	- 1	110	**	**	0	
Other	2	0	0	0	3	90	**	**	10	
by current situation:										
Self employed	0	9	0	1	2	480	61	39	50	
Employed full time	1	9	1	1	4	2,630	52	48	350	
Employed part time	1	8	0	1	3	860	57	43	90	
Looking after the home/family	1	6	0	0	1	250	**	**	20	
Permanently retired from work	1	4	0	0	1	2,050	45	55	110	
Unemployed/seeking work	1	3	0	0	2	190	**	**	10	
In further/higher education	1	5	0	0	4	200	**	**	20	
Permanently sick or disabled	0	1	0	1	2	220	**	**	10	
by annual net household income:										
up to £10,000 p.a.	0	4	0	0	1	550	**	**	20	
over £10,000 - £15,000	1	3	0	0	2	920	60	40	50	
over £15,000 - £20,000	1	4	0	0	2	1,000	45	55	70	
over £20,000 - £25,000	1	5	1	1	3	810	62	38	70	
over £25,000 - £30,000	1	6	0	0	2	690	46	54	120	
over £30,000 - £40,000	1	11	0	1	4	720	57	43	100	
over £50,000 - £30,000	2	11	1	1	3	980	49 24	40 51	160	
by Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivatio	n quintiles:				0	000	40	01	100	
1 (20% most deprived)	1	5	0	0	4	1.190	66	34	100	
2'	. 0	7	0	0 0	4	1,300	65	35	130	
3'	1	7	0	- 1	2	1.560	52	48	140	
4'	1	8	0	1	2	1,590	44	56	150	
5 (20% least deprived)	1	8	1	0	2	1,350	40	60	160	
by urban/rural classification:										
Large urban areas	1	6	0	0	3	2,110	50	50	190	
Other urban	1	7	0	1	4	2,390	57	43	250	
Small accessible towns	1	7	0	1	1	630	50	50	60	
Small remote towns	0	7	1	0	1	420	**	**	40	
Accessible rural	2	10	1	1	2	760	51	49	80	
Remote rural	2	6	0	0	1	680	41	59	60	
by frequency of driving [†] :										
Every day	1	9	0	1	3	3,230	55	45	380	
At least three times a week	1	7	0	0	1	1,180	39	61	100	
Once or twice a week	1	5	1	1	3	470	**	**	40	
Less often	1	6	0	0	3	190	**	**	20	
ivever, but noias tuil ariving licence	1	6	0	0	5	460	67	33	50	

** values based on an overall sample size below 50 have been suppressed 1. This question has changed since 2016, so numbers are not comparable

Table A: [Confidence limits] 95% confidence limits for estimates, based on SHS sub-samples sizes

Sub-					Estima	te				
sample	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%	35%	40%	45%	
size	or	or	or	or	or	or	or	or	or	
(=100%)	95%	90%	85%	80%	75%	70%	65%	60%	55%	50%
· · · · ·								percentag	e points	(+/-)
50	6.9	9.6	11.4	12.8	13.8	14.6	15.2	15.6	15.9	15.9
100	4.9	6.8	8.0	9.0	9.8	10.3	10.8	11.0	11.2	11.3
200	3.5	4.8	5.7	6.4	6.9	7.3	7.6	7.8	7.9	8.0
300	2.8	3.9	4.6	5.2	5.6	6.0	6.2	6.4	6.5	6.5
400	2.5	3.4	4.0	4.5	4.9	5.2	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.6
500	2.2	3.0	3.6	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.0
600	2.0	2.8	3.3	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6
700	1.9	2.6	3.0	3.4	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3
800	1.7	2.4	2.8	3.2	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.0
900	1.6	2.3	2.7	3.0	3.3	3.4	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.8
1,000	1.6	2.1	2.5	2.9	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.6
1,200	1.4	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.3
1,400	1.3	1.8	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0
1,600	1.2	1.7	2.0	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8
1,800	1.2	1.6	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7
2,000	1.1	1.5	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5
2,500	1.0	1.4	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.3
3,000	0.9	1.2	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1
3,500	0.8	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9
4,000	0.8	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.8
5,000	0.7	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6
6,000	0.6	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5
7,000	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
8,000	0.5	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3
9,000	0.5	0.7	0.8	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2
10,000	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
12,000	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
14,000	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0
16,000	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
18,000	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
20,000	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
25,000	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7
30,000	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7
35,000	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
40,000	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6
45,000	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
50,000	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
e.g. an estimate	e of 55% that	is based or	n a sample	of 800 has	95% confide	ence limits o	of 55% ± 3	.9% points		
2019 Design fa	ctor = 1.15									
⊦ormula used i	s CI = 100*1.	15 x 1.96 x	SQRT((%)	(1-%)) / n)					

Annex A

Table TD2a - SL: [Main mode by distance] Percentage of journeys by main mode by straight line distance, 2019 1

				Sample size					
	Walking	Driver car/van	Passenger car/van	Bicycle	Bus	Taxi/ minicab	Rail	Other	
								row percentages	
All	22.1	52.9	12.3	1.2	7.0	1.2	2	.3 1.0	18,450
by distance:									
Under 1 km	64	26	6	1	1	1		0 1	4,670
1 to under 2km	31	47	12	2	6	1		0 0	2,860
2 to under 3km	15	55	15	2	10	2		0 1	1,730
3 to under 5km	6	60	15	1	12	2		3 2	2,240
5 to under 10km	2	68	15	1	10	1		2 1	2,760
10 to under 15km	1	69	15	0	10	1		4 1	1,380
15 to 20km	0	69	13	1	9	0		6 1	780
20 to 40km	0	69	14	0	6	1		9 1	1,290
40km and over	0	65	17	0	4	0		8 6	750

Distances are calculated as a straight line between the start and end points of each stage / journey. A version of this table using the road network distance is included in the publication.
 More details on the differences between the straight line and road network distance can be found in TATIS Appendix A.

Table TD4 - SL: [Distance] Percentage of journeys made by straight line distance travelled, 2012-2019^{1,3}

	2012 ²	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
							columr	n percentages
Under 1 km	25.9	24.6	25.4	22.7	24.1	22.7	21.4	23.4
1 to under 2km	15.6	15.2	14.9	15.3	15.5	15.5	15.8	14.8
2 to under 3km	10.6	10.1	9.8	10.0	9.7	10.6	9.2	9.7
3 to under 5km	11.9	12.3	12.6	13.1	12.2	12.3	13.0	12.7
5 to under 10km	14.7	16.0	15.3	16.2	15.0	15.6	16.3	15.4
10 to under 15km	7.2	7.2	7.5	7.2	7.3	7.2	7.6	7.5
15 to 20km	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.8	4.6
20 to 40km	6.6	6.6	6.8	7.2	7.8	7.8	7.7	7.3
40km and over	3.5	3.8	3.4	4.1	3.8	3.7	4.2	4.5
Sample size (=100%)	19,740	20,180	19,930	18,710	19,050	18,320	17,780	18,440

Sample size (=100%), and (=1000 model) (=10000 model) (=10000 model) (=1000 model) (=1000 model) (=1

Table TD4a - SL: [Distance by main mode] Percentage of journeys by straight line distance by main mode, 2019¹

	Under 1 km 1 to	under 2km 2 to	under 3km 3 to	under 5km	5 to under 10 to under 15 to 20km 20 to 40km 40km and ov 10km 15km				n and over	Sample size
All								row pe	ercentages	
by mainmode:	23.4	14.8	9.7	12.7	15.4	7.5	4.6	7.3	4.5	18,440
Walking	68	21	7	3	1	0	0	0	0	4,230
Driver car	12	13	10	14	20	10	6	9	6	9,460
Driver van	8	5	12	13	18	12	7	19	5	300
Passenger car	10	14	12	15	19	9	5	8	6	2,050
Passenger van	24	4	2	25	15	9	4	13	4	70
Bicycle	25	30	14	15	10	2	3	1	0	220
Bus	4	13	14	21	22	10	6	7	3	1,370
Taxi/minicab	15	18	16	22	15	9	1	3	1	230
Rail	1	1	1	14	15	13	12	27	16	320
Other	14	6	9	22	17	5	5	5	18	190

** denotes cells with values supresed as they contain fewer than 5 respondents.
1. Distances are calculated as a straight line between the start and end points of each stage / journey. A version of this table using the road network distance is included in the publication More details on the differences between the straight line and road network distance can be found in TATIS Appendix A.

Table TD5 - SL: [Distance] Distance in km (straight line) summary statistics 2012-2019^{1,4}

	2012 ³	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
								Kilometres
Lower Decile	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5
Lower Quartile	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.1
Median	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.1	3.1	3.5	3.3
Upper Quartile	8.3	8.7	8.5	9.0	9.2	9.1	9.6	9.6
Upper Decile	20.2	20.8	20.2	21.8	22.3	22.1	23.0	22.7
Mean	8.2	8.5	8.3	8.8	8.8	8.9	9.5	9.6
Sample size	19.740	20,180	19.930	18.710	19.050	18.320	17.780	18.440

L. Distances are calculated as a straight line between the start and end points of each stage / journey. A version of this table using the road network distance is included in the publication

More details on the differences between the straight line and road network distance can be found in 7115 Appendix A. 2 Prior to 2007 only journeys over 1/4 mile of 5 minutes on foot were recorded. Since 2007 all journeys are recorded. This creates a distontinuity in the time series between 2006 and 2007. 3. Due to a small number of missing distances on the hwing been excluded in the past, some of the mean distances are slightly modified from past published values. 4. 2003 to 2012 results can be viewed by unhiding columns B to J.

Table TD5a - SL: [Distance] Distance (straight line) summary statistics by mode of transport, 2019¹

	Walking	Driver car/van	Passenger car/van	Bicycle	Bus	Taxi/ minicab	Rail	Other	All modes		
									Kilometres		
Lower Decile	0.2	0.9	1.0	0.4	1.4	0.8	3.6	0.7	0.5		
Lower Quartile	0.3	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.4	1.5	7.8	2.4	1.1		
Median	0.7	5.2	4.7	1.9	4.7	3.1	18.1	5.0	3.3		
Upper Quartile	1.3	12.8	11.6	3.6	10.2	6.3	29.8	17.9	9.6		
Upper Decile	2.2	27.4	28.2	7.9	19.3	11.2	51.2	280.0	22.7		
Mean	1.0	11.3	11.2	3.5	9.2	5.3	28.7	67.5	9.6		
Sample size	4,230	9,760	2,130	220	1,370	230	320	190	18,440		
1. Distances are calculated as a straight line be	etween the start and end	points of each stag	je / journey. A versi	on of this table using	the road netwo	rk distance is included	in the publication.				
More details on the differences between the s	traight line and road netw	vork distance can b	e found in TATIS Ap	pendix A.							

Notes

Notes The 2019 data travel diary includes 9,780 respondents, and 18,450 journeys. Throughout these tables, sample size may differ depending on the unit of analysis. In most tables, percentages for the whole sample are given to the nearest tenth, and for sub-samples they are given to the nearest whole number. In tables where percentages are rounded to the nearest tenth, values less than 0.05 become 0.0. In tables where percentages are Sample sizes are rounded to the nearest 10. ** values based a sample of fewer than 50 responses are suppressed.

Revisions In previous years a small number of journeys had been incorrectly recorded as very long distances. These were corrected for 2013,

Background information

The Scottish Household Survey (SHS) started in February 1999. Its principal purpose is to collect information to inform policy on Transport, Communities and Local Government, but other topics are covered, such as household composition, amenities, employment or unemployment, income, assets and savings, credit and debt, health, disabilities and care, and other topics. The SHS provides the first representative Scottish data on many subjects, such as access to the Internet, daily travel patterns, etc.

Where appropriate, the SHS uses the harmonised concepts and questions for government social surveys which have been developed by the Government Statistical Service, to facilitate comparison with the results of other government surveys. However, differences in sampling and survey methods mean that SHS results will differ from those of other surveys. The SHS is *not* designed to produce statistics on unemployment or income: it collects such information *only* for selecting the data for particular groups of people (such as the unemployed or the low-paid) for further analysis, or for use as background variables when analysing other topics.

The SHS is intended to be a survey of private households. For the purposes of the survey, a household is defined as one person or a group of people living in accommodation as their only or main residence and *either* sharing at least one meal a day *or* sharing the living accommodation. A student's term-time address is taken as his/her main residence, in order that they are counted where they live for most of the year.

The sample was drawn from the Small User file of the Postcode Address File (PAF), which is a listing of all active address points maintained by the Post Office. The Small User file excludes addresses where an average of more than 25 items of post is delivered per day. Blocks of flats etc, which have several dwellings at the same address, are *not* excluded from the Small User file: in such cases, the file's Multiple Occupancy Indicator is used to count each dwelling separately for the selection of the sample.

People in certain types of accommodation (such as nurses' homes, student halls of residence etc.) will be excluded from the SHS unless the accommodation is listed on the Small User file of the PAF and it represents the sole or main residence of the people concerned. People living in bed and breakfast accommodation may be included, *if* it is listed in the Small User file of the PAF and if it is their sole or main residence. Prisons, hospitals and military bases are excluded.

Published results, and anonymised data

Some SHS results are also included in *Scottish Transport Statistics*, published in February. Transport statistics publications are available on the Transport Scotland Statistics webpages at <u>https://www.transport.gov.scot/our-approach/statistics/#</u>

The SHS Annual Report is published by the Scottish Government and can be found here: <u>http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/16002/PublicationAnnual</u>

Anonymised copies of the survey data are deposited at the UK Data Archive

Enquiries and further information

General enquiries about the SHS should be addressed to the survey's Project Manager:

SHS Project Manager Communities Analytical Services Scottish Government Victoria Quay Edinburgh, EH6 6QQ

E-mail: shs@scotland.gsi.gov.uk

Enquiries about the statistics in this bulletin should be addressed to:

Keith Hoy Transport Analytical Services Transport Scotland Scottish Government Victoria Quay Edinburgh, EH6 6QQ

E-mail: transtat@transport.gov.scot

Further information about the survey can be found on the SHS *website* at <u>https://www.gov.scot/Topics/Statistics/16002</u>

This website provides some background to the survey, information about the progress of the survey, and the published results. Copies of the Transport Statistics bulletins can be found on the Transport Scotland Statistics webpages at: <u>https://www.transport.gov.scot/our-approach/statistics/#</u> Please use the SHS Web site to register your interest in Population and Household Surveys if you wish to be added to an *e-mail mailing list* to be kept informed of SHS news and developments. The Project Manager will also, on request, distribute paper copies of information about the survey, and about significant developments when they occur, to people who are unable to access the website.

To keep informed with changes to Scottish statistics, please register your interest with ScotStat at www.scotland.gov.uk/scotstat.

A NATIONAL STATISTICS PUBLICATION FOR SCOTLAND

The United Kingdom Statistics Authority has designated these statistics as National Statistics, in accordance with the Statistics and Registration Service Act 2007 and signifying compliance with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Designation can be interpreted to mean that the statistics: meet identified user needs; are produced, managed and disseminated to high standards; and are explained well.

Correspondence and enquiries

For enquiries about this publication please contact:

Keith Hoy

Transport Scotland Analytical Services,

e-mail: transtat@transport.gov.scot

For general enquiries about Scottish Government statistics please contact:

Office of the Chief Statistician, Telephone: 0131 244 0442,

e-mail: statistics.enquiries@gov.scot

How to access background or source data

The data collected for this statistical bulletin:

□ are available as part of a GB dataset on data.gov.uk

 \boxtimes may be made available on request, subject to consideration of legal and ethical factors. Please contact <u>transtat@transport.gov.scot</u> or further information.

 \Box cannot be made available by Scottish Government for further analysis as Scottish Government is not the data controller.

Complaints and suggestions

If you are not satisfied with our service or have any comments or suggestions, please write to the Chief Statistician, 3WR, St Andrews House, Edinburgh, EH1 3DG, Telephone: 0131 244 0302,

e-mail statistics.enquiries@gov.scot.

If you would like to be consulted about statistical collections or receive notification of publications, please register your interest at <u>www.scotland.gov.uk/scotstat</u>

Details of forthcoming publications can be found at https://www.gov.scot/statistics/

Most recent editions of Transport Statistics Publications - available here

https://www.transport.gov.scot/publications/

Title	Last published	Notes
Scottish Transport Statistics	February 2020	Web only
Transport and Travel in Scotland	September 2020	Web only
Reported Road Casualties Scotland	October 2019	Web only
Key Reported Road Casualties Scotland	June 2020	Web only

ISSN 1351 3869

ISBN 978-1-911672-02-9

Crown Copyright

You may use or re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. See: <u>www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/</u>



Transport Scotland Buchanan House, 58 Port Dundas Road, Glasgow, G4 0HF 0141 272 7100 info@transport.gov.scot www.transportsotland.gov.uk

ISBN: 978-1-911672-02-9 © Crown copyright 2020

You may re-use this information (excluding logos and images) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, visit http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/ or e-mail: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

Further copies of this document are available, on request, in audio and large print formats and in community languages.

Any enquiries regarding this document should be sent to us at info@transport.gov.scot

This document is also available on the Transport Scotland website: www.transport.gov.scot Produced for Transport Scotland by APS Group Scotland Published by Transport Scotland, September 2020

Follow us:





Scottish Government Riaghaltas na h-Alba